

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

KOIOS PHARMACEUTICALS LLC
Petitioner

v.

MEDAC GESELLSCHAFT FÜR KLINISCHE
SPEZIALPRÄPARATE MBH
Patent Owner

Case No. IPR2016-01370
Patent Number 8,664,231

**PATENT OWNER'S PRELIMINARY RESPONSE
UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.107**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	Introduction.....	1
II.	‘231’s Claimed Method	3
III.	MTX And The Treatment Of Inflammatory Autoimmune Diseases	5
IV.	The Board Should Exercise Its Discretion And Not Institute Trial Under § 325(d).....	8
V.	Petitioner’s Expert Declarations Should Be Given No Weight	14
	A. Dr. Miller’s Opinions Should Be Given No Weight.....	14
	B. Dr. Schiff’s Opinions Should be Given No Weight.....	17
VI.	Claim Construction.....	20
VII.	Petitioner Has Not Established That Grint Anticipates Claims 1, 2, 4, 5, 6, 11, 12, 13, 17, and 22 (Ground 1)	21
VIII.	Petitioner Has Not Established That Grint in View of Arthur, or Further in View of Moitra or Insulin Admin, Renders Obvious Claims 7-10, 14-16, and 19-21 (Ground 2)	23
IX.	Petitioner Has Not Established That Wyeth or PDR for Wyeth Anticipates Claims 1-6, 11-13, 17-18 and 22 (Ground 4).....	27
X.	Petitioner Has Not Established That Wyeth or PDR for Wyeth in View of Brooks and Arthur, Further in View of Moitra or Insulin Admin Renders Obvious Claims 1-22 (Ground 5).....	30
XI.	Petitioner Has Not Established That Hoekstra or Jørgensen in View of Arthur and/or Insulin Admin Renders Obvious Claims 1-22 (Ground 6)	32
XII.	Petitioner Fails to Fully Analyze Secondary Considerations.....	35
XIII.	Conclusion	36

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page(s)
CASES	
<i>Apple Inc. v. Smartflash LLC</i> , CBM2015-0015, Pap. 23 (PTAB Apr. 10, 2015).....	25, 31
<i>Atofina v. Great Lakes Chemical Corp.</i> , 441 F. 3d 991 (Fed. Cir. 2006)	21, 22
<i>Biomarin Pharm. Inc. v. Genzyme Therapeutics Prods. Ltd.</i> , IPR2013-00537, Pap. 79 (PTAB Feb. 23, 2015).....	4
<i>Boston Scientific Neuromodulation Co. v. Nevro Corp.</i> , IPR2015-01203, Pap. 10 (PTAB Nov. 30. 2015).....	25
<i>Butamax Advanced Biofuels LLC v. Gevo, Inc.</i> , IPR2014-00581, Pap. 8 (PTAB Oct. 14, 2014).....	14
<i>Clearvalue, Inc. v. Pearl River Polymers, Inc.</i> , 668 F.3d 1340 (Fed. Cir. 2012)	21, 22
<i>Continental Automotive Systems, Inc. v. Wasica Finance GMBH & Bluearc Finance AG</i> , IPR2014-01454, Pap. 14 (PTAB Feb. 13, 2015)	11
<i>CustomPlay, LLC v. ClearPlay, Inc.</i> , IPR2014-00783, Pap. 9 (PTAB Nov. 7, 2014).....	14
<i>DirecTV, LLC v. Qurio Holdings, LLC</i> , No. IPR2015-02007, Pap. 6 (PTAB Apr. 4, 2016).....	24, 31
<i>Fresenius USA, Inc. v. Baxter Int’l, Inc.</i> , 582 F.3d 1288 (Fed. Cir. 2009)	26, 32, 33
<i>Google Inc. v. ART+COM Innovationpool GmbH</i> , IPR2015-00789, Pap. 8 (PTAB Sept. 2, 2015).....	24
<i>Graham v. John Deere Co.</i> , 383 U.S. 1 (1966).....	2, 35

<i>In re Suitco Surface, Inc.</i> , 603 F.3d 1255 (Fed. Cir. 2010)	20
<i>In re Urbanski</i> , 809 F.3d 1237 (Fed. Cir. 2016)	2, 26, 32, 33
<i>Ineos USA LLC v. Berry Plastics Corp.</i> , 783 F.3d 865 (Fed. Cir. 2015)	22
<i>Innogenetics, N.V. v. Abbott Labs.</i> , 512 F.3d 1363 (Fed. Cir. 2008)	2, 26, 32, 34
<i>Intelligent Bio-Systems, Inc. v. Illumina Cambridge Limited</i> , IPR2013-00324, Pap. 19 (PTAB Nov. 21, 2013)	12
<i>Kinetic Techs., Inc. v. Skyworks Solutions, Inc.</i> , IPR2014-00529, Pap. 8 (PTAB Sept. 23, 2014)	27, 31, 32, 34
<i>KSR Int’l Co. v. Teleflex Inc.</i> , 550 U.S. 398 (2007)	passim
<i>Leo Pharm. Prods., Ltd. v. Rea</i> , 726 F.3d 1346 (Fed. Cir. 2013)	35
<i>Lupin Ltd. v. Vertex Pharmaceuticals Inc.</i> , IPR2015-00405, Pap. 13 (Jul. 9, 2015)	35
<i>MaxLinear, Inc. v Cresta Technology Corporation</i> , IPR2015-00593, Pap. 9 (Aug. 14, 2015)	13
<i>Medtronic, Inc. v. Mark A. Barry</i> , IPR2015-00782, Pap. 6 (PTAB Sept. 9, 2015)	18, 19
<i>Medtronic, Inc. v. Robert Bosch Healthcare Systems, Inc.</i> , IPR2014-00436, Pap. 17 (PTAB June 19, 2014)	12
<i>Merial Ltd. v. Virbac</i> , IPR2014-01279, Pap. 13 (P.T.A.B. Jan. 22, 2015)	35
<i>NetApp Inc. v. Crossroads Sys., Inc.</i> , IPR2015-00772, Pap. 12 (PTAB Sept. 3, 2015)	13

Nike, Inc. v. Adidas AG,
812 F.3d 1326 (Fed. Cir. 2016)26, 32, 33

OSRAM Sylvania, Inc. v. Am. Induction Techs., Inc.,
701 F.3d 698 (Fed. Cir. 2012)23

Phillips v. AWH Corp.,
415 F.3d 1303 (Fed. Cir. 2005)20

Symantec Corp. v. The Tr. of Columbia Univ. in the City of New York,
IPR2015-00370, Pap. 13 (PTAB June 17, 2015)24

Transocean Offshore Deepwater Drilling, Inc. v. Maersk Drilling USA, Inc.,
699 F.3d 1340 (Fed. Cir. 2012)35

TRW Automotive US, LLC v. Magna Elecs. Inc.,
IPR2014-01347, Paper 25 (PTAB Jan. 6, 2016)24

W.L. Gore & Assoc., Inc. v. Garlock, Inc.,
721 F.2d 1540 (Fed. Cir. 1983), cert. denied, 469 U.S. 851 (1984).....29

Wowza Media Sys., LLC v. Adobe Sys. Inc.,
IPR2013-00054, Pap. 12 (PTAB Apr. 8 2013).....25, 31

STATUTES

35 U.S.C. § 312(a)2

35 U.S.C. § 315(c)13

35 U.S.C. § 325(d)passim

OTHER AUTHORITIES

37 C.F.R. § 42.241

37 C.F.R. § 42.100(b)20

37 C.F.R. § 42.1071

37 C.F.R. § 42.1072

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.