

Filed on behalf of Securus Technologies, Inc.
By: Nicholas C. Kliewer (nkliewer@bcpc-law.com)
Jeffrey R. Bragalone (jbragalone@bcpc-law.com)
Justin B. Kimble (JKimble-IPR@bcpc-law.com)
Bragalone Conroy P.C.
2200 Ross Ave.
Suite 4500 – West
Dallas, TX 75201
Tel: 214.785.6670
Fax: 214.786.6680

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

GLOBAL TEL*LINK CORPORATION

Petitioner

v.

SECURUS TECHNOLOGIES, INC.

Patent Owner

Case IPR2016-01362

U.S. Patent No. 9,083,850

**PATENT OWNER'S OBJECTIONS
TO PETITIONER'S DEMONSTRATIVES**

Mail Stop PATENT BOARD
Patent Trial and Appeal Board
U.S. Patent & Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Pursuant to the Board's Order of August 25, 2017, Patent Owner Securus Technologies, Inc. ("Patent Owner" or "Securus") files these objections to Petitioner's oral argument demonstratives previously served on Patent Owner.

Objection No. 1 (to Petitioner's Demonstrative Slide 9)

Patent Owner objects to Slide 9 because it misrepresents the arguments made by Petitioner, contains new arguments that were not previously presented in any paper before the Board, and mischaracterizes Patent Owner's arguments from the Response.

Objection No. 2 (to Petitioner's Demonstrative Slide 13)

Patent Owner objects to Slide 13 because it mischaracterizes Patent Owner's arguments from the Response.

Objection No. 3 (to Petitioner's Demonstrative Slide 16)

Patent Owner objects to Slide 16 because it misrepresents the arguments made by Petitioner and contains new arguments that were not previously presented in any paper before the Board.

Objection No. 4 (to Petitioner's Demonstrative Slide 38)

Patent Owner objects to Slide 38 because it misrepresents the arguments made by Petitioner and contains new arguments that were not previously presented to the Board.

Objection No. 5 (to Petitioner's Demonstrative Slides 59-60)


Patent Owner objects to Slides 59-60 because they misrepresent the arguments made by Petitioner and contain new arguments that were not previously presented to the Board.

Objection No. 6 (to Petitioner's Demonstrative Slide 64)

Patent Owner objects to Slide 64 because it misrepresents the arguments made by Petitioner and contains new arguments that were not previously presented to the Board.

Dated: September 11, 2017


Respectfully submitted,



Nicholas C. Kliewer
Attorney for Patent Owner
Registration No. 72,480
Bragalone Conroy PC
2200 Ross Ave.
Suite 4500 – West
Dallas, TX 75201

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned hereby certifies that this document has been served via electronic mail on September 11, 2017, to Petitioner via counsel, Michael D. Specht, at mspecht-PTAB@skgf.com and Joseph E. Mutschelknaus, at jmutsche-PTAB@skgf.com, and PTAB@SKGF.com, pursuant to Petitioner's consent in its Petition at p. 60.



Nicholas C. Kliewer
Attorney for Patent Owner
Registration No. 72,480
Bragalone Conroy PC
2200 Ross Ave.
Suite 4500 – West
Dallas, TX 75201