Filed on behalf of Securus Technologies, Inc.

By: Justin B. Kimble (JKimble-IPR@bcpc-law.com)

Jeffrey R. Bragalone (jbragalone@bcpc-law.com)

Daniel F. Olejko (dolejko@bcpc-law.com)

Nicholas C. Kliewer (nkliewer@bcpc-law.com)

Bragalone Conroy PC

2200 Ross Ave.

Suite 4500 West

Dallas, TX 75201

Tel: 214.785.6670 Fax: 214.786.6680

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

GLOBAL TEL*LINK CORPORATION, Petitioner,

v.

SECURUS TECHNOLOGIES, INC., Patent Owner.

Case IPR2016-01362 U.S. Patent No. 9,083,850

PRO HAC VICE MOTION TO ADMIT ATTORNEY DANIEL F. OLEJKO PURSUANT TO 37 C.F.R. § 42.10(c)

Mail Stop PATENT BOARD
Patent Trial and Appeal Board
U.S. Patent & Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450



Patent Owner Securus Technologies, Inc. ("Securus") hereby files this motion pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.10(c) for Daniel F. Olejko to appear *pro hac vice* on its behalf before the Patent Trial and Appeal Board in IPR2016-001362. This Motion follows the guidelines set forth in IPR2013-00639, Paper 7, entered October 15, 2013.

I. Factual Background

Securus has already designated a registered practitioner, Justin B. Kimble (Reg. No. 58,591) as lead counsel, and Securus intends to designate Mr. Olejko as one of its back-up counsel in the event this motion is granted. The following statement of facts shows that there is good cause for the Board to recognize Mr. Olejko *pro hac vice* during this proceeding.

Mr. Olejko is a patent litigator with over nine years' experience, including significant experience in the area of patent validity and invalidity. In the many patent litigations in which he has been counsel, he has worked extensively on issues relating to 35 U.S.C. §§ 101, 102, 103, and 112, including by reviewing and analyzing numerous prosecution histories and prior art references, developing arguments for contentions and briefing for motions to dismiss, summary judgment motions, post-trial motions, and appeals, working closely with experts on their reports, conducting discovery and expert depositions, and preparing arguments for



Case IPR2016-01362 Patent 9.083.850

trial. Mr. Olejko has also spent significant time learning the procedure of *inter* partes review proceedings.

Mr. Olejko currently represents Securus in a number of pending appeals before the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit, which are all appeals from final written decisions in *inter partes* review proceedings before the Board. See, e.g., Securus Techs., Inc. v. Global Tel*Link Corp., Nos. 2016-1992, -1993 (Fed. Cir. docketed May 4, 2016) (appealing from IPR2014-01278 and IPR2014-01282, involving U.S. Patent No. 7,860,222); Global Tel*Link Corp. v. Securus Techs., *Inc.*, No. 16-2573 (Fed. Cir. docketed May 26, 2016) (appealing from IPR2015-00156, involving U.S. Patent No. 7,551,732); Securus Techs., Inc. v. Global Tel*Link Corp., No. 16-2573 (Fed. Cir. docketed Aug. 29, 2016) (appealing from IPR2015-00155, involving U.S. Patent No. 7,853,243). As counsel for Securus, Mr. Olejko has become very familiar with patents that cover technology that is similar to the technology at issue in this proceeding (e.g., prison telephone systems). Further, Mr. Olejko spent significant time assisting in the development of arguments in support of the responses in this matter, as well as considerable time preparing and reviewing the Patent Owner's Preliminary Response and Patent Owner's Response itself.



Given Mr. Olejko's familiarity with the underlying technology, patent at issue, and prior art, Securus asks that the Board grant this Motion to afford Securus the benefit of having an additional attorney authorized on this matter.

II. Attestation of Facts by Mr. Olejko

Mr. Olejko has submitted a declaration herewith attesting to the following facts:

- Mr. Olejko is a member in good standing of the Pennsylvania State
 Bar.
- Mr. Olejko has never been subject to any suspensions or disbarments from practice before any court or administrative body.
- None of Mr. Olejko's applications for admission to practice before any court or administrative body has ever been denied.
- Mr. Olejko has never been sanctioned nor had contempt citations imposed by any court or administrative body.
- Mr. Olejko has read and will comply with the Office Patent Trial
 Practice Guide and the Board's Rules of Practice for Trials set forth in
 37 C.F.R. pt. 42.
- Mr. Olejko will be subject to the USPTO Rules of Professional Conduct set forth in 37 C.F.R. § 11.101, *et seq.*, and disciplinary jurisdiction under 37 C.F.R. § 11.19(a).



Case IPR2016-01362 Patent 9,083,850

- This is Mr. Olejko's third application to appear *pro hac vice* in a proceeding before the Board. The applications in IPR2016-01123 and IPR2016-01220 were granted.
- Mr. Olejko has familiarity with the subject matter at issue in this
 proceeding as set forth in Section I above.

Dated: May 12, 2017 Respectfully submitted,

Justin B. Kimble

Attorney for Patent Owner

Registration No. 58,591

Bragalone Conroy PC

2200 Ross Avenue, Suite 4500W

Dallas, TX 75201

Phone: (214) 785-6670

Email: JKimble-IPR@bcpc-law.com

DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

