

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

ZTE (USA) INC., HTC CORPORATION,
HTC AMERICA, INC., SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD., and
SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS AMERICA, INC.,

Petitioner,

v.

EVOLVED WIRELESS LLC,
Patent Owner.

Case IPR2016-00757
Case IPR2016-01345
Patent 7,881,236 B2

Before WILLIAM V. SAINDON, PETER P. CHEN, and
TERRENCE W. McMILLIN, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

CHEN, *Administrative Patent Judge*.

ORDER
Conduct of the Proceeding
37 C.F.R. § 42.5

IPR2016-00757
IPR2016-01345
Patent 7,881,236 B2

Introduction

On January 10, 2017, the initial conference call was held among counsel for the respective parties and Judges Saindon, Chen, and McMillin. We provide the following summary of the conference call.

Schedule

The parties indicated they have no current issues with the Scheduling Order (Paper 15 in the -00757 IPR). The parties may not stipulate to any changes to Due Dates 6 or 7 in the Scheduling Order without specific authorization from us.

Motions

Neither party filed a list of proposed motions prior to the conference. The parties are reminded that Board authorization generally is required before filing a motion. 37 C.F.R. § 42.20(b). A party seeking to file a motion not authorized previously should request a conference call with us to obtain authorization to file the motion.

Oral Hearing in San Jose

The parties agreed to hold the August 2017 oral hearing in these IPRs at the USPTO offices in San Jose, California.

Other Matters

The parties provided an update on related matters and on settlement status.

As of this date, no protective order has been entered. The parties are reminded of the requirement for a protective order when filing a motion to seal. 37 C.F.R. § 42.54. If the parties choose to propose a protective order other than or departing from the default Standing Protective Order, Office Patent Trial Practice Guide, 77 Fed. Reg. 48,756, App. B (Aug. 14, 2012),

IPR2016-00757
IPR2016-01345
Patent 7,881,236 B2

they must submit a joint, proposed protective order, accompanied by a red-lined version based on the default protective order in Appendix B to the Board's Office Patent Trial Practice Guide, and must explain why each proposed change to the default Standing Protective Order is necessary. *See id.* at 48,769–71.

IPR2016-00757
IPR2016-01345
Patent 7,881,236 B2

FOR PETITIONER:

Charles M. McMahon
Hersh H. Mehta
McDERMOTT WILL & EMERY
cmcmahon@mwe.com
hmehta@mwe.com

Stephen S. Korniczky
Martin Bader
Ericka J. Schulz
SHEPPARD, MULLIN, RICHTER & HAMPTON, LLP
skorniczky@shepparmullin.com
mbader@shepparmullin.com
eschulz@shepparmullin.com

James M. Glass
Kevin P.B. Johnson
Todd M. Briggs
John T. McKee
QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIVAN, LLP
jimglass@quinnemanuel.com
kevinjohnson@quinnemanuel.com
toddbriggs@quinnemanuel.com
johnmckee@quinnemanuel.com

FOR PATENT OWNER:

Cyrus A. Morton
Ryan M. Schultz
ROBINS KAPLAN LLP
CMorton@robinskaplan.com
RSchultz@robinskaplan.com