

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

TEVA PHARMACEUTICALS USA, INC.

&

FRESENIUS KABI USA, LLC

PETITIONERS

V.

ELI LILLY & COMPANY

PATENT OWNER

CASE NO.: IPR2016-01341

PATENT NO. 7,772,209

FILED: JULY 11, 2007

ISSUED: AUGUST 10, 2010

INVENTOR: CLET NIYIKIZA

TITLE: ANTIFOLATE COMBINATION THERAPIES

**PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW
OF U.S. PATENT NO. 7,772,209**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INTRODUCTION	1
II.	OVERVIEW	1
III.	GROUNDS FOR STANDING (37 C.F.R. § 42.104(A)).....	2
IV.	MANDATORY NOTICES (37 C.F.R. § 42.8)	2
	A. Real Parties-in-Interest (37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(1))	2
	B. Related Judicial and Administrative Matters (37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(2))	3
	C. Lead and Back-Up Counsel (37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(3)) and Service Information (37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(4))	4
V.	PAYMENT OF FEES (37 C.F.R. § 42.15(A) AND § 42.103).....	5
VI.	IDENTIFICATION OF CHALLENGE	5
	A. Overview of U.S. Patent No. 7,772,209	5
	1. The '209 Patent Specification.....	5
	2. The '209 Patent Claims.....	7
	3. The '209 Prosecution History	8
	B. Claim Construction of Challenged Claims	13
	1. “Patient”	14
	2. “Methylmalonic acid lowering agent”	14
	3. “An effective amount of pemetrexed disodium”	14
	4. “An effective amount of folic acid and an effective amount of a methylmalonic acid lowering agent”	15

;

5.	“Toxicity”	15
6.	“Antifolate” and “antifolate drug”	15
C.	Statement of Precise Relief Requested for Each Claim Challenged	16
1.	Claims for Which Review is Requested	16
2.	Statutory Grounds of Challenge	16
D.	Overview of the State of the Art and Motivation to Combine ...	17
1.	Summary of the Petition’s Prior Art References	22
a.	The ’974 Patent (Ex. 1009).....	22
b.	EP 005 (Ex. 1010)	23
c.	Niyikiza (Ex. 1008)	25
E.	Level of Ordinary Skill in the Art	26
VII.	DETAILED EXPLANATION OF THE CHALLENGE	27
A.	Ground 1: Claims 1–22 of U.S. Patent No. 7,772,209 are obvious under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) over Niyikiza in view of the’974 Patent and in further view of EP 005 and the knowledge of one of ordinary skill in the art.....	27
1.	Independent Claims 1 and 12 are obvious over Niyikiza in view of the’974 Patent and in further view of EP 005 and the knowledge of one of ordinary skill in the art.	27
2.	Dependent Claims 2–10 and 14–21 are obvious	42
3.	Dependent Claims 11, 13, and 22 are obvious	51

∴

B. The S.D. of Indiana Decision Finding that Teva Did Not Establish by Clear and Convincing Evidence that Certain Claims of the '209 Patent are Obvious is Not Relevant to this Proceeding 53

VIII. ANY SECONDARY CONSIDERATIONS ARE INSUFFICIENT TO OVERCOME THE OBVIOUSNESS OF CLAIMS 1–22..... 57

IX. CONCLUSION..... 63

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Cases

<i>Abbott Labs v. Andrx Pharms., Inc.</i> , 452 F.3d 1331 (Fed. Cir. 2006).....	52
<i>Bayer Schering Pharma AG v. Barr Labs., Inc.</i> , 575 F.3d 1341 (Fed. Cir. 2009).....	41
<i>Dow Chem. Co. v. Sumitomo Chem. Co.</i> , 257 F.3d 1364 (Fed. Cir. 2001).....	33
<i>Dystar Textilfarben GmbH v. C.H. Patrick Co.</i> , 464 F.3d 1356 (Fed. Cir. 2006).....	53
<i>Ethicon, Inc. v. Quigg</i> , 849 F.2d 1422 (Fed. Cir. 1988).....	53
<i>Ex parte Gelles</i> , 22 USPQ2d 1318 (Bd. Pat. App. & Inter. 1992)	57, 59, 62
<i>Galderma Labs., L.P. v. Tolmar, Inc.</i> , 737 F.3d 731 (Fed. Cir. 2013).....	57
<i>Geo M. Martin Co. v. Alliance Mach. Sys. Int’l LLC</i> , 618 F.3d 1294 (Fed. Cir. 2010).....	62
<i>In re Am. Acad. of Sci. Tech. Ctr.</i> , 367 F.3d 1359 (Fed. Cir. 2004).....	13
<i>In re Applied Materials, Inc.</i> , 692 F.3d 1289 (Fed. Cir. 2012).....	36, 41, 48
<i>In re Cipro Cases I & II</i> , 61 Cal. 4th 116 (Cal. 2015).....	54
<i>In re Cuozzo Speed Techs., LLC</i> , 778 F.3d 1271 (Fed. Cir. 2015)	13

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.