

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

TRICKLESTAR LLC,
Petitioner,

v.

EMBERTEC PTY LTD.,
Patent Owner.

Case IPR2016-01336
Patent 9,106,099 B2

Before BARBARA A. BENOIT, LYNNE E. PETTIGREW, and
STACY B. MARGOLIES, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

MARGOLIES, *Administrative Patent Judge*.

ORDER
Conduct of the Proceeding
37 C.F.R. § 42.5

IPR2016-01336
Patent 9,106,099 B2

On June 30, 2016, Trickle Star LLC (“Petitioner”) filed a petition to institute *inter partes* review of claims 1–16 of U.S. Patent No. 9,106,099 B2 (“the ’099 patent”). Paper 1 (“Petition”). On October 13, 2016, Embertec Pty Ltd. (“Patent Owner”) filed a preliminary response. Paper 9 (“Preliminary Response”). On December 27, 2016, upon consideration of the Petition and the Preliminary Response, we instituted an *inter partes* review of claims 1–7 and 9 of the ’099 patent (Paper 7) and issued a Scheduling Order (Paper 8). Per the terms of the Scheduling Order, either party was permitted to request oral argument by August 7, 2017. Paper 8, 7 (DUE DATE 4).

The deadline to request oral argument has now passed, and neither party has requested oral argument. We do not find oral argument to be necessary to resolve the issues in this proceeding. Accordingly, it is hereby:

ORDERED that no oral argument will take place in this proceeding.

IPR2016-01336
Patent 9,106,099 B2

FOR PETITIONER:

Shawn G. Hansen
Jennifer Hayes
NIXON PEABODY LLP
shansen@nixonpeabody.com
jenhayes@nixonpeabody.com

FOR PATENT OWNER:

Jonathan Tuminaro
Michael B. Ray
STERNE, KESSLER, GOLDSTEIN & FOX, P.L.L.C.
jtuminar-PTAB@skgf.com
mray-PTAB@skgf.com