Filed: June 30, 2016

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

MYLAN PHARMACEUTICALS INC.,

Petitioner

v.

JANSSEN ONCOLOGY, INC.,

Patent Owner

U.S. Patent No. 8,822,438 to Auerbach et al.

Inter Partes Review IPR2016-01332

Petition for Inter Partes Review of U.S. Patent No. 8,822,438

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INTR	RODU	CTION	1	1		
II.	MANDATORY NOTICES						
	A.	Real Parties-In-Interest Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(1)					
	B.						
	C.	Lead And Back-Up Counsel Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(3)					
	D.						
III.	GRO	OUNDS FOR STANDING (37 C.F.R. §§ 42.101 AND 42.104)					
IV.	PREC	ENTIFICATION OF CHALLENGE AND STATEMENT OF THE RECISE RELIEF REQUESTED (37 C.F.R. § 42.22(A) AND 37					
X 7				3))			
V.				EQUIREMENT FOR INTER PARTES REVIEW			
VI.				F REASONS FOR THE RELIEF REQUESTED			
	A.		•	f the Argument			
	В.	Leve	l of Or	dinary Skill in the Art	6		
	C.	U.S.		No. 8,822,438 and Its File History			
		1.	Speci	fication of the '438 Patent	7		
		2.	File I	History of the '438 Patent	10		
	D.	Clain	n Cons	struction (37 C.F.R. §§ 42.100(b), 42.104(b)(3))	17		
	E.	Scop	e and C	Content of the Prior Art	19		
		1.	Over	view	19		
		2.	Back	ground of Prostate Cancer and Its Treatment	24		
		3.	Prior	Art References	29		
			a.	In 2004, O'Donnell Described the Administration of Abiraterone Acetate as More Effective for Treating Metastatic Refractory Prostate Cancer than Ketoconazole, and Possibly Requiring Concomitant Glucocorticoid Replacement Therapy	29		

Page

		 In 1990, Gerber Disclosed the Use of Ketoconazole with Prednisone, a Glucocorticoid, in Patients with Hormone Refractory Metastatic Prostate Cancer
		c. In 1997, the '213 Patent Disclosed Abiraterone Acetate and Its Superiority over Ketoconazole in Treating Prostate Cancer
F.	Expla	nation of Grounds for Unpatentability
	1.	The Method of Claim 1 was Obvious over Either O'Donnell in view of Gerber (Ground 1) or the '213 Patent in View of Gerber (Ground 2)
		a. O'Donnell and the '213 Patent Disclosed the Use of Abiraterone Acetate to Treat Prostate Cancer
		b. Gerber Disclosed Co-Administering Prednisone with a CYP17 Inhibitor, like Abiraterone Acetate39
	2.	O'Donnell and the '213 Disclosed the Dosing Limitations Recited in Claims 2 and 342
	3.	The Dose Recited in Claim 4 was Disclosed to One of Skill in the Art by either O'Donnell or the '213 Patent43
	4.	The Dose Recited in Claim 5 was Disclosed to One of Skill in the Art by O'Donnell44
	5.	Claims 6–9 were Obvious over O'Donnell or the '213 Patent in View of Gerber
	6.	Claim 10 was Obvious over O'Donnell or the '213 Patent in View of Gerber
	7.	Claim 11 was Obvious over O'Donnell or the '213 Patent in View of Gerber
	8.	Claims 12–16 were Obvious over O'Donnell in View of Gerber
	9.	The Docetaxel Treatment in Claim 17 was Part of the Background Knowledge of One of Skill in the Art49
	10.	Claim 18 was Obvious over O'Donnell in View of Gerber

	11.	Claim 19 was Obvious over O'Donnell in View of Gerber	50
	12.	Claim 20 was Obvious over O'Donnell in View of Gerber	50
G.		ndary Considerations do not Indicate that the Claims of the Patent were Non-Obvious	.51
	1.	Applicants did not Offer Relevant Evidence of Commercial Success and the Examiner Issued the '438 Patent Based on the Erroneous Conclusion that the Asserted Commercial Success of Zytiga Overcame the Obviousness of the Claimed Invention	.51
	2.	One of Skill in the Art would not Anticipate Unexpected Benefits from the Claimed Invention and Applicants did not Offer Any Evidence of Relevant Unexpected Results	.54
	3.	The '438 Patent Satisfied No Long-Felt but Unmet Need	.59
	4.	The '213 is a Blocking Patent that Limits the Applicability of Commercial Success	59
	5.	Copying by Generic Drug Makers is Irrelevant	.61
H.	Conc	lusion	.61

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Cases

Ashland Oil, Inc. v. Delta Resins & Refractories, Inc., 776 F.2d 281 (Fed. Cir. 1985)	52
Bayer Healthcare Pharms., Inc. v. Watson Pharms., Inc., 713 F.3d 1369 (Fed. Cir. 2013)	61
BTG Int'l Ltd. v. Actavis Labs. FL, Inc., No. 15-cv-5909-KM-JBC (D.N.J.)	19
<i>Galderma Labs., L.P. v. Tolmar, Inc.,</i> 737 F.3d 731 (Fed. Cir. 2013)	60
<i>In re Am. Acad. of Sci. Tech. Ctr.</i> , 367 F.3d 1359 (Fed. Cir. 2004)	
Merck & Co., Inc. v. Teva Pharms. USA, Inc., 395 F.3d 1364 (Fed. Cir. 2005)	59
<i>Pfizer, Inc. v. Apotex, Inc.,</i> 480 F.3d 1348 (Fed. Cir. 2007)	51
Smith & Nephew, Inc. v. ConvaTec Techs., Inc., Case No. IPR2013-00097 (PTAB May 29, 2014)	52
Smith & Nephew, Inc. v. ConvaTec Techs., Inc., Case No. IPR2013-00102 (PTAB May 29, 2014)	52

Statutes

35 U.S.C. § 102(b)	
35 U.S.C. § 103	
35 U.S.C. § 314(a)	4
35 U.S.C. §§ 311–319	1

Rules

37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(1)	l
37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(2)	l
37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(3)	2

DOCKET A L A R M



Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.