

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

MYLAN PHARMACEUTICALS INC., ACTAVIS  
LABORATORIES FL, INC., AMNEAL PHARMACEUTICALS LLC,  
AMNEAL PHARMACEUTICALS OF NEW YORK, LLC, DR. REDDY'S  
LABORATORIES, INC., DR. REDDY'S LABORATORIES, LTD.,  
SUN PHARMACEUTICALS INDUSTRIES, LTD.,  
SUN PHARMACEUTICALS INDUSTRIES, INC.,  
TEVA PHARMACEUTICALS USA, INC., WEST-WARD  
PHARMACEUTICAL CORP., and HIKMA PHARMACEUTICALS, LLC,

Petitioners

v.

JANSSEN ONCOLOGY, INC.,

Patent Owner

---

Case IPR2016-01332<sup>1</sup>  
Patent 8,822,438 B2

---

**REPLY DECLARATION OF IVAN T. HOFMANN**

---

<sup>1</sup> Case IPR2017-00853 has been joined with this proceeding.

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

I. Introduction.....3

II. Qualifications, Case Background, and Definitions of Commercial Success and Nexus Relative to Objective Indicia of Nonobviousness .....5

III. Lack of Objective Indicia of Nonobviousness .....5

IV. The Performance of Zytiga Does Not Provide Objective Indicia of Nonobviousness of the Asserted Claims of the '438 Patent .....10

    A. The Performance of Zytiga is Attributable to Features that I Understand Were Known in the Prior Art.....10

        1. The Performance of Zytiga is Driven by the Abiraterone Acetate Compound Which I Understand was Known in the Prior Art .....11

        2. The Co-administration of Prednisone with Zytiga is Motivated by the Use of a Glucocorticoid as Replacement Therapy Which I Understand was Known in the Prior Art .....13

        3. The Vellturo Declaration Does Not Address the Impact of the Oral Dosage Form on Sales of Zytiga .....15

    B. The Analysis of Nexus within the Vellturo Declaration is Incomplete and Misleading .....16

        1. The Fact that the '438 Patent Allegedly Covers the Only FDA-Approved Indication of Zytiga Does Not Contribute to Zytiga's Purported Marketplace Success .....17

        2. The Vellturo Declaration Fails to Demonstrate that Zytiga's Purported Marketplace Success is Due to the Alleged Novelty of the '438 Patent .....18

        3. The Vellturo Declaration's Discussion of Promotional Expenditures and Pricing is Incomplete and Misleading .....21

    C. The Vellturo Declaration Fails to Defend the Adequacy of the Data Submitted During the Prosecution of the '438 Patent .....24

I, Ivan T. Hofmann, hereby declare as follows.

**I. Introduction**

1. I am over the age of eighteen and otherwise competent to make this declaration.

2. I have been retained as an independent expert on behalf of Petitioners for the above-captioned *inter partes* review (“IPR”). I previously prepared and issued the Declaration of Ivan T. Hofmann, CPA/CFF, CLP dated June 30, 2016 (the “Hofmann Declaration”). Ex. 1017 (Hofmann Decl.). I submitted a substantially similar declaration in IPR2017-00853.

3. I have been asked to prepare this declaration (the “Hofmann Reply Declaration”) in response to the declaration of Christopher A. Vellturo, Ph.D. dated March 8, 2017 (the “Vellturo Declaration” (Ex. 2044)), relating to the alleged commercial success of Zytiga (abiraterone acetate) and U.S. Patent No. 8,822,438 (the “’438 Patent”) on behalf of Janssen Oncology, Inc. (“Janssen” or “Patent Owner”). I understand that the sole independent claim of the ’438 Patent claims “[a] method for the treatment of a prostate cancer in a human comprising administering to said human a therapeutically effective amount of abiraterone

acetate<sup>2</sup> or a pharmaceutically acceptable salt thereof and a therapeutically effective amount of prednisone.” Ex. 1002 (Garnick Decl.) at ¶ 34; Ex. 1001 (’438 Patent).

4. In formulating my opinions, I have considered the documents cited in the Hofmann Declaration and the additional documents listed in **Attachment A-1** cited within this Hofmann Reply Declaration. In formulating my opinions expressed in this declaration, I have relied upon my education, experience, and knowledge of the subjects discussed.

5. This declaration summarizes my current opinions, which are subject to change depending upon additional information and/or analysis. I reserve the right to supplement this declaration in response to any opinions of experts on behalf of the Patent Owner and/or as additional information becomes available.

---

<sup>2</sup> I understand that from a technical perspective, abiraterone acetate and abiraterone are distinct compounds. I also understand that abiraterone acetate metabolizes into abiraterone in the body and abiraterone is the active pharmaceutical ingredient. *See, e.g.*, Ex. 1097 (Bantle Reply Decl.) at p. 51, footnote 11. For the purposes of this declaration, I treat the references to abiraterone acetate and abiraterone as interchangeable.

## II. Qualifications, Case Background, and Definitions of Commercial Success and Nexus Relative to Objective Indicia of Nonobviousness

6. My qualifications are generally described in Section II of the Hofmann Declaration. Ex. 1017 (Hofmann Decl.). I incorporate those qualifications by reference here. I have also provided an updated *curriculum vitae* in **Attachment A-2** to this declaration, which contains additional details on my background, experience, and prior testimony.

7. My understanding of certain topics related to the background of this matter and the definitions of commercial success and nexus are generally described in in Sections III and IV of the Hofmann Declaration, respectively. Ex. 1017 (Hofmann Decl.). I incorporate those qualifications by reference here.

## III. Lack of Objective Indicia of Nonobviousness

8. In my opinion, the performance of Zytiga fails to provide objective indicia of nonobviousness of the asserted claims of the '438 Patent, because no other company had the ability to commercialize a product containing abiraterone acetate in the U.S. as a result of the “blocking” nature of U.S. Patent No. 5,604,213 (the “213 Patent” (Ex. 1005)).

9. As discussed in the Hofmann Declaration, I understand that the '213 Patent claims both the abiraterone acetate compound and methods for treating an

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.