Paper No. ____ Date Filed: March 8, 2017

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

MYLAN PHARMACEUTICALS INC., Petitioners,

v.

JANSSEN ONCOLOGY, INC. Patent Owner.

> Case IPR2016-01332 Patent 8,822,438 B2

PATENT OWNER'S RESPONSE

DOCKET A L A R M Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u>.

Table of Contents

I.	INTR	RODU	JCTION1		
II.	CLA	IM C	ONSTRUCTION ISSUES5		
III. THE PRIOR ART RELIED UPON BY MYLAN7					
	A.	O'D	onnell (Ex. 1003)7		
	B.		rie (Ex. 1005)		
	C.	Ger	ber (Ex. 1004)9		
IV. THE RECORD REFUTES THE SCIENTIFIC BASIS OF MYLAN'S HINDSIGHT-DRIVEN OBVIOUSNESS CHALLENGE10					
	A.	•	an Incorrectly Contends that Abiraterone Acetate Causes the ne Side Effects as Ketoconazole13		
		1.	Abiraterone Acetate and Ketoconazole Cause Very Different Effects on Steroid Biosynthesis		
		2.	Mylan Incorrectly Contends that Ketoconazole and Abiraterone Acetate Cause the Same Side Effects		
			(a) There Is No Evidence that Ketoconazole Causes Mineralocorticoid Excess		
			(b) There Was No Evidence in 2006 that Abiraterone Acetate Would Cause Mineralocorticoid Excess		
	В.		onnell Establishes No Need for Glucocorticoid Replacement Abiraterone Acetate20		
		1.	O'Donnell Reports No Side Effects of Abiraterone Acetate Warranting Glucocorticoid Replacement		
		2.	O'Donnell's Synacthen Test Results Did Not Establish a Need for Glucocorticoid Replacement with Abiraterone Acetate24		
	C.		oconazole with Prednisone Was Not Known to be "Safe and octive" for Prostate Cancer in 200627		
		1.	Gerber Did Not Establish that Ketoconazole with Prednisone Was Safe and Effective for Prostate Cancer		
		2.	Other Prior Art Taught that Ketoconazole with Prednisone Was Not a Safe and Effective Treatment of Prostate Cancer		

	D.	Prednisone's Severe Side-Effects Would Have Dissuaded Skilled Persons from Using It without a Clear Clinical Benefit			
		1.	Use of Glucocorticoids Was Discouraged Because of Adverse Side Effects, Particularly in Prostate Cancer Patients		
		2.	The Prior Art Taught that Prednisone Could Fuel the Prostate Cancer		
		3.	If Symptoms of Mineralocorticoid Excess Occurred, a Skilled Person Would Have Addressed Them with Other Available Drugs 		
	Е.		006, Prednisone Was Not Known to Have "Anti-Cancer cts"35		
	F.		killed Person Would Not Have Had a Reasonable Expectation uccess in Achieving the '438 Patented Invention37		
		1.	The Prior Art Provided No Basis for a Skilled Person to Expect Prednisone Would Provide Anti-Prostate Cancer Effects		
		2.	The Unpredictability of Drug Combination Therapy For Prostate Cancer Precludes Obviousness		
	G.	Myl	an's Obviousness Grounds Rely on Hindsight41		
	H.	Mylan Has Failed to Meet Its Burden of Demonstrating Obviousness of the Claimed Invention43			
		1.	O'Donnell and Gerber Do Not Provide a Reason to Use Prednisone with Abiraterone Acetate to Treat Prostate Cancer44		
		2.	Barrie and Gerber Do Not Provide a Reason to Use Prednisone with Abiraterone Acetate to Treat Prostate Cancer		
		3.	The Prior Art Taught Away from Using Prednisone in Prostate Cancer Patients		
v.			VE INDICIA OF NONOBVIOUSNESS CONFIRM THE ABILITY OF THE CLAIMS48		
	A.	The	Claimed Methods Show Unexpected Results48		
		1.	Multiple Clinical Studies Demonstrate Unexpected Anti-Cancer Effects of Glucocorticoid/ Abiraterone Acetate Combination Treatment		
		2.	Mylan's Criticisms of the Invention's Unexpected Results Are Unwarranted		

В.	Skepticism and the Failure of Others55
C.	The Claimed Invention Met a Long-Felt Need57
D.	The Claimed Invention Has Significant Commercial Success58
	1. A Nexus Exists Between the Claimed Invention and ZYTIGA®'s Commercial Success
Е.	Mylan's Blocking Patent Argument is Flawed62
VI. CO	NCLUSION64

IPR2016-01332 Patent 8,822,438

I. INTRODUCTION

This proceeding involves a breakthrough in the treatment of prostate cancer patients with an advanced stage of the disease known as metastatic castrationresistant prostate cancer ("mCRPC"). Before the invention of U.S. Patent No. 8,822,438 ("the '438 patent"), these patients faced a dismal prognosis, with few meaningful treatment options. The invention – a two time FDA priority approved method of administering abiraterone acetate with prednisone that corresponds directly to the claims of the '438 patent – changed this picture dramatically; prostate cancer patients treated with this therapy enjoy a striking increase in patient survival that could not have been predicted.

Despite this unpredictable result, and the striking commercial success of this new and effective treatment, Mylan Pharmaceuticals Inc. ("Mylan") contends it would have been obvious to the skilled person to co-administer prednisone with abiraterone acetate, advancing in its petition the same hindsight-infused theory of obviousness advanced previously by Amerigen Pharmaceuticals, Ltd. (*See* IPR2016-00286) ("Amerigen IPR").¹ (*See* Mylan ID at 2-3). Under that theory, a skilled person would have co-administered abiraterone with prednisone based on experiences with another "CYP17 inhibitor," ketoconazole, which Mylan contends was a safe and effective method for treating prostate cancer before 2006.

¹ IPR2016-0317 was joined with IPR2016-00286.

DOCKET A L A R M



Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.