PATENT Attorney Docket No. 11285.0056-00000

#### IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of:	)
John R. Evans et al.	) Group Art Unit: 1628
Application No.: 12/285,887	) Examiner: HUI, San Ming R.
Filed: October 15, 2008	) ) Confirmation No.: 1199
For: FORMULATION	) )VIA EFS-WEB
Commissioner for Patents	

P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

DOCKET

#### INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.97(c)

#### A. Documents Listed in the Attached SB/08 Form

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.56 and 1.97(c), Applicants brings to the attention of the Examiner the documents on the attached listing. This Information Disclosure Statement is being filed after the events recited in Section 1.97(b) but, to the undersigned's knowledge, before the mailing date of either a Final action, Quayle action, or a Notice of Allowance. Under the provisions of 37 C.F.R. § 1.97(c), this Information Disclosure Statement is accompanied by a fee of \$180.00 as specified by Section 1.17(p).

Applicants respectfully request that the Examiner consider the listed documents and indicate that they were considered by making appropriate notations on the attached form.

### B. Teva's Paragraph IV Letter Dated November 25, 2009

The undersigned wishes to make of record the following information. Teva Parenteral Medicines, Inc., ("Teva") filed Abbreviated New Drug Application ("ANDA") No. 200479 with the FDA seeking approval of a generic 50 mg/mL Fulvestrant injection. In connection with ANDA No. 200479, Teva sent a letter to AstraZeneca Pharmaceuticals LP dated November 25, 2009, ("Teva's Letter") concerning U.S. Patent Nos. 6,774,122 and 7,456,160 ("the '122 and '160 patents").

The instant application claims the benefit of priority from each of the '122 and '160 patents. Teva's Letter alleges that the '122 and '160 patents are obvious in light of, *inter alia*, Howell *et al.* (cited in the Information Disclosure Statement filed on June 4, 2009) and McLeskey *et al.* (cited in this Information Disclosure Statement).

All documents cited in Teva's Letter are listed in the table below. To the extent Teva's Letter provided a pinpoint citation for any of the documents, the citation is also provided below. Otherwise, the phrase "generally" appears when Teva's Letter referred to the disclosure in the given document without a citation.

References cited in Teva's Paragraph IV Letter Dated November 25, 2009, Concerning AstraZeneca's U.S. Patent Nos. 6,774,122 and 7,456,160		
Reference	Citation	
U.S. Patent No. 5,183,814 to Dukes et al., and its	Generally;	
"European cognate," European Patent Application	Col. 3, I. 66 - Col. 4, I. 4;	
No. EP 0 346 014	Col. 6, II. 20-26;	
	Col. 9, II. 15-25;	
	Example 3, col. 11, II. 1-11	

- 2 -

DOCKE

RM

References cited in Teva's Paragraph IV Letter Dated November 25, 2009, Concerning AstraZeneca's U.S. Patent Nos. 6,774,122 and 7,456,160		
Reference	Citation	
U.S. Patent No.4,659,516 to Bowler et al. (and	Generally	
European Patent Application No. EP 0 138 504, which		
was termed an "equivalent" of U.S. Patent		
No.4,659,516 in Teva's Letter)		
European Patent Application No. EP 0 346 014, which	Generally	
was termed the "European cognate" of U.S. Patent		
No. 5,183,814 in Teva's Letter		
Howell et al., "Pharmacokinetics, Pharmacological,	Generally;	
and Anti-tumour Effects of the Specific Anti-oestrogen	300; 301; 302; 303; 305	
ICI 182780 in Women with Advanced Breast Cancer,"	Figure 2;	
Brit J. Cancer 74:300-308 (1996).		
McLeskey et al., "Tamoxifen-Resistant Fibroblast	Generally;	
Growth Factor-Transfected MCF-7 Cells are Cross-	698	
Resistant In Vivo to the Antiestrogen ICI 182,780 and		
Two Aromatase Inhibitors," Clin. Cancer Res. 4:697-		
711 (1998).		
Wakeling et al., "A Potent Specific Pure Antiestrogen	Generally;	
with Clinical. Potential," Cancer Res., 51:3867-73	3869	
(1991).		
U.S. Patent No. 4,212,863	Col. 1, II. 30-32	
P.K. Gupta and GA. Brazeau (eds), Injectable Drug	405	
Development:Techniques to Reduce Pain and	418	
<i>Irritation</i> . Chapters 11 & 17 Interpharm Press, Denver,		
Colorado (1999).		

AstraZeneca Pharmaceuticals LP and other AstraZeneca related corporate entities brought suit against Teva and other Teva related corporate entities charging



DOCKET

ARM

Α

Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

them with infringement of the '122 and '160 patents. The suit was filed on January 7, 2010 in the U.S. District Court for the District of Delaware and was assigned Civil Action No. 10-18-JAP.

Subsequently, Teva withdrew ANDA No. 200479 and is no longer seeking approval of a generic 50 mg/mL Fulvestrant injection from the FDA. Civil Action No. 10-18-JAP was dismissed without prejudice on June 15, 2011.

Unless already of record, all documents cited in the preceding table are being submitted to the Office in the attached SB/08 form.

#### C. Documents from the prosecution of European Patent Applications member of the same family as the instant application

Applicants submitted documents from the opposition against European Patent Application No. 01900186.6 with the Information Disclosure Statement filed June 4, 2009. Applicants now supplement that submission with documents submitted after the June 4, 2009, Information Disclosure Statement. Applicants are also enclosing the search reports from European Patent Application Nos. 10180667.7 and 10180661.0. European Patent Application Nos. 01900186.6, 10180667.7, and 10180661.0 are European members of the same patent family as the instant application.

This submission does not represent that a search has been made or that no better art exists and does not constitute an admission that each or all of the documents listed in the attached SB/08 form or in the table above are material or constitute "prior art." If the Examiner applies any of the documents as prior art against any claims in the application and Applicant determines that the cited documents do not constitute "prior

- 4 -

DOCKE.

art" under United States law, applicant reserves the right to present to the office the relevant facts and law regarding the appropriate status of such documents.

Applicants further reserve the right to take appropriate action to establish the patentability of the disclosed invention over the listed documents, should one or more of the documents be applied against the claims of the present application.

If there is any fee due in connection with the filing of this Statement not included herein, please charge the fee to Deposit Account 06-0916.

Respectfully submitted,

FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER, L.L.P.

Dated: June 20, 2011

Bv: Carlos M. Téllez

Reg. No. 48,638 (202) 408-4123

## DOCKET A L A R M



# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.