Patent and T	rademark Office	T OF COMMERCE
	ISSIONER OF PATENTS Igton, D.C. 20231	AND TRADEMARKS
APPLICATION NUMBER FILING DATE FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNE	DOCKET NO.
08/561,951 11/22/95 YEN	Т	64.663-004
	EXAMIN	ER
B5M2/1107 BARNES KISSELLE RAISCH CHOATE	WALLAC	
WHITTEMORE & HULBERT	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
3500 PENOBSCOT BUILDING DETROIT MI 48226	2503	5
	DATE MAILED:	11/07/96
	DATE MAILED.	
This is a communication from the examiner in charge of your application.	· · · · ·	,
COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS		
X Responsive to communication(s) filed on 8-19-96	n na seanna an seanna	
This action is FINAL.	····	
 Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosect 	ution on to the morit	a to allocad in
		5 15 CIUSEU III
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire <u>3</u> whichever is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to respond wit the application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extensions of time may be of 1.136(a).	thin the period for res	ponse will cause
Disposition of Claims		
Claim(s) 2 - 4 , 8 - 17	is/are per	ding in the application.
Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdra	wn from consideration.
□ Claim(s)		
🖪 Claim(s)2-6, 8-17		is/are rejected.
□ Claim(s)		is/are objected to.
Claims are	subject to restriction	or election requirement.
Application Papers		
See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948.	a turk star	
The drawing(s) filed on is/are objective	ected to by the Exami	ner.
The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is 🗆 appr	oved 🗌 disapproved.
The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	an a	.* * .*
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	en en en el el el el	and the second
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		the second second
Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-((d).	an an an an an
All Some* None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority documents	have been	e se se se se se
received.	-	
received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number)	in an in a sub-	· · · · · · · ·
I received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT B		
received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT R *Certified copies not received:		······································
*Certified copies not received:		and the second second
*Certified copies not received:		an an an an Araba. An an Araba
*Certified copies not received:	»).	

DOCKET A L A R M Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u>.

Art Unit: 2503

DOCKE

Δ

ARM

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Field of Search

This office action has been created under the Patent and Trademark Office Semiconductor Technology Quality Assurance Pilot Program. It incorporates the examination quality standards set as a result of customer focus sessions with the semiconductor industry. The listing of the field of search to follow is one of these standards.

Field of Search	Date
U.S. Class and subclass: 257/382, 383, 387, 388; 437/190, 192 257/295, 296, 382, 383, 387, 903; 437/190, 192	4/14/96 11/1/96
Other Documentation: foreign references in 257/382, 383, 387, 388; 437/190, 192 foreign references in 257/295, 296, 382, 383, 387, 903; 437/190, 192	4/14/96 11/1/96
Electronic data base(s): APS data search	11/1/96

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the

basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u>.

Art Unit: 2503

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

Claims 2-6, 8-14 and 16-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by

Nicholls et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,541,434).

Nicholls et al. disclose a semiconductor structure comprising:

a silicon substrate (2) having a top surface;

a diffusion region (10) formed within said top surface of said substrate;

a polysilicon gate (6) formed on said top surface and juxtaposed to but not in contact with

said diffusion region, wherein said polysilicon gate and said diffusion region are doped to N+ or

P+ polarity (see Nicholls et al. column 2, lines 53-56 and 67 and column 3, lines 1-2);

an insulator layer (14) formed of silicon oxide (see Nicholls et al. column 3, lines 4-5 and

27-30) and substantially covering said gate and said diffusion region;

a contact via (16) etched into said insulating layer, such that said gate and said diffusion region are exposed;

and depositing an electrically conducting plug (20) formed of tungsten into said contact

via, such that said plug provides direct electrical communication between said polysilicon gate and

said diffusion region (see Nicholls et al. column 32-37). Note Nicholls et al. Figure 1e.

Therefore Nicholls et al. meet and anticipate the claims.

Page 3

Art Unit: 2503

Page 4

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness

rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 15 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nicholls et al. in

view of Jones, Jr. (U.S. Patent No. 5,313,089).

The disclosure of Nicholls et al. as discussed above. However, Nicholls et al. fail to

disclose forming the conductive plug with a refractory metal layer and a layer of glue.

Therefore, to provide the device of Nicholls et al. with a conductive plug comprised of an outer glue layer and a plug of a refractory metal as taught by Jones, Jr. would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in this art because Jones, Jr. specifically teach the procedure of forming a conductive plug (32) within a dielectric layer (30) wherein said plug has a layer of glue and a plug of tungsten as well known and commonly used in conductive plug technology (see Jones, Jr. column 3, lines 64-68 and column 4, lines 1-17). Note Jones, Jr. Figure 2.

Response to Amendment

The addition of claims 15-17 and the cancellation of claims 1 and 7 in the amendment received August 19, 1996 are acknowledged.

Art Unit: 2503

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 2-6 and 8-14 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

1. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for response to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the date of this action. In the event a first response is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event will the statutory period for response expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Valencia Martin Wallace whose telephone number is (703) 308-4119. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday from 8:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m.

Page 5

DOCKET A L A R M



Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.