

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY,
Petitioner,

v.

UNITED TECHNOLOGIES CORPORATION,
Patent Owner.

Case IPR2016-01289
Patent 7,060,360 B2

Before GRACE KARAFFA OBERMANN, CHRISTOPHER M. KAISER,
and MICHELLE N. ANKENBRAND, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

OBERMANN, *Administrative Patent Judge*.

ORDER
Authorizing Reply and Surreply Briefs on Remand
37 C.F.R. § 42.5

On April 5, 2019, pursuant to a remand procedure agreed upon by the parties, we authorized Petitioner to file a Remand Brief by April 15, 2019, and Patent Owner to file a Responsive Remand Brief by April 22, 2019. Paper 28. The Board is in receipt of those briefs. Papers 29, 30.

Based on our review of those briefs, we determine that additional briefing may be helpful to the Board. Accordingly, we authorize Petitioner to file a Reply Brief on Remand by close of business on May 8, 2019. We authorize Patent Owner to file a Surreply Brief on Remand by close of business on May 22, 2019. Both briefs shall be limited to five (5) pages of text, excluding the caption, signature block, and table of contents, if any. No new evidence may be filed with either brief. The Reply Brief on Remand shall be confined to responding to arguments and evidence raised in Patent Owner's Responsive Remand Brief (Paper 30). The Surreply Brief on Remand shall be confined to responding to arguments and evidence raised in any Reply Brief on Remand that is filed pursuant to this Order.

It is

ORDERED that Petitioner is authorized to file a Reply Brief on Remand by close of business no later than May 8, 2019;

FURTHER ORDERED that Patent Owner shall file a Surreply Brief on Remand no later than May 22, 2019;

FURTHER ORDERED that the Reply Brief on Remand and the Surreply Brief on Remand each shall be limited to five (5) pages of text, excluding the caption, signature block, and table of contents, if any;

IPR2016-01289
Patent 7,060,360 B2

FURTHER ORDERED that no new evidence may be filed with the Reply Brief on Remand or the Surreply Brief on Remand;

FURTHER ORDERED that the Reply Brief on Remand shall be confined to addressing arguments and evidence raised in Patent Owner's Responsive Remand Brief (Paper 30);

FURTHER ORDERED that the Surreply Brief on Remand shall be confined to addressing arguments and evidence raised in any Reply Brief on Remand filed pursuant to this Order; and

FURTHER ORDERED that no other remand procedure or briefing is authorized at this time.

IPR2016-01289
Patent 7,060,360 B2

PETITIONER:

Anish Desai
Ge.wgm.service@weil.com

Brian Ferguson
Brian.ferguson@weil.com

Megan Wantland
Megan.wantland@weil.com

PATENT OWNER:

W. Karl Renner
Axf-ptab@fr.com

Timothy Riffe
riffe@fr.com

Lauren Degnan
degnan@fr.com

David L. Holt
Holt2@fr.com