UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY, Petitioner,

v.

UNITED TECHNOLOGIES CORPORATION, Patent Owner.

Case No. IPR2016-01289 U.S. Patent No. 7,060,360

PETITIONER'S OBJECTIONS TO ADMISSIBILITY OF EVIDENCE SERVED WITH PATENT OWNER UNITED TECHNOLOGIES CORP.'S RESPONSE TO PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW



Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.64(b), Petitioner General Electric Company, hereby objects as follows to the admissibility of evidence with Patent Owner United Technologies Corporation's Response to Petition for Inter Partes review of U.S. Patent No. 7,060,360 (IPR2016-01289).

Evidence	Objections
UTC-2013	FRE 401-402: The exhibit is not relevant to any ground
	upon which trial was instituted.
	FRE 403: The exhibit's probative value to any ground
	upon which trial was instituted is substantially outweighed
	by the danger of unfair prejudice, confusing the issues,
	undue delay, wasting time, or needlessly presenting
	cumulative evidence.
	FRE 602: Paragraphs 5-48 of the exhibit include
	assertions for which evidence has not been introduced
	sufficient to show that the witness has personal knowledge
	of the matters asserted.
	FRE 701/702/703: Paragraphs 5-48 of the exhibit include
	opinions that are not admissible under FRE 701, 702,
	and/or 703, or Daubert v. Merrell Dow Pharms., Inc., 509

Δ

Evidence	Objections
	U.S. 579 (1993).
	FRE 705 / 37 C.F.R. § 42.65: The exhibit includes expert
	testimony that does not disclose the underlying facts or
	data.
	FRE 801/802: The exhibit includes inadmissible hearsay
	if offered to prove the truth of any matter allegedly
	asserted therein.
	FRE 1006: The exhibit provides an improper summary of
	the evidence.
UTC-2014	Petitioner maintains its objections made during the
	deposition of Dr. Andreas M. Glaeser.
	FRE 401-402: The exhibit is not relevant to any ground
	upon which trial was instituted.
	FRE 403: The exhibit's probative value to any ground
	upon which trial was instituted is substantially outweighed
	by the danger of unfair prejudice, confusing the issues,
	undue delay, wasting time, or needlessly presenting
	cumulative evidence.
UTC-2016	FRE 106: The exhibit violates the rule of completeness.

Evidence	Objections
	FRE 401-402: The exhibit is not relevant to any ground
	upon which trial was instituted.
	FRE 403: The exhibit's probative value to any ground
	upon which trial was instituted is substantially outweighed
	by the danger of unfair prejudice, confusing the issues,
	undue delay, wasting time, or needlessly presenting
	cumulative evidence.
	FRE 801/802: The exhibit is inadmissible hearsay if
	offered to prove the truth of any matter allegedly asserted
	therein.
UTC-2017	FRE 106: The exhibit violates the rule of completeness.
	FRE 401-402: The exhibit is not relevant to any ground
	upon which trial was instituted.
	FRE 403: The exhibit's probative value to any ground
	upon which trial was instituted is substantially outweighed
	by the danger of unfair prejudice, confusing the issues,
	undue delay, wasting time, or needlessly presenting
	cumulative evidence.
	FRE 801/802: The exhibit is inadmissible hearsay if

Objections
offered to prove the truth of any matter allegedly asserted
therein.
FRE 106: The exhibit violates the rule of completeness.
FRE 401-402: The exhibit is not relevant to any ground
upon which trial was instituted.
FRE 403: The exhibit's probative value to any ground
upon which trial was instituted is substantially outweighed
by the danger of unfair prejudice, confusing the issues,
undue delay, wasting time, or needlessly presenting
cumulative evidence.
FRE 801/802: The exhibit is inadmissible hearsay if
offered to prove the truth of any matter allegedly asserted
therein.
FRE 401-402: The exhibit is not relevant to any ground
upon which trial was instituted.
FRE 403: The exhibit's probative value to any ground
upon which trial was instituted is substantially outweighed
by the danger of unfair prejudice, confusing the issues,
undue delay, wasting time, or needlessly presenting

DOCKET A L A R M



Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.