UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD
GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY, Petitioner,

v.

UNITED TECHNOLOGIES CORPORATION, Patent Owner.

Case IPR2016-01289 Patent Number: 7,060,360

PETITIONER'S OBJECTIONS TO ADMISSIBILITY OF EVIDENCE SERVED WITH PATENT OWNER UNITED TECHNOLOGIES CORPORATION'S PRELIMINARY RESPONSE TO PETITION FOR INTER PARTES REVIEW



Pursuant to 37 C.F.R § 42.64(b), Petitioner General Electric Company, hereby objects as follows to the admissibility of evidence with Patent Owner United Technologies Corporation's Preliminary Response to Petition for *Inter Partes* review of U.S. Patent No. 7,060,360 (IPR2016-01289).

Evidence	Objections
Exhibit UTC-2001	FRE 401-402: The exhibit is not relevant to any
	ground upon which trial was instituted.
	FRE 403: The exhibit's probative value to any
	ground upon which trial was instituted is
	substantially outweighed by the danger of unfair
	prejudice, confusing the issues, undue delay,
	wasting time, or needlessly presenting cumulative
	evidence.
	FRE 602: Paragraphs 34-61, 70-75, 78-79, 82-84,
	and 87-117 of the exhibit include assertions for
	which evidence has not been introduced sufficient
	to show that the witness has personal knowledge of
	the matters asserted.
	FRE 701/702/703: Paragraphs 34-61, 70-75, 78-
	79, 82-84, and 87-117 include opinions that are not



Evidence	Objections
	admissible under FRE 701, 702, and/or 703, or
	Daubert v. Merrell Dow Pharms., Inc., 509 U.S.
	579 (1993).
	FRE 801/802: The exhibit includes inadmissible
	hearsay if offered to prove the truth of any matter
	allegedly asserted therein.
	FRE 1006: The exhibit provides an improper
	summary of the evidence.
	FRE 705 / 37 C.F.R. § 42.65: The exhibit includes
	expert testimony that does not disclose the
	underlying facts or data.
Exhibit UTC-2002	Lack of Foundation: Patent Owner has not
	provided sufficient explanation of what the exhibit
	is or what it allegedly shows.
	FRE 106: The exhibit violates the rule of
	completeness.
	FRE 401-402: The exhibit is not relevant to any
	ground upon which trial was instituted.
	FRE 403: The exhibit's probative value to any



Evidence	Objections
	ground upon which trial was instituted is
	substantially outweighed by the danger of unfair
	prejudice, confusing the issues, undue delay,
	wasting time, or needlessly presenting cumulative
	evidence.
	FRE 801/802: The exhibit is inadmissible hearsay
	if offered to prove the truth of any matter allegedly
	asserted therein.
	FRE 901: Patent Owner has not produced
	evidence sufficient to support a finding that the
	exhibit is what Patent Owner claims it is.
Exhibit UTC-2003	Lack of Foundation: Patent Owner has not
	provided sufficient explanation of what the exhibit
	is or what it allegedly shows.
	FRE 401-402: The exhibit is not relevant to any
	ground upon which trial was instituted.
	FRE 403: The exhibit's probative value to any
	ground upon which trial was instituted is
	substantially outweighed by the danger of unfair



Evidence	Objections
	prejudice, confusing the issues, undue delay,
	wasting time, or needlessly presenting cumulative
	evidence.
	FRE 801/802: The exhibit is inadmissible hearsay
	if offered to prove the truth of any matter allegedly
	asserted therein.
	FRE 805: The exhibit includes improper hearsay
	within hearsay.
	FRE 901: Patent Owner has not produced
	evidence sufficient to support a finding that the
	exhibit is what Patent Owner claims it is.
Exhibit UTC-2004	Lack of Foundation: Patent Owner has not
	provided sufficient explanation of what the exhibit
	is or what it allegedly shows.
	FRE 106: The exhibit violates the rule of
	completeness.
	FRE 401-402: The exhibit is not relevant to any
	ground upon which trial was instituted.
	FRE 403: The exhibit's probative value to any



# DOCKET

# Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

# **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

### API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

#### **LAW FIRMS**

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

#### **FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS**

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

### **E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS**

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

