UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD APOTEX INC., APOTEX CORP., APOTEX PHARMACEUTICALS HOLDINGS INC., and APOTEX HOLDINGS, INC., Petitioners, v. OSI PHARMACEUTICALS, INC., Patent Owner. U.S. Patent No. 6,900,221 Issue Date: May 31, 2005 Case No. IPR2016-01284

REPLY DECLARATION OF GIUSEPPE GIACCONE, M.D., PH.D.



TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	QUALIFICATIONS	2
II.	MATERIALS REVIEWED	2
III.	OBJECTIVE INDICIA OF NONOBVIOUSNESS	3
P	A. A Person of Ordinary Skill in the Art Cannot Assess if Patent Owner's	
A	Alleged Results are Unexpected	3
F	3. Patent Owner's Alleged Unexpected Results Do Not Apply to All NSCLC	
F	Patients	4
(C. The Method of Treatment Described in Claims 44-46 of the '221 Patent Dic	1
ì	Not Satisfy a Long-Felt Need	5
I	D. There were Many Successful Attempted Treatments in Mammals with	
1	NSCLC	6
IV.	AVAILABILITY FOR CROSS EXAMINATION	7
V.	RIGHT TO SUPPLEMENT	8



I, Giuseppe Giaccone M.D., Ph.D, declare and state as follows:

- 1. The opinions and conclusions I express in this declaration are based on my education, my extensive experience in the diagnosis and treatment of various lung cancers, and my review of materials related to this matter.
- 2. For the purposes of my opinions and conclusions, I apply the definition of "treating" and "treatment" as provided by U.S. Patent No. 6,900,221. (See "the '221 patent, col. 14, ll. 9-15.)

I. QUALIFICATIONS

3. For a discussion of my qualifications and credentials, I refer to my June 17, 2016 declaration (Ex. 1002) and my curriculum vitae, which is **Appendix A** to Ex. 1002.

II. MATERIALS REVIEWED

4. In forming my opinions, I have relied upon my accumulated knowledge and experience. I have reviewed Patent Owner OSI Pharmaceuticals, LLC's ("OSI") Response (Paper 20) and the materials cited in **Appendix A** attached thereto. I have further reviewed the documents referenced herein.



III. OBJECTIVE INDICIA OF NONOBVIOUSNESS

- A. A Person of Ordinary Skill in the Art Cannot Assess if Patent Owner's Alleged Results are Unexpected
- OSI and Dr. Bunn contend that erlotinib's therapeutic efficacy and 5. ability to provide survival benefits to non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) patients was unexpected. (Paper 20 at 61; Ex. 2021 at ¶ 109.) However, logic would dictate that a comparator is necessary to evaluate whether a result is unexpected. Indeed, in the context of patent claims, I understand that the correct comparator for evaluating whether a result is "unexpected" is to compare it with what is identified as being the closest prior art. In this case, the closest prior art is Schnur (U.S. Patent No. 5,747,498 (Ex. 1009)), which discloses the compound erlotinib as being effective to treat a range of conditions that involve inhibition of the EGF receptor, including lung cancer. (See Ex. 1009 at col. 14, II, 1 - 16.) I understand that no such comparison was made. (See Paper 20 at 61; Ex. 2021 at ¶¶ 108-109.) Dr. Bunn subsequently testified that he used chemotherapy as the closest prior art for his analysis. (Ex. 1048 at 111:9 - 113:16.) However, Dr. Bunn's declaration provides no analysis of why a survival benefit derived from the challenged claims of the '221 patent would be unexpected in comparison with chemotherapy. (See Ex. 2021 at ¶¶ 108-109.) Neither does Patent Owner's Response. (See Paper 20 at 61.) Thus, OSI's and Dr. Bunn's statements that erlotinib's therapeutic efficacy



and potential survival benefits for NSCLC patients are unexpected is mere conjecture.

- 6. OSI refers to a "majority of compounds" failing at some point during preclinical and clinical development. (*See* Paper 20 at 61.) However, that is just a general statement about drug development. If FDA-approval is the benchmark and a failure to pass through various stages of preclinical and clinical development in general is the baseline comparator, this would mean that all FDA-approved drugs provide unexpected results. Moreover, because the compound erlotinib was already patented, I understand the issue here as being whether the use of erlotinib to treat NSCLC was unexpected in view of Schnur, which discloses its use to treat lung cancer generally. As set forth in my earlier Declaration, the use of erlotinib to treat NSCLC was not unexpected, particularly in view of Schnur, as well as the statements in OSI's 10-K and Gibbs.
 - B. Patent Owner's Alleged Unexpected Results Do Not Apply to All NSCLC Patients
- 7. I further disagree with OSI's and Dr. Bunn's contentions that erlotinib's efficacy in treating NSCLC was unexpected because erlotinib plainly does not provide a survival to all, or even a majority, of NSCLC patients. Instead, in my experience, and as set forth in more recent articles, only a small subset of NSCLC patients actually respond to erlotinib. (See Ex. 1053 at ¶ 10; Ex. 1051 at



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

