

Paper No. \_\_\_\_  
Filed: April 4, 2017

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

**R.J. REYNOLDS VAPOR COMPANY,**  
Petitioner,

v.

**FONTEM HOLDINGS 1 B.V.,**  
Patent Owner.

---

Case No. **IPR2016-01268**  
Patent No. **8,365,742**

---

**PATENT OWNER OPPOSITION TO PETITION FOR  
*INTER PARTES* REVIEW**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. INTRODUCTION ..... 1

II. BACKGROUND ..... 4

    A. The '742 Patent and Claims ..... 4

    B. Procedural Background ..... 7

III. A PERSON OF ORDINARY SKILL IN THE ART ..... 7

IV. CLAIM CONSTRUCTION ..... 8

    A. “supported by” ..... 8

V. CLAIMS 2 AND 3 ARE PATENTABLE ..... 11

    A. Claim 2 is Not Obvious Over Hon '043 in View of Whittemore ..... 12

        1. Hon '043 ..... 12

        2. Whittemore ..... 17

        3. Independent Claim 2 ..... 18

            a. Hon '043 does not teach or suggest a “porous component supported by a frame” ..... 18

                (i) Hon '043’s atomization cavity wall does not support the porous body under the broadest reasonable interpretation of the term “supported by” ..... 19

                (ii) Hon '043’s atomization cavity wall does not prevent axial displacement of the porous body ..... 20

                (iii) Hon '043’s atomization cavity wall does not prevent the porous body from deforming ..... 25

                (iv) Hon '043’s atomization cavity wall does not provide radial support during pressure increases ..... 31

                (v) Hon '043’s atomization cavity wall does not provide “further support” in the area of the bulge ..... 42

            b. The combination of Hon '043 and Whittemore does not teach or suggest “a heating wire wound on a part of the porous component” ..... 43

4.	A Skilled Person Would Not Have Combined Hon '043 With Whittemore.....	45
B.	Claim 3 Is Not Obvious Over Hon '043 in View of Whittemore .....	53
1.	Independent Claim 3 .....	53
a.	Hon '043 combined with Whittemore does not teach or suggest “a heating wire wound on a part of the porous component which is substantially aligned with the run-through hole” .....	54
b.	The combination of Hon '043 and Whittemore does not teach or suggest placing the “porous component between the frame and the outlet” .....	54
2.	A Skilled Person Would Not Have Combined Hon '043 With Whittemore.....	59
VI.	CONCLUSION.....	59
	CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE.....	60

## TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

### CASES

<i>ActiveVideo Networks, Inc. v. Verizon Commc'ns, Inc.</i> , 694 F.3d 1312 (Fed. Cir. 2012) .....	12
<i>Broadcom Corp. v. Emulex Corp.</i> , 732 F.3d 1325 (Fed. Cir. 2013) .....	53
<i>Cheese Sys., Inc. v. Tetra Pak Cheese &amp; Powder Sys., Inc.</i> , 725 F.3d 1341 (Fed. Cir. 2013) .....	12
<i>Google, Inc. v. Network-1 Techs., Inc.</i> , IPR2015-00345 (PTAB June 23, 2015) (Ex. 2026) .....	19
<i>In re Kahn</i> , 441 F.3d 977 (Fed. Cir. 2006) .....	12
<i>In re Ratti</i> , 270 F.2d 810 (C.C.P.A. 1959) .....	53
<i>KSR Int'l Co. v. Teleflex Inc.</i> , 550 U.S. 398 (2007).....	11, 12
<i>LG Display Co., Ltd. v. Delaware Display Group, LLC</i> , IPR2014-01359 (PTAB Mar. 2, 2015) (Ex. 2027).....	19

### STATUTES

35 U.S.C. § 103 .....	11
-----------------------	----

### OTHER AUTHORITIES

37 C.F.R. § 42.100 .....	8
37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b)(3).....	19
MPEP 2143.01(VI) .....	53

### LIST OF EXHIBITS

<b>Petitioner's Exhibits</b>	
<b>Exhibit</b>	<b>Description</b>
Ex. 1001	U.S. Pat. No. 8,365,742 to Lik Hon
Ex. 1002	Chinese Pat. No. 2719043Y to Lik Hon
Ex. 1003	Certified English translation of Chinese Pat. No. 2719043Y to Lik Hon
Ex. 1004	U.S. Pat. No. 2,057,353 to C. L. Whittemore, Jr
Ex. 1005	Application Data Sheet of April 5, 2011 Filed in U.S. Pat. Appl. No. 13/079,937
Ex. 1006	Preliminary Amendment of April 5, 2011 Filed in U.S. Pat. Appl. No. 13/079,937
Ex. 1007	Non-Final Office Action of July 19, 2012 in U.S. Pat. Appl. No. 13/079,937
Ex. 1008	Amendment of August 3, 2012 in U.S. Pat. Appl. No. 13/079,937
Ex. 1009	PCT Pub. No. WO2007131449
Ex. 1010	English translation of PCT Pub. No. WO2007131449
Ex. 1011	Board's Decision Denying Institution in IPR2015-00859
Ex. 1012	Patent Owner's Preliminary Response to Petition for IPR of U.S. Pat. No. 8,365,742 in IPR2015-00859
Ex. 1013	Petition for IPR of U.S. Pat. No. 8,365,742 in IPR2015-00859
Ex. 1014	Board's Order Dismissing Petition IPR2015-01587
Ex. 1015	Declaration of Dr. Robert Sturges

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.