Exhibit B

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
MIDDLE DISTRICT OF FLORIDA
JACKSONVILLE DIVISION

_____

PATENT ASSET LICENSING, LLC,

      Plaintiff,

v.   Case No. 3:15-cv-742-J-32MCR

BRIGHT HOUSE NETWORKS, LLC,

      Defendant.

_____

PATENT ASSET LICENSING, LLC,

      Plaintiff,

v.   Case No. 3:15-cv-743-J-32MCR

WIDEOPENWEST FINANCE, LLC
and KNOLOGY OF FLORIDA, INC.,

      Defendants.

_____

PATENT ASSET LICENSING, LLC,

      Plaintiff,

v.   Case No. 3:15-cv-746-J-32MCR

BIRCH COMMUNICATIONS, INC.,

      Defendant.

_____

_____

PATENT ASSET LICENSING, LLC,

      Plaintiff,

v.   Case No. 3:15-cv-747-J-32MCR

 T3 COMMUNICATIONS, INC.,

      Defendant.
_____

OPENING CLAIM CONSTRUCTION EXPERT DECLARATION
OF DR. ERIC BURGER

I.   INTRODUCTION AND QUALIFICATIONS

1.   My name is Eric Burger and I have been retained as a technical expert to address certain claim construction issues regarding U.S. Patent Nos. 7,764,777 ("the '777 patent"); 8,457,113 ("the '113 patent"); and 8,155,298 ("the '298 patent") (collectively the "patents-in-suit"). I understand the three patents-in-suit share an original parent patent, U.S. Patent No. 6,574,328 ("the parent '328 patent"), and a continuation-in-part parent patent, U.S. Patent No. 7,324,635 ("the '635 patent"). I understand that the plaintiff in this case is claiming that the three asserted patents are entitled to the same invention and priority date as the parent '328 patent.

2.   In this declaration I will set forth my opinions regarding how the claims of the patents-in-suit should be construed. This declaration contains a statement of my opinions formed in this case and provides the basis for those opinions. I make the following statements based on my own personal knowledge and, if called as a witness, I could and would testify to my opinions reflected in this declaration.

3.   I am being compensated for the time I have spent on this litigation at my customary rate of $750 per hour. No part of my compensation is dependent, in any way, upon the opinion I provide or the outcome of this litigation.

A.   Qualifications

4.   A copy of my current curriculum vitae ("CV"), including references to the publications I have authored and a list of all cases for which I have testified as an expert at trial or at deposition in the past five years is attached hereto.

5.   I am presently a Research Professor of Computer Science at Georgetown University. I have been with Georgetown since 2010. In addition to my duties as a Professor, I am the Director of the Georgetown Center for Secure Communciations and Director of the Georgetown site of the NSF-sponsored Security and Software Engineering Research Center (S^{2}ERC).[1] As of the time of this writing, the Georgetown site of the S^{2}ERC has two main programs. The first program focuses on cyber threat intelligence information sharing. The second program, of relevance to the present case, focuses on the transition of the legacy public switched telephone network (PSTN) to the all-Internet Protocol (all-IP) telecomuncations network.[2]

6.   I have a PhD with Highest Honors in Computer Science, with a thesis relating to using Voice-over-Internet Protocol (VoIP) protocols for device control from the Illinois Institute of Technology. VoIP is a technology that allows you to make voice calls using packet networks, particularly using the Internet Protocol (IP). VoIP Internet services use a broadband Internet connection instead of a regular (or analog) phone line. My dissertation for this work won the IIT Exemplary Research Award.

7.   I hold an MBA with Distinction from the Catholic University of Leuven and a SBEE from the Massachusetts Institute of Technology. I am a Senior Member of the Institute of Electronics and Electrical Engineers (IEEE) and a Senior Member of the Association for Computing Machinery (ACM). In 2012, I was awarded the IEEE-USA Professional Achievement for Individuals Award for my work in communications

---

[1] *See* https://s2erc.georgetown.edu
[2] *See* https://www.fcc.gov/general/voice-over-internet-protocol-voip

DOCKET ALARM

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts

Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research

With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips

Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

fastcase®
Smarter legal research.