

Petition for *Inter Partes* Review of
U.S. Patent No. 8,457,113 B2

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

Bright House Networks, LLC,
WideOpenWest Finance, LLC,
Knology of Florida, Inc.
Birch Communications, Inc.
Petitioners

v.

Focal IP, LLC,
Patent Owner

Patent No. 8,457,113 B2
Filing Date: Jun. 22, 2010
Issue Date: Jun. 4, 2013

BRANCH CALLING AND CALLER ID BASED CALL ROUTING
TELEPHONE FEATURES

**Petition for *Inter Partes* Review of
U.S. Patent No. 8,457,113 B2**

Inter Partes Review No. [_____]

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PAGE

I. INTRODUCTION1

II. MANDATORY NOTICES UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(A)(1)2

A. Real Party-In-Interest under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(1)2

B. Related Matters under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(2)4

C. Lead and Back-Up Counsel under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(3)5

D. Service Information under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(4).....5

III. PAYMENT OF FEES - 37 C.F.R. § 42.103.....6

IV. GROUNDS FOR STANDING UNDER 37 C.F.R. §42.104(A)6

V. REASONS FOR REQUESTED RELIEF (37 C.F.R. §§42.22, 42.104(B)).....6

A. Summary of the '113 Patent.....7

B. Prosecution History9

C. Claim Construction Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b)(3)10

D. A POSA's Level of Skill in the Art10

E. State of the Art11

1. Circuit- and Packet-Switched Networks.....11

2. PSTN Architecture.....11

3. Call Components—Signaling and Media12

4. PSTN Call Features and Intelligent Networks.....12

5. VoIP and Internet Telephony.....13

VI. IDENTIFICATION OF CHALLENGES UNDER 37 C.F.R. §42.104(B).....14

A. Challenged Claims and Statutory Grounds for Challenges14

VII. HOW THE CHALLENGED CLAIMS ARE UNPATENTABLE (37 C.F.R. §42.104(B))15

A. Summary of Asserted References15

1. Archer.....15

2. Chang15

B. Combinability of Archer and Chang.....16

TABLE OF CONTENTS
CONTINUED

PAGE

VIII. CLAIMS 1 AND 94 AND DEPENDENTS ARE OBVIOUS UNDER GROUNDS 1– 217

A. Independent Claims 1 and 94 17

 1. Claims 1[pre] and 94[pre]–preamble 17

 2. Claims 1[a] and 94[a] – receiving call data 30

 3. Claims 1[b] and 94[b] – a communication device 33

 4. Claims 1[c] and 94[c] – call processing system coupled to a switching facility 33

 5. Claims 1[d] and 94[d] – processing a call across both the circuit and packet networks 39

 6. Claims 1[e] and 94[e] – establishing voice communication 40

B. Dependent Claims 2 and 95 Are Obvious Under Grounds 1–2 41

C. Dependent Claims 8 and 102 Are Obvious Under Grounds 1–2 41

 1. Claims 8[a] and 102[a] – identifying control criteria 41

 2. Claim 8[b] – control criteria previously provided 42

 3. Claim 102[b] – subscriber requests control criteria 42

 4. Claims 8[c] and 102[c] – completing the call 43

D. Dependent Claim 11 Is Obvious Under Grounds 1–2 44

E. Dependent Claim 15 Is Obvious Under Grounds 1–2 45

F. Dependent Claim 109 Is Obvious Under Grounds 1–2 45

G. Dependent Claims 16 and 110 Are Obvious Under Grounds 1–2 45

H. Dependent Claims 17 and 111 Are Obvious Under Grounds 1–2 47

I. Dependent Claims 18 and 112 Are Obvious Under Grounds 1–2 50

J. Dependent Claims 19 and 113 Are Obvious Under Grounds 1–2 52

K. Dependent Claim 128 Is Obvious Under Ground 1 54

IX. INDEPENDENT CLAIM 163 AND DEPENDENTS ARE OBVIOUS UNDER GROUND 155

TABLE OF CONTENTS
CONTINUED

	PAGE
A. Independent Claim 163 is Obvious Under Ground 1	55
1. Claim 163[pre] – preamble	55
2. Claim limitation 163[a] – receiving call data	57
3. Claim limitation 163[b] – accessing control criteria	58
4. Claim limitation 163[c] – initiating a second call	58
5. Claim limitation 163[d] – enabling communication.....	61
B. Dependent Claim 164 is Obvious Under Ground 1	61
C. Dependent Claim 166 is Obvious Under Ground 1	61
D. Dependent Claim 167 is Obvious Under Ground 1	62
E. Dependent Claim 168 is Obvious Under Grounds 1–2.....	63
F. Dependent Claim 175 is Obvious Under Ground 1	66
G. Dependent Claim 179 is Obvious Under Ground 1	67
H. Dependent Claim 180 is Obvious Under Ground 1	68
I. Dependent Claim 181 is Obvious Under Ground 1	69
X. DISCLOSED STRUCTURES	69

List of Exhibits Cited in this Petition

Exhibit Number	Document
1001	U.S. Patent No. 8,457,113 (“the ’113 patent”)
1002	Expert Declaration of Dr. Thomas F. La Porta (“TLP”)
1003	U.S. Patent No. 6,683,870 to Archer (“Archer”)
1004	U.S. Patent No. 5,958,016 to Chang <i>et al.</i> (“Chang”)
1005	U.S. Patent No. 6,445,694 to Swartz (“Swartz”)
1006	U.S. Patent No. 7,764,777 (“the ’777 patent”)
1007	U.S. Patent No. 8,115,298 (“the ’298 patent”)
1008	File history of U.S. Patent No. 8,115,298
1009	File history of U.S. Patent No. 8,457,113
1010	File history of U.S. Patent No. 7,764,777
1011	WO 97/23899 to Harris (“Harris”)
1012	U.S. Patent No. 5,802,160 to Kugell
1013	U.S. Patent No. 5,206,901 to Harlow
1014	U.S. Patent No. 6,353,660 to Burger
1015	WO 98/54913 to Arkko
1016	U.S. Patent No. 5,434,852 to La Porta
1017	U.S. Patent No. 6,463,145 to O’Neal
1018	ITU-T Recommendation H.323 (“H.323”) (02/98)
1019	ITU-T Recommendation H.225 (“H.225”) (09/99)
1020	ITU-T Recommendation Q.1211 (“Q.1211”) (03/93)
1021	ITU-T Recommendation Q.1215 (“Q.1215”) (10/95)
1022	ITU-T Recommendation Q.1221 (“Q.1221”) (09/97)
1023	ITU-T Recommendation H.245 (“H.245”) (09/98)
1024	Request for Comments - SIP: Session Initiation Protocol (March 1999) (“SIP”)
1025	Tech Report CUCS-002-99 Implementing Intelligent Network Services with the Session Initiation Protocol
1026	Low, The Internet Telephony Red Herring (1996)
1027	Modarressi, An Overview of Signaling System No. 7 (1992)
1028	Crumlish, The ABCs of the Internet
1029	Helmstetter, Increasing Hits and Selling More on your Web Site (1997)
1030	Comer, Internetworking with TCP/IP 2d, Vol. I (1991)
1031	Judson, netmarketing – How Your Business Can Profit from the Online Revolution (1996)
1032	Newton’s Telecom Dictionary 15 th ed. (Aug. 1999)

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.