UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD
YMAX CORPORATION,
Petitioner,
v.
FOCAL IP, LLC,
Patent Owner
Case IPR2016-01260 Patent Number: 8,457,113

PATENT OWNER'S REQUEST FOR REHEARING UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.71(d)

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.		LEGAL STANDARD1
II.		THE BOARD MISAPPREHENDED OR OVERLOOKED THE CLAIM LANGUAGE OF THE CHALLENGED CLAIMS REGARDING "SWITCHING FACILITY"
III.		THE BOARD MISAPPREHENDED OR OVERLOOKED THE SPECIFICATION'S TEACHINGS AND DISCLAIMERS5
IV.		THE BOARD MISAPPREHENDED OR OVERLOOKED PATENT OWNER'S ARGUMENTS REGARDING THE CONSTRUCTION AND APPLICATION OF "TANDEM ACCESS CONTROLLER"11
V.		THE BOARD OVERLOOKED THE FAILURE OF PETITIONER TO SHOW THE REQUISITE THRESHOLD FOR OBVIOUSNESS13
	1.	Claims 2, 8, 11, and 15-19
	2.	Claim 17
VI.		CONCLUSION15



TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Cases:

Chi. Bd. Options Exch., Inc. v. Int'l Secs. Exch., LLC, 677 F.3d 1361 (Fed. Cir. 2014)	6
Eizo Corp. v. Barco N.V., Case No. IPR2014-00358, Paper 11 (P.T.A.B. July 23, 2014)	3
Graham v. John Deere Co. of Kansas City, 383 U.S. 1 (1966)	5
In re Baker Hughes, Inc., 215 F.3d 1297 (Fed. Cir. 2000)	5
In re Kahn, 441 F.3d 977 (Fed. Cir. 2006)1	4
In re Man Mach. Interface Techs. LLC, 822 F.3d 1282 (Fed. Cir. 2016)	1
KSR Int'l Co. v. Teleflex Inc., 550 U.S. 398 (2007)1	3
Microsoft Corp. v. Proxyconn, Inc., 789 F.3d 1292 (Fed. Cir. 2015)	5
PPC Broadband, Inc. v. Corning Optical Commc'ns. RF, LLC, 815 F.3d 747 (Fed. Cir. 2016) (emphasis in original)	5
Star Fruits S.N.C. v. United States, 393 F.3d 1277 (Fed. Cir. 2005)1-	-2
TD Ameritrade v. Trading Techs. Int'l, Inc., Case No. CBM2014-00137, Paper No. 34 (P.T.A.B. Feb. 2, 2015)	2



<i>Tempo Lighting, Inc. v. Tivoli, LLC,</i> 742 F.3d 973 (Fed. Cir. 2014)	3
Regulations:	
37 C.F.R. § 42.71 (c)	1
37 C F R 8 42 71(d)	1



Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.71 (c)-(d), Patent Owner FOCAL IP, LLC requests a rehearing of the Board's Decision granting institution of *inter partes* review entered December 28, 2016 (Paper No. 12) ("Decision") regarding Claims 1, 2, 8, 11, 15, and 17-19 of the '113 Patent (collectively, the "Challenged Claims"). The Decision was based upon erroneous claim constructions and application of "switching facility," "coupled to," and "tandem access controller." Accordingly, Patent Owner requests that the Board reconsider its Decision of the Challenged Claims in light of the proper constructions of these terms, as proposed by Patent Owner, and deny institution of the Challenged Claims of the '113 Patent. The Decision was also based on erroneous institution of obviousness of dependent claims in which Petitioner failed to meet the requisite threshold showing for obviousness. Thus, Patent Owner also requests that the Board reconsider its Decision regarding Claim 11 over all grounds and Claims 2, 8, 11, 15, and 17-19 of ground 4.

I. LEGAL STANDARD

A request for rehearing is appropriate when the requesting party believes "the Board misapprehended or overlooked" a matter that was previously addressed in the record. *See* 37 C.F.R. § 42.71(d). The request "must specifically identify all matters the party believes the Board misapprehended or overlooked, and the place where each matter was previously addressed in a motion, an opposition, or a reply." *Id.* In reviewing such a request, the "panel will review the decision for an abuse of discretion." 37 C.F.R. § 42.71(c). An abuse of discretion occurs where the decision is based on an erroneous interpretation of the law, or on erroneous facts. *See Star*



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

