

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

BRIGHT HOUSE NETWORKS, LLC
WIDOPENWEST FINANCE, LLC
KNOLOGY OF FLORIDA, INC.
BIRCH COMMUNICATIONS, INC.

Petitioner

v.

FOCAL IP, LLC,

Patent Owner

Case IPR2016-01259
Patent Number: 8,155,298

**PATENT OWNER FOCAL IP, LLC'S PRELIMINARY RESPONSE
TO PETITION FOR INTER PARTES REVIEW**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INTRODUCTION	1
II.	SUMMARY OF ARGUMENT	1
III.	DISCUSSION OF THE PSTN AND OVERVIEW OF THE '298 PATENT	1
IV.	LEGAL STANDARDS.....	9
A.	Standard for Review.....	9
B.	Obviousness	9
1.	Claims Cannot be Found Obvious if an Element is Absent	10
2.	Reason to Combine or Modify Must Have Rational Underpinning.....	10
C.	Broadest Reasonable Interpretation	11
D.	BRI Cannot be so Broad to Include Elements That Have Been Disclaimed or Disavowed	13
V.	GENERAL DISCLAIMER OF CONTROLLERS CONNECTED TO EDGE SWITCHES.....	21
A.	Disparaging Statements in the '298 Patent	21
B.	Applicants' Statements in the Prosecution History to Distinguish over Schwab	26
1.	'777 Patent Prosecution - First Response to an Office Action.....	26
2.	'777 Patent Prosecution - Second Response to an Office Action	28
C.	Scope of General Disclaimer	32

VI.	CLAIM CONSTRUCTIONS.....	33
A.	“Switching Facility”.....	33
B.	“Controller”.....	40
VII.	SUMMARY OF THE PRIMARY REFERENCE (ARCHER)	40
VIII.	ARGUMENTS.....	42
A.	Petitioner Identifies Archer’s Server Processor as the “Controller”.....	43
B.	Archer’s Server Processor Cannot Be the “Controller” Because It Is an Edge Device that Applies Features Through an Edge Switch.....	44
C.	Archer’s Converters Are Not “Switching Facilities”	45
IX.	CONCLUSION.....	49

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Cases:

<i>Apple Inc. v. Virnetx Inc.</i> , Case IPR2014-00481, Paper No. 35, (PTAB August 24, 2015)	12
<i>Bicon, Inc. v. Straumann Co.</i> , 441 F.3d 945 (Fed. Cir. 2006)	34
<i>CFMT, Inc. v. YieldUp Int’l Corp.</i> , 349 F.3d 1333 (Fed. Cir. 2003)	10
<i>Chi. Bd. Options Exch., Inc. v. Int’l Secs. Exch., LLC</i> , 677 F.3d 1361 (Fed. Cir. 2014)	15-16
<i>Edmund Optics, Inc. v. Semrock, Inc.</i> , Case IPR2014-00599, Paper 72, (PTAB September 16, 2015).....	13
<i>GE Lighting Solutions, LLC v. AgiLight, Inc.</i> , 750 F.3d 1304 (Fed. Cir. 2014)	14
<i>Google Inc., et al. v. Arendi S.A.R.L.</i> , Case IPR 2014-00452, Paper 31, (PTAB August 18, 2015)	14
<i>Graham v. John Deere Co. of Kansas City</i> , 383 U.S. 1 (1966).....	10
<i>Honeywell Int’l, Inc. v. ITT Indus., Inc.</i> , 452 F.3d 1312 (Fed. Cir. 2006)	18-20
<i>Innogenetics, N.V. v. Abbott Labs.</i> , 512 F.3d 1363 (Fed. Cir. 2008)	10-11
<i>In re Baker Hughes, Inc.</i> , 215 F.3d 1297 (Fed. Cir. 2000)	11

<i>In re Kahn</i> , 441 F.3d 977 (Fed. Cir. 2006)	10
<i>In re Man Mach. Interface Techs. LLC</i> , 822 F.3d 1282 (Fed. Cir. 2016)	13
<i>In re Rijckaert</i> , 9 F.3d 1531 (Fed. Cir. 1993)	10
<i>In re Royka</i> , 490 F.2d 981 (C.C.P.A. 1974)	10
<i>Kingston Tech. Co., Inc. v. Imation Corp.</i> , Case IPR2015-00066, Paper 19, (PTAB March 24, 2016)	13
<i>KSR Int’l Co. v. Teleflex Inc.</i> , 550 U.S. 398 (2007).....	10
<i>LG Electronics., Inc. v. Advanced Micro Devices, Inc.</i> , Case IPR2015-00324, Paper 39, (PTAB May 23, 2016)	13
<i>Microsoft Corp. v. Multi-Tech Sys., Inc.</i> , 357 F.3d 1340 (Fed. Cir. 2004)	12
<i>Microsoft Corp. v. Proxyconn, Inc.</i> , 789 F.3d 1292 (Fed. Cir. 2015)	11-12, 14
<i>Openwave Sys., Inc. v. Apple Inc.</i> , 808 F.3d 509 (Fed. Cir. 2015)	17-18
<i>Phillips v. AWH Corp.</i> , 415 F.3d 1303 (Fed. Cir. 2005)	12-13
<i>PPC Broadband, Inc. v. Corning Optical Commc’ns. RF, LLC</i> , 815 F.3d 747 (Fed. Cir. 2016)	11
<i>Reckitt Benckiser Pharms., Inc. v. Teva Pharms. USA, Inc.</i> , 2016 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 84276 (D. Del. June 29, 2016)	15

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.