```
Page 1
1
        UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
2
        BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD
3
    CISCO SYSTEMS, INC.,
                                    ) Case:
                                    ) IPR2016-01257
5
                    Petitioner,
                                    ) Patent 8,457,113
6
                 vs.
7
    FOCAL IP, LLC,
8
                    Patent Owner.
9
     (Caption continued on next page)
10
11
12
                 TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
13
                  HELD VIA CONFERENCE CALL
                 Thursday, October 12, 2017
14
15
16
    Before:
17
          BARBARA PARVIS, Presiding,
18
          SALLY MEDLEY
19
          and
20
          JONI CHANG,
21
                 Administrative Patent Judges
22
23
    Reported by:
    Carol L. Naughton, RPR, CCR
24
25
    Job Number 132114
```



```
Page 2
     (Caption continued from previous page)
1
2
     YMAX CORPORATION,
                                     ) Case:
                                       IPR2016-01258
3
                    Petitioner,
                                     ) Patent 7,764,777
4
                 VS.
5
     FOCAL IP, LLC,
6
                    Patent Owner.
7
     (Caption continued on next page)
8
9
10
                             October 12, 2017
11
12
                             3:00 p.m.
13
14
15
                 Transcript of proceedings, held
16
          telephonically, before Carol L. Naughton,
17
          RPR, CCR, a Notary Public of the
18
          Commonwealth of Virginia, at Large.
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```



```
Page 3
1
    (Caption continued from previous page)
    YMAX CORPORATION,
                                   ) Case:
3
                                   ) IPR2016-01260
                   Petitioner,
4
                                   ) Patent 8,457,113
                vs.
5
    FOCAL IP, LLC,
6
                   Patent Owner.
8
    BRIGHT HOUSE NETWORKS, LLC
                                   ) Case:
    WIDEOPENWEST FINANCE, LLC ) IPR2016-01261
9
    KNOLOGY OF FLORIDA, INC.
    BIRCH COMMUNICATIONS, INC. ) Patent 8,457,113
10
                   Petitioner,
11
                vs.
12
    FOCAL IP, LLC,
13
                   Patent Owner.
14
15
    BRIGHT HOUSE NETWORKS, LLC
                                  ) Case:
                                 ) IPR2016-01262
    WIDEOPENWEST FINANCE, LLC
16
    KNOLOGY OF FLORIDA, INC.
    BIRCH COMMUNICATIONS, INC.
                                  ) Patent 7,764,777
17
                   Petitioner,
18
                vs.
19
    FOCAL IP, LLC,
20
                   Patent Owner.
21
22
23
24
25
```



```
Page 4
1
    APPEARANCES:
2
          BAKER BOTTS
3
          Attorneys for Cisco Systems
                101 California Street
4
                San Francisco, California 94111
                SARAH GUSKE, ESQUIRE
          BY:
5
6
          BRYAN CAVE
          Attorneys for YMAX Corporation
7
                1290 Avenue of the Americas
                New York, New York 10114-3300
          BY: JOSEPH RICHETTI, ESQUIRE
8
9
          DUANE MORRIS
10
          Attorneys for BRIGHT HOUSE NETWORKS GROUP
                505 9th Street, N.W.
11
                Washington, D.C. 20004-2166
          BY:
                CHRISTOPHER TYSON, ESQUIRE
12
13
          NELSON BUMGARDNER
          Attorneys for Patent Owner
14
                3131 West 7th Street
                Fort Worth, Texas 76107
15
          BY:
                JOHN MURPHY, ESQUIRE
                BRENT BUMGARDNER, ESQUIRE
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```



- 1 PROCEEDINGS
- THE COURT: Hello, everyone. This
- 3 is a call pertaining to five cases. All
- 4 the cases involve challenges to patents
- owned by FOCAL IP, LLC.
- The cases are:
- 7 IPR2016-01258 and 01260, and the
- 8 petitioner is YMAX corporation;
- 9 IPR2016-01257, and the petitioner is
- 10 Cisco Systems, Incorporated;
- 11 And IPR2016-01261 and -01262, and
- 12 petitioner is Bright House Networks, LLC,
- WideOpenWest Finance, LLC, Knology of
- 14 Florida, Incorporated, and Birch
- 15 Communications, Incorporated. We'll refer
- to that as Bright House Networks Group.
- 17 I'm Administrative Patent Judge
- 18 Parvis. Judges Medley and Chang also are
- on the line.
- Let's do a rollcall. Who is
- representing Petitioner YMAX Corporation?
- MR. RICHETTI: Good afternoon, Your
- 23 Honor. It's Joseph Richetti from Bryan
- ²⁴ Cave representing YMAX.
- THE COURT: Who is representing



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

