	Page 1
1	
2	UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
	BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD
3	
4	YMAX CORPORATION,
5	Petitioner,
б	-against- Case No.
	IPR2016-01256
7	FOCAL IP, LLC,
8	Patent Owner.
9	
10	July 13, 2017
	9:48 a.m.
11	
12	Deposition of LEONARD FORYS, Ph.D., taken by
13	Patent Owner, pursuant to Notice, held at the
14	offices of Bryan Cave LLP, 1290 Avenue of the
15	Americas, New York, New York, before Joseph R.
16	Danyo, a Shorthand Reporter and Notary Public
17	within and for the State of New York.
18 19	
20	
20	
22	Tab $N_{2} = 1.0 \le 0.71$
22	Job No. 126871
23	Reported by: Joe Danyo
24	

DOCKET ALARM Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u>.

1	
2	APPEARANCES:
3	
	BRYAN CAVE
4	Attorneys for Petitioner
	1290 Avenue of the Americas
5	New York, New York 10104
6	By: ALEXANDER WALDEN, ESQ.
	FRANK FABIANI, ESQ.
7	
8	
	NELSON BUMGARDNER
9	Attorneys for Patent Owner
	3131 West 7th Street
10	Fort Worth, Texas 76107
	By: JOHN MURPHY, ESQ.
11	
12	-and-
13	
	SIBER LAW
14	28 West 44th Street
	New York, New York 10036
15	By: VICTOR SIBER, ESQ.
16	
17	~000~
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24 25	
40	

DOCKET A L A R M Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u>.

		Page	3
1	Forys		
2	LEONARD J. FORYS, Ph.D., having		
3	been first duly sworn by Joseph R. Danyo, a		
4	Notary Public, was examined and testified as		
5	follows:		
6	EXAMINATION BY MR. MURPHY:		
7	Q. So I would like to introduce for the		
8	record, on behalf of patent owner, John Murphy		
9	and Vic Siber are here.		
10	Dr. Forys, how are you today?		
11	A. Good.		
12	Q. Have you given deposition testimony		
13	for an IPR proceeding before?		
14	A. Yes.		
15	Q. When was the most recent deposition		
16	you gave in that regard?		
17	A. How many?		
18	Q. When was the most recent one you gave		
19	in that regard?		
20	A. Probably springtime, March or April		
21	of this year. I think it was April. I have		
22	given so many that I can't remember.		
23	Q. So you are aware that in an IPR		
24	deposition, it is improper to talk to your		
25	attorneys during the break regarding the		
1			

DOCKET A L A R M Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u>.

		Page 4
1	Forys	
2	substance of the deposition?	
3	A. That's correct.	
4	Q. Okay. So from time to time I may ask	
5	that question after the breaks. I just want to	
6	make sure you are on notice of that.	
7	A. Sure.	
8	Q. When were you first contacted by	
9	Bryan Cave regarding this case?	
10	A. Well, a little history here. I was	
11	first contacted by Akerman in June of last year	
12	to work on the litigation part of it, but it was	
13	quite brief because we got suspended because	
14	there was an IPR going on, so I did maybe a week	
15	or two.	
16	Not much work at all. Just read the	
17	patent and formed some thoughts, but then about	
18	May I believe is when I got a call, it was a	
19	conference call from Bryan Cave, and I believe	
20	Akerman was involved in that, two people from	
21	Akerman, about that time frame.	
22	Q. Around May of 2017.	
23	A. Yes. That's correct.	
24	Q. And that is when they brought the	
25	IPR?	

DOCKET A L A R M Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u>.

		Page 5
1	Forys	
2	A. Yes. That was for the amended	
3	claims, yes.	
4	Q. Did you write your declarations in	
5	this case?	
6	A. Sure.	
7	Q. Do you recall around when you started	
8	drafting your declarations?	
9	A. Early June. We had talked about it	
10	in May. We had a series of conference calls, the	
11	positions and the prior art and things like that,	
12	but the actual putting pen to paper started	
13	around that time as I recall.	
14	Q. And are you familiar that there is a	
15	former declarant in this case, Dr. Lavian?	
16	A. Yes, I am aware of that.	
17	Q. Are you aware of why you are being	
18	used as the expert now in this and he is not?	
19	A. And I don't know why. Yes.	
20	Q. All right. Did you attempt to do any	
21	prior art searches with regard to this IPR?	
22	A. Yes, and it is pretty clear by	
23	looking at some of the things that are clearly	
24	mine. Some of the prior art is clearly mine.	
25	Some of the technical papers and things like	

DOCKET ALARM Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u>.

DOCKET A L A R M



Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.