



INTERNATIONAL TELECOMMUNICATION UNION

CCITT

THE INTERNATIONAL
TELEGRAPH AND TELEPHONE
CONSULTATIVE COMMITTEE

M.770

(11/1988)

SERIES M: GENERAL MAINTENANCE PRINCIPLES

Maintenance of international transmission systems and
telephone circuits – Common channel signalling systems

**INTER-ADMINISTRATION AGREEMENTS ON
COMMON CHANNEL SIGNALLING SYSTEM
No. 7**

Reedition of CCITT Recommendation M.770 published in
the Blue Book, Fascicle IV.1 (1988)

NOTES

- 1 CCITT Recommendation M.770 was published in Fascicle IV.1 of the *Blue Book*. This file is an extract from the *Blue Book*. While the presentation and layout of the text might be slightly different from the *Blue Book* version, the contents of the file are identical to the *Blue Book* version and copyright conditions remain unchanged (see below).
- 2 In this Recommendation, the expression “Administration” is used for conciseness to indicate both a telecommunication administration and a recognized operating agency.

© ITU 1988, 2008

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, by any means whatsoever, without the prior written permission of ITU.

**INTER-ADMINISTRATION AGREEMENTS ON COMMON CHANNEL
SIGNALLING SYSTEM No. 7**

1 Introduction

The bringing into service of new telephone circuits and signalling systems requires that a number of agreements be made in advance by the Administrations involved. Such agreements may concern, for example:

- routing of circuits (cable, satellite, etc.);
- mode of operation (incoming, outgoing, both-way);
- circuit designation;
- order of selection of both-way circuits.

For common channel signalling systems, a number of agreements are needed in addition to those required for channel-associated signalling systems (e.g., Signalling System R2).

This Recommendation explains the principal inter-Administration agreements which must be made in advance of opening a Signalling System No. 7 service and is provided as guidance to those Administrations intending to operate such a service.

2 Common channel Signalling System No. 7 (SS No. 7)

Many of the aspects covered by this Recommendation relate to matters contained in the specifications of Signalling System No. 7, as appearing in the Series Q Recommendations [1]. Where appropriate, cross references to such Recommendations are given.

Recommendations Q.701 [2] and Q.721 [3] provide functional descriptions of the Message Transfer Part (MTP) and Telephone User Part (TUP) respectively.

The Q.780 [4] Series of Recommendations provide guidance on how to test SS No. 7. (Level 1, 2 and 3).

3 Aspects of SS No. 7 requiring inter-Administration agreement

3.1 Signalling links and signalling security arrangements

Signals for a given group of speech circuits between two exchanges may be “associated” (routed on a signalling link between the two exchanges), or “non-associated” (routed on two or more signalling links in tandem, involving one or more signal-transfer points) or a mixture of both (Recommendation Q.701, § 3.1.2 [2]).

Before entering into detailed discussions on the type of signalling security arrangements required, it is desirable that the terminal Administrations exchange information on the type and manufacturer of their international exchange and the options available within their existing software systems. This information will enable each Administration to have an overall view of available signalling security arrangements. It will avoid misunderstandings and thus enable rapid progress in establishing detailed arrangements. Subsequently, agreement on the following matters will be required:

- i) The use of “associated” and/or “non-associated” modes of signalling.
- ii) The choice of signalling transfer points (STPs) in the case where the “non-associated” mode of signalling is used.
- iii) Security measures against signalling network link failure, e.g., the use of load sharing between link sets. If load sharing between link sets is to be used, agreement must be reached as to the number of link sets involved.
- iv) Alternative routing within the signalling network in the event of failure of a link set, i.e., if load sharing is not used, which STPs are available for a given signalling network relation, and the order of selection of these. Due regard must be paid to the limitation of the number of STPs in tandem in a given signalling network relation (see Recommendation Q.705 § 5) [5].

- v) The routing of the signalling network links must ensure that the propagation delay of the links is as low as possible, and not significantly higher than that of the speech circuits which are served by Signalling System No. 7. This is to minimize the initial speech clipping of the verbal answer from the called party. The above factors must also be considered in any restoration plans, although the non-availability of links may force administrations to accept the possibility of clipping under failure conditions.
- vi) The nature of the signalling network link to be used, e.g., 4.8 kbit/s analogue or 64 kbit/s digital, transmission routing, etc.
- vii) The method of error correction to be employed in a given signalling relation, i.e., basic or preventive cyclic retransmission (see Recommendation Q.703 § 5) [6].
- viii) Emergency restart conditions. (If there is automatic allocation of signalling terminals or signalling data links at the end of a signalling link, it must be ensured that the value (T2) of the timeout is different at each end (see Recommendation Q.703, § 7.3 and Q.704, § 3.4.3) [6] and [7]).

3.2 *Mode of signalling*

Signalling System No. 7 provides for two basic modes of sending signalling information namely, “en-bloc” or “overlap” (Recommendation Q.724) [8].

3.3 *Signalling network consideration for cross-border traffic*

For cross-border traffic between signalling points, a bilateral agreement needs to be made for the routing label assignment of signalling point codes.

Two alternative arrangements are described in Recommendation Q.705, § 6 [5]. One arrangement provides for signalling points which are handling cross-border traffic to be given signal point codes taken from the international numbering plan contained in Recommendation Q.708 [9]. The other provides for these signalling points to be identified by common national point codes.

3.4 *Routing label assignment*

The routing label is that part of the message label which contains the information necessary to deliver the message to its destination point. It comprises the following (see Recommendation Q.704, § 2.2) [7]:

- destination point code (DPC);
- originating point code (OPC);
- signalling link selection (SLS) field or signalling link code (SLC).

DPC and OPC labelling will be in accordance with Recommendation Q.708 [9]. However it may be necessary to have a bilateral agreement for the SLS, so that it can be assigned individually to signalling links.

3.5 *Circuit identification code*

The circuit identification code (CIC) indicates one speech circuit among those directly interconnecting the destination and the originating points. The allocation of CICs to individual circuits is determined by bilateral agreement and/or in accordance with predetermined rules. See Recommendation Q.723, § 2.2.3 [10].

3.6 *Reset of circuit and circuit group messages*

In systems which maintain status in memory there may be occasions when the memory becomes mutilated. In such cases the circuits must be reset to the idle condition in both exchanges to make them available for new traffic. Since the exchange with mutilated memory does not know whether the circuits are idle, busy outgoing, busy incoming, blocked, etc., reset-circuit signals or a circuit group reset should be sent as appropriate for the affected circuits (see Recommendation Q.724, § 1.15) [8].

Under certain failure conditions however, where a large number of circuits is involved, it is possible that some realisations of SS No. 7 terminal equipment will be unable to process the volume of reset messages generated. It is necessary, therefore, that Administrations agree bilaterally whether circuit and circuit group messages should both be used.

3.7 *Use of the circuit continuity check procedure*

Because the SS No. 7 signalling does not pass over the speech path, facilities should be provided for making a continuity check of the speech paths (see Recommendation Q.724, § 1.4) [8].

Use of this procedure on a particular circuit will depend on the type of transmission system (e.g., analogue, digital, mixed analogue/digital) which is used for the circuit and whether end-to-end supervision is provided on the transmission system. It should therefore be bilaterally agreed.

3.8 *Choice of the time slot to be used within the primary order digital path for the signalling link*

In the case where time slot 16 is utilized for circuit supervision purposes (see Recommendation Q.33, § A.1) [11], it is necessary to agree bilaterally on which time slot within the primary order digital path should be used for the signalling link.

3.9 *Changing from one specification of the signalling system to another*

If an Administration changes from one version of the specification of the signalling system to another, distant Administrations should be informed as a precautionary measure before the change takes place since potential interworking problems can then be anticipated. It is desirable therefore, that Administrations should be aware of the need for, and agree to, this exchange of information.

4 Timing on inter-Administration agreements

Due to the differing practices and procedures of Administrations no specific timetable for the inter-Administration agreements necessary on SS No. 7 can be offered. However, experience indicates that initial discussions between Administrations concerning the implementation of a new common channel signalling system should preferably commence about two years prior to the required "ready for service" date.

References

- [1] CCITT Recommendation *Specification of Signalling System No. 7*, Vol. VI, Recommendations Q.701-Q.795.
- [2] CCITT Recommendation *Functional description of the signalling system (Message Transfer Part)*, Vol. VI, Recommendation Q.701.
- [3] CCITT Recommendation *Functional description of the signalling system (Telephone User part (TUP))*, Vol. VI, Recommendation Q.721.
- [4] CCITT Recommendation *Signalling System No. 7 test specification, general description*, Vol. VI, Recommendation Q.780.
- [5] CCITT Recommendation *Signalling network structure*, Vol. VI, Recommendation Q.705.
- [6] CCITT Recommendation *Signalling link*, Vol. VI, Recommendation Q.703.
- [7] CCITT Recommendation *Signalling network functions and messages*, Vol. VI, Recommendation Q.704.
- [8] CCITT Recommendation *Signalling procedures*, Vol. VI, Recommendation Q.724.
- [9] CCITT Recommendation *Numbering of international signalling point codes*, Vol. VI, Recommendation Q.708.
- [10] CCITT Recommendation *Formats and codes*, Vol. VI, Recommendation Q.723.
- [11] CCITT Recommendation *Protection against the effects of faulty transmission on groups of circuits* Vol. VI, Recommendation Q.33.

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.