UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

YMAX CORPORATION Petitioner,

v.

FOCAL IP, LLC, Patent Owner.

Case IPR2016-01256 (Patent 8,155,298) Case IPR2016-01258 (Patent 7,764,777) Case IPR2016-01260 (Patent 8,457,113 B2)

Record of Oral Hearing Held: September 19, 2017

Before SALLY C. MEDLEY, JONI Y. CHANG, and BARBARA A. PARVIS, *Administrative Patent Judges*.



APPEARANCES:

ON BEHALF OF THE PETITIONER:

Joseph J. Richetti, Esquire Alexander Walden, Esquire Bryan Cave, LLP 1290 Avenue of the Americas New York, New York 10104

On Behalf of the Patent Owner:

Tom C. Cecil, Esquire Brent N. Bumgardner, Esquire John Murphy, Esquire Nelson Bumgardner 3131 West 7th Street Suite 300. Fort Worth, Texas 76107

The above-entitled matter came on for hearing Tuesday, September 19, 2017, commencing at the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, 600 Dulany Street, Alexandria, Virginia.



1	PROCEEDINGS
2	JUDGE PARVIS: Good morning. This is an oral argument in
3	IPR2016-01254 and IPR2016-01256 through IPR2016-01263.
4	Challenged patents are U.S. patent numbers 7,764,777 B2; 8,155,298 B2;
5	and 8,457,113 B2.
6	Petitioners are Bright House Networks, LLC; WideOpenWest
7	Finance, LLC; Knology of Florida, Incorporated; and Birch
8	Communications in IPR2016-01259, 01261, 01262, and 01263.
9	YMAX Corporation is the petitioner in the 2016-01256, 01258 and
LO	01260. Cisco Systems, Inc, is the petitioner in IPR2016-01254 and
L1	12057.
L2	Patent owner in all proceedings is Focal IP, LLC. A complete list
L3	of the inter partes review numbers and the corresponding patents is
L 4	provided in the appendix of our oral curing order which is paper number
L5	47 in IPR2016-01254.
L6	I am the administrative patent judge Barbara Parvis. Judges
L7	Medley and Chang are on the right and left respectively.
L8	At this time we would like counsel to introduce yourselves your
L9	partners and your guests starting with petitioner. Please use the
20	microphone.
21	MR. RICHETTI: Good morning, Your Honors. This is Joseph
22	Richetti from Bryan Cave representing YMAX. With me is my
23	colleague, Alexander Walden from Bryan Cave.
24	Just to mention just for the record, Your Honor, we're having a
) E	little hit of a difficulty connecting to the screen



JUDGE PARVIS: You are having problems with the 1 demonstrative slides, the projector. 2 MR. RICHETTI: Yeah. 3 MR. BUMGARDNER: Good morning, Your Honor. Brent 4 Bumgardner of Nelson Bumgardner for the patent owner. With me is 5 Thomas Cecil, John Murphy, Barry Bumgardner. Also Vic Siber and 6 7 Hanna Madbak. JUDGE PARVIS: We'll go through some ground rules this 8 morning first, and I'll lay out also the schedule for the full day. 9 Before we begin we want to remind the parties that the hearing is 10 open to the public, and a full transcript will become part of the record. 11 Also, please keep in mind that whatever is projected on the screen or the 12 Elmo will not be viewable for anyone reading the transcript. When you 13 refer to a demonstrative slide or other document on the screen, please 14 state in the microphone information to identify the document that you are 15 referring to, such as Petitioner's demonstratives and the slide number or 16 the exhibit number and the page of the exhibit. 17 As you know from our oral hearing order of September 1st, 2017, 18 each of petitioner YMAX Corporation and patent owner Focal, IP, LLC, 19 will have one hour to present its arguments for inter partes reviews 20 IPR2016-01256, IPR2016-01258, and IPR2016-01260. The parties will 21 then be given a one-hour break starting at 12:00 p.m. Eastern time. 22 There's a slight shift from our order for the afternoon schedule. 23 After the hearing resumes at 1:00 p.m. Eastern time, we will proceed 24 25 with the oral argument in IPR 2016-01259 and IPR2016-01261 through



01263. Each side will have one hour to present its arguments for those 1 inter partes reviews. Those IPRs relate to the same three challenged 2 patents. 3 As a reminder, Bright House Networks, LLC, WideOpenWest 4 Finance, LLC; Knology of Florida, Incorporated and Birch 5 Communications jointly filed petitions in IPR2016-01259 and IPR2016-6 01261 through 01263 and will be expected to speak with one voice. 7 Then we will proceed with the oral argument in IPR2016-01254 8 and 01257. That oral argument relates to the challenges to U.S. Patent 9 No. 8,457,113 B2. Each of petitioner Cisco Systems, Incorporated and 10 patent owner Focal IP, LLC, will have 30 minutes total to present its 11 arguments. 12 In each of the oral arguments today, because petitioner has the 13 burden to show unpatentability of the claims, petitioner will proceed first, 14 followed by the patent owner. Each petitioner will begin by presenting 15 its case regarding the challenged claims and the grounds for which the 16 Board instituted review in the proceedings. Patent owner will present its 17 rebuttal to petitioner's case and also will present its case regarding any 18 motions to amend. Petitioner may reserve some time for rebuttal to patent 19 owner's presentation, including patent owner's case regarding its motions 20 to amend. Patent owner may reserve some time, but only for rebuttal to 21 petitioner's presentation regarding patent owner's motions to amend. 22 For the record, in light of objections raised during the call on 23 Thursday, September 14th, 2017, on Friday, September 15th, 2017, we 24



25

issued an order. For example, paper 54 in IPR2016-01254 expunging

DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

