

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

YMAX CORPORATION

Petitioner

v.

FOCAL IP, LLC

Patent Owner

Case IPR2016-01256
Patent Number: 8,155,298

**PATENT OWNER FOCAL IP, LLC'S UNOPPOSED MOTION FOR
PRO HAC VICE ADMISSION OF JOHN P. MURPHY UNDER
37 C.F.R. § 42.10(C)**

I. RELIEF REQUESTED

In the Notice of Filing Date Accorded to Petition (“Notice”) mailed July 1, 2016, the Board authorized the parties to file motions for *pro hac vice* admission under 37 C.F.R. § 42.10(c). The Notice requires that such motions be filed in accordance with the “Order – Authorizing Motion for Pro Hac Vice Admission” in Case IPR2013-00639, Paper No. 7 (“Order”).

Patent Owner respectfully requests that the Board recognize John Murphy as counsel *pro hac vice* during this proceeding.

II. NO OPPOSITION TO THIS MOTION

Patent Owner has conferred with Petitioner with regard to this Motion, and Petitioner has confirmed that they will not oppose this Motion.

III. GOVERNING LAWS, RULES, AND PRECEDENT

The Board may recognize counsel *pro hac vice* during a proceeding on a showing of good cause. “[W]here lead counsel is a registered practitioner, a motion to appear *pro hac vice* may be granted upon a showing that counsel is an experienced litigation attorney and has an established familiarity with the subject matter at issue in the proceeding.” 37 C.F.R. § 42.10(c).

IV. STATEMENT OF FACTS

Based on the following statement of facts, and supported by the Declaration of John Murphy submitted herewith as Exhibit 2007, Patent Owner submits that a

showing of good cause has been made and respectfully requests the *pro hac vice* admission of John Murphy in this proceeding:

1. Patent Owner's lead counsel, Brent Bumgardner, is a registered practitioner (Reg. No. 48,476).
2. Mr. Murphy is an experienced litigating attorney and has been litigating patent cases for over nine years. (Ex. 2007, ¶¶ 2-3).
3. Mr. Murphy is a member in good standing of the Texas State Bar. (Ex. 2007, ¶ 4).
4. Mr. Murphy has never been suspended or disbarred from practice before any court or administrative body, nor has he ever been the subject of any ethical grievance procedure or investigation. (Ex. 2007, ¶ 5).
5. No application filed by Mr. Murphy for admission to practice before any court or administrative body has ever been denied. (Ex. 2007, ¶ 6).
6. No sanctions or contempt citations have been imposed against Mr. Murphy by any court or administrative body. (Ex. 2007, ¶ 7).
7. Mr. Murphy has read and agrees to comply with the Office Patent Trial Practice Guide and the Board's Rules of Practice for Trials set forth in part 42 of Title 37 of the C.F.R. (Ex. 2007, ¶ 8).

8. Mr. Murphy understands that he will be subject to the USPTO Rules of Professional Conduct as set forth in 37 C.F.R. §§ 11.101 *et seq.* and disciplinary jurisdiction under 37 C.F.R. § 11.19(a). (Ex. 2007, ¶ 9).

9. Mr. Murphy has appeared before the Board *pro hac vice* in the last three years in IPR2014-01131, IPR2014-01133, IPR2014-01134, IPR2014-01135, and IPR2014-01318. Mr. Murphy presented oral arguments to the Board in IPR2014-01133, IPR2014-01134, and IPR2014-01135 (Ex. 2007, ¶ 10).

10. Mr. Murphy has an established familiarity with the subject matter at issue in this proceeding. He assisted in drafting the Preliminary Response to the Petition for Inter Partes Review filed in this matter. Mr. Murphy has substantively reviewed all materials filed in this proceeding, including the Petition and all accompanying exhibits, and the Preliminary Response and all accompanying exhibits. (Ex. 2007, ¶ 11).

V. GOOD CAUSE EXISTS FOR THE PRO HAC VICE ADMISSION OF MR. MURPHY IN THIS PROCEEDING

The Board may recognize counsel *pro hac vice* during a proceeding upon a showing of good cause, subject to the condition that lead counsel be a registered practitioner and any other conditions as the Board may impose. 37 C.F.R. § 42.10(c). Patent Owner's lead counsel, Brent Bumgardner, is a registered practitioner. Based on the facts contained herein, as supported by Mr. Murphy's

IPR2016-01256

declaration (Ex. 2007), good cause exists to admit Mr. Murphy *pro hac vice* as backup counsel in this proceeding.

Patent Owner has a substantial need for Mr. Murphy's *pro hac vice* admission and his involvement in depositions and other issues arising from this proceeding. Admission of Mr. Murphy will also ease the burden on Patent Owner's lead counsel in this proceeding.

VIII. CONCLUSION.

For the foregoing reasons as well as the reasons contained in the attached declaration, Patent Owner respectfully requests admission of John Murphy as counsel *pro hac vice* as backup counsel in this proceeding.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: October 28, 2016

/s/ Brent N. Bumgardner
Brent N. Bumgardner
Registration No. 48,476
NELSON BUMGARDNER, P.C.
3131 W. 7th Street, Suite 300
Fort Worth, Texas 76107
Telephone: (817) 377-3490
Email: brent@nelbum.com

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.