UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

YMAX CORPORATION,

Petitioner

v.

FOCAL IP, LLC,

Patent Owner

Case IPR2016-01256

Patent Number: 8,155,298

PETITIONER'S EVIDENTIARY OBJECTIONS
UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.64(b)



{41400681;1}

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.64(b)(1), Petitioner YMAX CORPORATION hereby objects as follows to the admissibility of Patent Owner's evidence:

1. Ex. 2020 (La Porta Dep.):

- a. Petitioner objects to this evidence under FRE 801 and FRE 802 as hearsay having no applicable exception:
 - i. FRE 32 states that "all or part of a deposition may be used against a party" only if all of (A)-(C) are satisfied. FRE 32(A) requires that "the party was present or represented at the taking of the deposition or had reasonable notice of it." This Exhibit is a deposition taken in a completely different IPR. Petitioner was not "present or represented at the taking of the deposition," nor did the Patent Owner provide Petitioner "reasonable notice of it."
 - ii. FRE 804 states that former testimony may only be "offered against a party who had or, in a civil case, whose predecessor in interest had an opportunity and similar motive to develop it by direct, cross-, or redirect examination."

 Petitioner (and Petitioner's predecessor in interest) never had

{41400681;1}



"an opportunity and similar motive to develop [this former testimony] by direct, cross-, or redirect examination."

- b. Petitioner further objects to this evidence under FRE 403, as "its probative value is substantially outweighed by a danger of" "unfair prejudice." This Exhibit is a deposition taken in a completely different IPR. Petitioner was never given the opportunity to cross-examine the Expert in this Exhibit.
- c. Petitioner further objects to this evidence as being an incomplete copy of the deposition. Under FRE 32(A)(6), Petitioner "require[s]" the Patent Owner to file a full and complete copy of the deposition.

2. Ex. 2021 (Willis Dep.):

- a. Petitioner objects to this evidence under FRE 801 and FRE 802 as hearsay having no applicable exception:
 - i. FRE 32 states that "all or part of a deposition may be used against a party" only if all of (A)-(C) are satisfied. FRE 32(A) requires that "the party was present or represented at the taking of the deposition or had reasonable notice of it." This Exhibit is a deposition taken in a completely different IPR. Petitioner was not "present or represented at the taking of the deposition," nor

{41400681;1}



3

did the Patent Owner provide Petitioner "reasonable notice of it."

- ii. FRE 804 states that former testimony may only be "offered against a party who had — or, in a civil case, whose predecessor in interest had — an opportunity and similar motive to develop it by direct, cross-, or redirect examination." Petitioner (and Petitioner's predecessor in interest) never had "an opportunity and similar motive to develop [this former testimony] by direct, cross-, or redirect examination."
- b. Petitioner further objects to this evidence under FRE 403, as "its probative value is substantially outweighed by a danger of" "unfair This Exhibit is a deposition taken in a completely prejudice." different IPR. Petitioner was never given the opportunity to crossexamine the Expert in this Exhibit.
- c. Petitioner further objects to this evidence as being an incomplete copy of the deposition. Under FRE 32(A)(6), Petitioner "require[s]" the Patent Owner to file a full and complete copy of the deposition.
- 3. Ex. 2023 (-01261 Pet.):

{41400681;1}

4

- a. Petitioner objects to this evidence under FRE 401 as irrelevant. This Exhibit is a Petition from a third party in another IPR. Thus, it is the legal argument and opinion of a party that is completely unrelated to the Petitioner. Petitioner was not involved in the drafting or filing of the Petition included in this Exhibit. As such, this Exhibit does not have any "tendency to make a fact more or less probable than it would be without the evidence."
- b. To the extent this Exhibit is determined to have some relevancy, Petitioner further objects to this evidence under FRE 403, as "its probative value is substantially outweighed by a danger of" "unfair prejudice." This Exhibit is the argument and unsworn opinion of a party that is completely unrelated to the Petitioner. Petitioner was not involved in the drafting or filing of the Petition included in this Exhibit. As such, allowing it to be used against the Petitioner would result in unfair prejudice to the Petitioner, and this unfair prejudice would substantially outweigh any potential minor probative value the Exhibit may provide.
- 4. Ex. 2024 (-01254 Pet.):

{41400681;1}



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

