

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

CISCO SYSTEMS, INC.,

PETITIONER

V.

FOCAL IP, LLC,

PATENT OWNER

---

CASE IPR2016-01254  
PATENT NUMBER: 8,457,113

---

**PATENT OWNER FOCAL IP, LLC'S UNOPPOSED MOTION FOR  
*PRO HAC VICE* ADMISSION OF HANNA F. MADBAK UNDER  
37 C.F.R. § 42.10(C)**

## **I. RELIEF REQUESTED**

In the Notice of Filing Date Accorded to Petition (“Notice”) mailed July 1, 2016, the Board authorized the parties to file motions for *pro hac vice* admission under 37 C.F.R. § 42.10(c). The Notice requires that such motions be filed in accordance with the “Order – Authorizing Motion for Pro Hac Vice Admission” in Case IPR2013-00639, Paper No. 7 (“Order”).

Patent Owner respectfully requests that the Board recognize Hanna Madbak as counsel *pro hac vice* during this proceeding.

## **II. NO OPPOSITION TO THIS MOTION**

Patent Owner has conferred with Petitioner with regard to this Motion, and Petitioner has confirmed that they will not oppose this Motion.

## **III. GOVERNING LAWS, RULES, AND PRECEDENT**

The Board may recognize counsel *pro hac vice* during a proceeding on a showing of good cause. “[W]here lead counsel is a registered practitioner, a motion to appear *pro hac vice* may be granted upon a showing that counsel is an experienced litigation attorney and has an established familiarity with the subject matter at issue in the proceeding.” 37 C.F.R. § 42.10(c).

## **IV. STATEMENT OF FACTS**

Based on the following statement of facts, and supported by the Declaration of Hanna Madbak submitted herewith as Exhibit 2008, Patent Owner submits that

a showing of good cause has been made and respectfully requests the *pro hac vice* admission of Hanna Madbak in this proceeding:

1. Patent Owner's lead counsel, Brent Bumgardner, is a registered practitioner (Reg. No. 48,476).
2. Mr. Madbak is an experienced litigating attorney and has been litigating patent cases for over fourteen years. (Ex. 2008, ¶¶ 2-3).
3. Mr. Madbak is a member in good standing of the New York State Bar. (Ex. 2008, ¶ 4).
4. Mr. Madbak has never been suspended or disbarred from practice before any court or administrative body, nor has he ever been the subject of any ethical grievance procedure or investigation. (Ex. 2008, ¶ 5).
5. No application filed by Mr. Madbak for admission to practice before any court or administrative body has ever been denied. (Ex. 2008, ¶ 6).
6. No sanctions or contempt citations have been imposed against Mr. Madbak by any court or administrative body. (Ex. 2008, ¶ 7).
7. Mr. Madbak has read and agrees to comply with the Office Patent Trial Practice Guide and the Board's Rules of Practice for Trials set forth in part 42 of Title 37 of the C.F.R. (Ex. 2008, ¶ 8).

8. Mr. Madbak understands that he will be subject to the USPTO Rules of Professional Conduct as set forth in 37 C.F.R. §§ 11.101 *et seq.* and disciplinary jurisdiction under 37 C.F.R. § 11.19(a). (Ex. 2008, ¶ 9).

9. Mr. Madbak has an established familiarity with the subject matter at issue in this proceeding. He assisted in drafting the Preliminary Response to the Petition for Inter Partes Review filed in this matter. Mr. Madbak has substantively reviewed all materials filed in this proceeding, including the Petition and all accompanying exhibits, and the Preliminary Response and all accompanying exhibits. (Ex. 2008, ¶ 10).

#### **V. GOOD CAUSE EXISTS FOR THE PRO HAC VICE ADMISSION OF MR. MADBAK IN THIS PROCEEDING**

The Board may recognize counsel *pro hac vice* during a proceeding upon a showing of good cause, subject to the condition that lead counsel be a registered practitioner and any other conditions as the Board may impose. 37 C.F.R. § 42.10(c). Patent Owner's lead counsel, Brent Bumgardner, is a registered practitioner. Based on the facts contained herein, as supported by Mr. Madbak's declaration (Ex. 2008), good cause exists to admit Mr. Madbak *pro hac vice* as backup counsel in this proceeding.

Patent Owner has a substantial need for Mr. Madbak's *pro hac vice* admission and his involvement in depositions and other issues arising from this

proceeding. Admission of Mr. Madbak will also ease the burden on Patent Owner's lead counsel in this proceeding.

### **VIII. CONCLUSION.**

For the foregoing reasons as well as the reasons contained in the attached declaration, Patent Owner respectfully requests admission of Hanna Madbak as counsel *pro hac vice* as backup counsel in this proceeding.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: February 16, 2017

/s/ Brent N. Bumgardner  
Brent N. Bumgardner  
Registration No. 48,476  
NELSON BUMGARDNER, P.C.  
3131 W. 7th Street, Suite 300  
Fort Worth, Texas 76107  
Telephone: (817) 377-3490  
Email: [brent@nelbum.com](mailto:brent@nelbum.com)

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.