Case IPR2016-01246 for U.S. Patent No. 7,126,174

Filed on behalf of Godo Kaisha IP Bridge 1

By: Neil F. Greenblum (ngreenblum@gbpatent.com) Greenblum & Bernstein, P.L.C. 1950 Roland Clarke Place Reston, VA 20191 Tel: 703-716-1191 Fax: 703-716-1180

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

TAIWAN SEMICONDUCTOR MANUFACTURING COMPANY LIMITED, and GLOBALFOUNDRIES U.S. INC., Petitioners,

v.

GODO KAISHA IP BRIDGE 1, Patent Owner.

> Case IPR2016-01246¹ U.S. Patent No. 7,126,174

PATENT OWNER'S OPPOSITION TO PETITIONER'S MOTION TO EXCLUDE EVIDENCE

¹ Case IPR2016-01247 has been consolidated with this proceeding.

GlobalFoundries U.S. Inc.'s motions for joinder in Cases IPR2017-00925 and IPR2017-00926 were granted.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. PARAGRAPHS 33-159 OF EXHIBIT 2001, PARAGRAPHS 33-149 OF
EXHIBIT 2011, AND PARAGRAPHS 4-10 AND 35-458 OF EXHIBIT 2012 ARE NOT UNRELIABLE EXPERT TESTIMONY
 A. Dr. Schubert's Expertise As To Doping Is Highly Relevant To Petitioner's <i>Lee</i> V. <i>Noble/Ogawa</i> Rejections
B. Dr. Schubert Is An Expert In Both Locos And Trench Isolation
II. PATENT OWNER'S EXHIBITS 2002-2010, 2013-2019, 2026-2030, 2032, AND 2033 ARE NOT IRRELEVANT AND NON-PROBATIVE EVIDENCE
A. Exhibits 2002, 2003, 2004, 2032, and 2033
B. Exhibits 2005, 2006, 2007, 2008, 2009, and 2010
C. Exhibits 2013, 2014, 2015, 2016, 2017, 2018, and 2019
D. Exhibit 202610
E. Exhibits 2027, 2028, 2029, and 203011
III. PATENT OWNER'S EXHIBITS 2003, 2004, AND 2026 SHOULD NOT BE EXCLUDED AS HEARSAY
A. Exhibits 2003 AND 200412
B. Exhibit 202613

Case IPR2016-01246 for U.S. Patent No. 7,126,174

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Rules

Fed. R. Evid. 703	11, 12, 13
Fed. R. Evid. 807	
Regulations	
37 C.F.R § 42.64 (b)(2)	2
37 C.F.R. § 42.64 (b)	1

Petitioner's Motion To Exclude Evidence ("Motion") seeks to exclude Exhibits 2001-2019, 2021-2033. For the reasons set forth herein, none of these exhibits should be excluded.

I. PARAGRAPHS 33-159 OF EXHIBIT 2001, PARAGRAPHS 33-149 OF EXHIBIT 2011, AND PARAGRAPHS 4-10 AND 35-458 OF EXHIBIT 2012 ARE NOT UNRELIABLE EXPERT TESTIMONY

Petitioner's entire basis for its objection to Dr. Schubert <u>now</u> is that he is not an expert in LOCOS isolation or STI in STI MOSFET devices. This challenge should be summarily dismissed because it was not raised in Petitioner's earlier objections (Paper 13 and Paper 16) in accordance with 37 C.F.R. \leq 42.64 (b).

Petitioner's previous objections were based <u>solely</u> on Dr. Schubert's lack of expertise with LDD (lightly doped drain) MOSFETs. Paper 13, pp. 2-3; Paper 16, pp. 3-4. Patent Owner responded pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.64 (b)(2) by serving supplemental evidence, and then including this evidence as part of its Response (Exhibit 2012, pp. 213-273). In view of the supplementation, and possibly convinced that Dr. Schubert is indeed an expert about doping matters, including LDD, Petitioner has now <u>entirely shifted</u> to a <u>new</u> objection which Patent Owner has never had a chance to address by supplementation, i. e., Petitioner now asserts that Dr. Schubert is now not an expert and even has no experience with LOCOS isolation or STI with MOSFET devices. Motion to Exclude, Paper 29, p. 5. Petitioner's shift in position has given Patent Owner no opportunity to supplement as per 37 C.F.R \leq 42.64 (b)(2) and for this reason alone the Motion should be denied as to Dr. Schubert.

A. Dr. Schubert's Expertise As To Doping Is Highly Relevant To Petitioner's *Lee* V. *Noble/Ogawa* Rejections

Petitioner previously objected based only upon Dr. Schubert's alleged inexperience with doping, which they now say is not a "focus" of this case. Paper 29, p. 5. As such, it is impossible to know whether this objection is maintained.

On pages 19-20 of the Reply (Paper 21), TSMC stated that the rejection based upon *Lee* is premised on the fact that after a trench is imported into *Lee*, and "gate oxide **115**, polysilicon **117**, and silicon nitride/silicon oxynitride layer **118** are successively deposited (cite omitted)," that "the remainder of the process would have proceeded as *Lee* teaches resulting in the obviating structure below (Fig. 15'), which IPB ignored." (citing to Paper 2 in '1246 proceeding, at 21,70). <u>These are two false cites.</u> Neither page says this.

Petitioner's Reply was the first time that TSMC ever stated that an "<u>unembedded</u>"² trench from *somewhere* was to be imported into *Lee*, <u>after which</u> <u>the remainder of the process would proceed according to *Lee*. The remainder of</u>

² Unembedded – A trench which is formed by embedding it within a gate electrode/conductor and gate dielectric as per *Noble/Ogawa*.

DOCKET A L A R M



Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.