## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

\_\_\_\_

## BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

Prime Focus Creative Services Canada Inc.,

Petitioner

v.

Legend3D, Inc.,

Patent Owner

U.S. Patent No. 7,907,793

Issued: March 15, 2011

Named Inventor: Barry Sandrew

Title: IMAGE SEQUENCE DEPTH ENHANCEMENT SYSTEM AND METHOD

PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW OF U.S. PATENT NO. 7,907,793

Mail Stop: PATENT BOARD
Patent Trial and Appeal Board
U.S. Patent & Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450



## **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

| I.   | INTI                                                                                         | RODUCTION                                                                                      | 1  |  |
|------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----|--|
| II.  | STA                                                                                          | TUTORY REQUIREMENTS                                                                            | 1  |  |
|      | A.                                                                                           | Notice of Real Party-In-Interest (37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(1))                                      | 1  |  |
|      | B.                                                                                           | Notice of Related Matters (37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(2))                                             | 1  |  |
|      | C.                                                                                           | Designation of Lead and Back-up Counsel (37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(3))                               | 2  |  |
|      | D.                                                                                           | Service Information (37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(4))                                                   | 2  |  |
|      | E.                                                                                           | Payment of Fees (37 C.F.R. § 42.103)                                                           | 3  |  |
|      | F.                                                                                           | Certification of Grounds for Standing (37 C.F.R. § 42.104(a))                                  | 3  |  |
|      | G.                                                                                           | Certification of Word Count                                                                    | 3  |  |
|      | H.                                                                                           | Identification of Challenge (37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b))                                            | 3  |  |
| III. | OVE                                                                                          | ERVIEW OF THE '793 PATENT                                                                      | 4  |  |
| IV.  | THE PRIOR ART                                                                                |                                                                                                |    |  |
|      | A.                                                                                           | The '670 and '081 Patents                                                                      | 9  |  |
|      | B.                                                                                           | U.S. Patent No. 7,573,475 ("Sullivan") and U.S. Patent Application No. 12/341,992 ("Passmore") | 12 |  |
|      | C.                                                                                           | Combining/Modifying References                                                                 | 15 |  |
| V.   | LEV                                                                                          | EL OF ORDINARY SKILL IN THE ART                                                                | 18 |  |
| VI.  | GROUND 1: CLAIMS 1, 2, AND 7 THROUGH 12 ARE OBVIOUS OVER THE '081 PATENT IN VIEW OF PASSMORE |                                                                                                |    |  |
|      | Α.                                                                                           | Claim 1                                                                                        |    |  |



|       | B. | Claim 2                                                                           | . 21 |
|-------|----|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------|
|       | C. | Claim 7                                                                           | . 25 |
|       | D. | Claim 8                                                                           | . 26 |
|       | E. | Claim 9                                                                           | . 27 |
|       | F. | Claim 10                                                                          | . 27 |
|       | G. | Claim 11                                                                          | . 28 |
|       | H. | Claim 12                                                                          | . 28 |
| VII.  |    | UND 2: CLAIMS 3 THROUGH 6 ARE OBVIOUS<br>R THE '081 PATENT IN VIEW OF SULLIVAN    | . 29 |
|       | A. | Claim 3                                                                           | . 29 |
|       | B. | Claim 4                                                                           | . 32 |
|       | C. | Claim 5                                                                           | . 33 |
|       | D. | Claim 6                                                                           | . 33 |
| VIII. |    | UND 3: CLAIMS 13, 14, AND 19 ARE OBVIOUS<br>R THE '670 PATENT IN VIEW OF PASSMORE | . 34 |
|       | A. | Claim 13                                                                          | . 34 |
|       | B. | Claim 14                                                                          | . 36 |
|       | C. | Claim 19                                                                          | . 37 |
| IX.   |    | UND 4: CLAIMS 15 THROUGH 18 ARE OBVIOUS<br>R THE '670 PATENT IN VIEW OF SULLIVAN  | . 37 |
|       | A. | Claim 15                                                                          | . 37 |
|       | B. | Claim 16                                                                          | . 38 |
|       | C. | Claim 17                                                                          | . 38 |



|       | D.   | Claim 18                                                                                                   | . 39 |
|-------|------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------|
| X.    | PATE | UND 5: CLAIM 20 IS OBVIOUS OVER THE '081<br>ENT IN VIEW OF THE '670 PATENT AND FURTHER<br>IEW OF PASSMORE  | . 39 |
| XI.   | OBV  | UND 6: CLAIMS 1, 2, AND 7 THROUGH 12 ARE<br>IOUS OVER THE '081 PATENT IN VIEW OF<br>LIVAN                  | . 42 |
|       | A.   | Claim 1                                                                                                    |      |
|       | B.   | Claim 2                                                                                                    | . 43 |
|       | C.   | Claim 7                                                                                                    | . 44 |
|       | D.   | Claims 8 through 12                                                                                        | . 45 |
|       | E.   | Ground 6 and Ground 1 are not redundant.                                                                   | . 46 |
| XII.  |      | UND 7: CLAIMS 13, 14, AND 19 ARE OBVIOUS<br>R THE '670 PATENT IN VIEW OF SULLIVAN                          | . 46 |
|       | A.   | Claim 13                                                                                                   | . 46 |
|       | B.   | Claim 14                                                                                                   | . 48 |
|       | C.   | Claim 19                                                                                                   | . 48 |
|       | D.   | Ground 7 and Ground 3 are not redundant                                                                    | . 49 |
| XIII. | PATE | UND 8: CLAIM 20 IS OBVIOUS OVER THE '081<br>ENT IN VIEW OF THE '670 PATENT AND FURTHER<br>IEW OF SULLIVAN. | . 49 |
| XIV.  | CON  | CLUSION                                                                                                    | . 53 |



# **TABLE OF AUTHORITIES**

## **Cases**

| In re Bigio,<br>381 F.3d 1320 (Fed. Cir. 2004)                                         | 15     |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------|
| <i>In re Chu</i> , 66 F.3d 292 (Fed. Cir. 1995)                                        | 12     |
| KSR Intern. Col. v. Teleflex Inc., 550 U.S. 398 (2007)                                 | 16, 17 |
| Lockwood v. American Airlines, Inc.,<br>107 F.3d 1565 (Fed. Cir. 1997)                 | 12     |
| National Steel Car, Ltd. v. Canadian Pacific Ry., Ltd., 357 F.3d 1319 (Fed. Cir. 2004) | 18     |
| <u>Statutes</u>                                                                        |        |
| 35 U.S.C. § 102(b)                                                                     | 12     |
| 35 U.S.C. § 103                                                                        | 1      |
| 35 U.S.C. §§ 311-319                                                                   | 1      |
| 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)                                                                    | 1, 2   |
| 37 C.F.R. § 42.15(a)                                                                   | 3      |
| 37 C.F.R. § 42.100                                                                     | 1      |
| 37 C.F.R. § 42.103                                                                     | 3      |
| 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(a)                                                                  | 3      |



# DOCKET A L A R M

# Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

# **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

#### **LAW FIRMS**

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

#### **FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS**

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## **E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS**

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

