```
Page 1
          UNITED STATES PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD
 3
    ARGENTUM PHARMACEUTICALS, LLC, :
                                    : IPR2016-00204
5
   et al.,
             Appellants,
                                    : IPR2016-01101
                                    : IPR2016-01242
         v.
    RESEARCH CORPORATION
                                    : IPR2016-01245
    TECHNOLOGIES, INC.,
                                    : IPR2016-01248
9
10
              Respondent.
11
12
           The telephonic conference in the
13
    above-entitled matter convened at 11:03 a.m. on
14
    Tuesday, August 9, 2016, and the proceedings
15
    being taken down by stenotype and transcribed by
16
17
    Catherine B. Crump, a Notary Public in and for the
    District of Columbia.
18
19
    BEFORE:
20
               HON. JACQUELINE WRIGHT BONILLA
21
                    HON. FRANCISCO C. PRATS
22
             Patent Trial and Appeal Board Judges
```



```
Page 2
    APPEARANCES:
     On behalf of Appellant Argentum:
 3
       MATTHEW J. DOWD, ESQ.
       Andrews, Kurth, LLP
 4
       1350 I Street, N.W., Suite 1100
 5
       Washington, D.C. 20006
       (202) 662-2701
 7
 8
9
    On behalf of Appellant Mylan:
10
        JAD MILLS, ESQ.
11
       MICHAEL ROSATO, ESQ.
12
       Wilson, Sonsini, Goodrich & Rosati
13
       701 Fifth Avenue, Suite 5100
14
       Seattle, Washington 98104
15
       (206) 883-2699
16
17
     On behalf of Appellant Breckenridge:
18
        MATTHEW F. FEDOWITZ, ESQ.
19
       Merchant & Gould
       1900 Duke Street, Suite 600
20
21
     Alexandria, Virginia 22314
22
       (703) 684-2526
```



```
Page 3
     On behalf of Appellant Alembic:
        GARY SPEIER, ESQ.
 3
       SARAH STENSLAND, ESQ.
       Carlson, Caspers, Vandenburgh,
 4
       Lindquist & Schuman
 5
       Capella Tower, Suite 4200
 6
 7
       225 South Sixth Street
8
       Minneapolis, Minnesota 55402
9
       (612) 436-9600
10
11
    On behalf of the Respondent:
12
    ANDREA REISTER, ESQ.
    Covington & Burling, LLP
13
    One City Center
14
    850 Tenth Street, N.W.
15
16
    Washington, D.C. 20001-4956
17
    (202) 662-6000
18
19
20
21
22
```



Page 4

- 1 PROCEEDINGS
- JUDGE BONILLA: I understand -- Mr. Fedowitz,
- 3 are you speaking on behalf of all three of the other
- 4 petitioners today or will there be people speaking on
- 5 -- different counsel on different parties' behalf?
- 6 MR. FEDOWITZ: With regard to the joinder
- 7 petitioners, yes. There are common issues amongst
- 8 us. If there's something that's specific to a
- 9 specific petitioner, they can address it, but the
- 10 substance of the discussion today will be based on
- 11 the joint joinder of petitioners.
- 12 JUDGE BONILLA: And counsel for the other
- 13 parties, are you in agreement with Mr. Fedowitz
- 14 speaking on your behalf right now?
- MR. MILLS: This is Jad Mills for Mylan.
- 16 That's correct with regard to the joinder.
- 17 MR. SPEIER: This is Gary Speier for Alembic.
- 18 Yes.
- 19 JUDGE BONILLA: Okay. Great.
- 20 All right. Mr. Fedowitz, you initiated the
- 21 call. So why don't you go ahead and get us started.
- MR. FEDOWITZ: Sure, Your Honor.



Page 5

- 1 The petitioners arranged for this call today
- 2 because we are seeking guidance on the status of our
- 3 joinder petition. Given that Patent Owner did not
- 4 oppose the motions for joinder within the one-month
- 5 time period set forth at 37 C.F.R. 42.25, we were
- 6 wondering how we should respond given that these are
- 7 all me-too petitions and whether this will impact
- 8 timing of the decision on joinder.
- 9 JUDGE BONILLA: Okay. Is it correct, Patent
- 10 Owner, that you don't oppose the joinder motion?
- MS. REISTER: No, Your Honor. That's not
- 12 correct.
- In the initial conference we had in the 204
- 14 petition, we specifically raised this issue and were
- 15 advised that we could certainly put into our patent
- 16 owner preliminary response our oppositions to the
- 17 joinder, and that is our intention, to do that.
- 18 MR. FEDOWITZ: Your Honor, this is Matthew
- 19 Fedowitz again.
- I think what Ms. Reister is talking about, it
- 21 would be an ex parte communication with the board.
- 22 That was never communicated to any of the joinder



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

