### IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Patent of: Mark Dronge

U.S. Patent No.: 7,864,983 Attorney Docket No.: 39959-0009IP1

Issue Date: January 4, 2011 Appl. Serial No.: 12/430,463 Filing Date: April 27, 2009

Title: Security Alarm System

### **Mail Stop Patent Board**

Patent Trial and Appeal Board U.S. Patent and Trademark Office P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

# PETITION FOR INTER PARTES REVIEW OF UNITED STATES PATENT NO. 7,864,983 PURSUANT TO 35 U.S.C. §§ 311–319, 37 C.F.R. § 42



### **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

I.	MANDATORY NOTICES UNDER 37 C.F.R § 42.8(a)(1)	2
	<b>A.</b> Real Party-In-Interest Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(1)	2
	<b>B.</b> Related Matters Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(2)	2
	C. Lead And Back-Up Counsel Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(3)	3
	<b>D.</b> Service Information	
II.	PAYMENT OF FEES – 37 C.F.R. § 42.103	4
III.	GROUNDS FOR STANDING AND CHALLENGE UNDER 37 C.F.R.	
	42.104	
	A. Grounds for Standing Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(a)	
	<b>B.</b> Challenge Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b) and Relief Requested	4
	C. Level of Ordinary Skill in the Art	5
	<b>D.</b> Technology Overview	5
	E. The '983 Patent	
IV.	CLAIM INTERPRETATION	10
	A. "structure"	
	B. "telecommunications network"	10
	C. "handheld telecommunications unit"	
	D. "silhouette"	
V.	THRESHOLD REQUIREMENT FOR INTER PARTES REVIEW	
VI.	CLAIM-BY-CLAIM EXPLANATION OF GROUNDS FOR	12
	UNPATENTABILITY OF CLAIMS 1-20	
	<b>A.</b> Ground 1: Claims 1-8, 11, and 18-20 Would Have Been Obvious over	
	Milinusic and Osann	
	<b>B.</b> Ground 2: Claims 9, 10 and 12-17 Are Obvious over Milinusic, Osan	_
	and Ozer	33
VII.	CONCLUSION	38



### **EXHIBITS**

POI-1001	U.S. Patent No. 7,864,983 ("the '983 patent")
POI-1002	U.S. Patent Publication No. 2005/0267605 ("Lee")
POI-1003	U.S. Patent No. 7,106,333 ("Milinusic")
POI-1004	U.S. Patent No. 7,253,732 ("Osann")
POI-1005	U.S. Patent Publication No. 2004/0120581 ("Ozer")
POI-1006	U.S. Patent Publication No. 2007/0070185 ("Dy")
POI-1007	U.S. Patent No. 7,463,145 ("Jentoft")
POI-1008	Website: <a href="http://www.apple.com/pr/library/2001/10/16Apple-Powers-Up-Titanium-PowerBook-G4-with-New-G4-Processors.html">http://www.apple.com/pr/library/2001/10/16Apple-Powers-Up-Titanium-PowerBook-G4-with-New-G4-Processors.html</a>
POI-1009	Website: <a href="http://searchnetworking.techtarget.com/definition/terminal">http://searchnetworking.techtarget.com/definition/terminal</a>
POI-1010	Declaration of Tal Lavian, Ph.D.
POI-1011	Curriculum Vitae of Tal Lavian, Ph.D.
POI-1012	File History of U.S. Patent No. 7,526,105



Protection One, Inc. ("POI") petitions for *Inter Partes* Review ("IPR") under 35 U.S.C. §§ 311–319 and 37 C.F.R. § 42 of claims 1-20 ("the Challenged Claims") of U.S. Patent No. 7,864,983 ("the '983 patent"). The Challenged Claims are unpatentable based on teachings set forth in at least the references presented in this petition. The claimed subject matter of the '983 patent was well known before its filing date. See, e.g., Declaration of Tal Lavian, Ph.D. ("Lavian"), ¶¶16-17. An IPR should therefore be instituted, and the Challenged Claims canceled as unpatentable.

The '983 patent is directed to a security alarm system for protecting a structure (e.g., home or building) and that can be remotely accessed via a handheld telecommunications unit such as a cell phone, personal digital assistant (PDA) or personal computer. (Ex. 1001 at 1:15-30; 5:67-6:6; 13:51-16:47). The security system includes one or more cameras and one or more motion detectors that activate the camera(s) when motion is detected in a monitored area proximate the structure. (*Id.*) The cameras capture images of the monitored area when the presence of a potential threat is detected and the images can be accessed locally or remotely to allow the potential threat to be evaluated. (*Id.*)

The security system may also analyze images captured by the camera(s) to classify objects detected therein and determine whether the detected objects pose a threat (e.g., by distinguishing between a young child who is likely not a threat and



an adult or by distinguishing between a bear or other large animal that may pose a threat and a small animal like a cat that does not). (*Id.* at 9:35-46). The '983 patent describes several prior art security systems that included object identification (also known as classification) functionality. (*Id.* at 1:51-2:26).

As discussed below, systems meeting all of the requirements of the claims were known to those of skill in the art before the '983 patent was filed. Multiple independent grounds are provided below based upon prior art references that describe security systems that are used to protect structures, including systems that use motion-activated cameras to capture images of monitored areas, perform image analysis to classify objects to distinguish between objects that may pose a threat and those that do not, and that are accessible by the types of remote telecommunications devices described in the '983 patent. All of the challenged claims would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art.

### I. MANDATORY NOTICES UNDER 37 C.F.R § 42.8(a)(1)

### A. Real Party-In-Interest Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(1)

Protection One, Inc., Protection Holdings II, Inc., Protection One Alarm Monitoring, Inc., Prime Security Services TopCo Parent, L.P., Prime Security Services Parent, Inc., Prime Security Services Holdings, LLC and Prime Security Services Borrower, LLC are the real parties-in-interest.

### B. Related Matters Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(2)

A decision in this proceeding could affect or be affected by the following



# DOCKET

# Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

# **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

### **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

### API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

#### **LAW FIRMS**

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

#### **FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS**

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

### **E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS**

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

