Paper No.

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

ARGENTUM PHARMACEUTICALS LLC

Petitioner,

v.

ALLERGAN, INC.,

Patent Owner.

U.S. Patent No. 8,629,111

Inter Partes Review No. IPR2016-01232

PETITION FOR INTER PARTES REVIEW OF U.S. PATENT NO. 8,629,111

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INTRODUCTION					
	A.	Overview of the '111 Patent				
	B.	Overview of the Prosecution History				
	C.	Overview of the Scope and Content of the Prior Art				
		1.	U.S. Patent No. 5,474,979 to Ding <i>et al.</i> ("Ding '979," EX1006)	7		
		2.	Sall et al., Two Multicenter, Randomized Studies of the Efficacy and Safety of Cyclosporine Ophthalmic Emulsion in Moderate to Severe Dry Eye Disease, 107 Ophthamology 631 (2000) (EX1007)	9		
		3.	A. Acheampong et al., Cyclosporine Distribution into the Conjunctiva, Cornea, Lacrimal Gland, and Systemic Blood Following Topical Dosing of Cyclosporine to Rabbit, Dog, and Human Eyes, in Lacrimal Gland, Tear Film, and Dry Eye Syndromes 2: Basic Science and Clinical Relevance 1001 (eds. David A. Sullivan & Darlene A. Dartt 1998) ("Acheampong") (EX1008)	9		
	D.	Over	view of the Level of Skill in the Art	10		
II.	GROUNDS FOR STANDING					
III.	MANDATORY NOTICES UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.81					
IV.	STATEMENT OF PRECISE RELIEF REQUESTED FOR EACH CLAIM CHALLENGED					
V.	STATEMENT OF NON-REDUNDANCY1					
VI.	CLAIM CONSTRUCTION					
	A.	"Buf	fer"	15		

Page

Petition for *Inter Partes* Review IPR2016-01232 U.S. Patent No. 8,629,111

	B. "Substantially No Detectable Concentration"				
	C.	"Effective" and "Therapeutically Effective"	16		
VII.		BACKGROUND KNOWLEDGE IN THE ART PRIOR TO SEPTEMBER 15, 2003			
VIII.	DETAILED EXPLANATION OF GROUNDS FOR UNPATENTABILITY				
	A. [Ground 1] Claims 1-27 are Anticipated under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) by Ding '979				
		1. Claims 1-10, 12-15, and 18-19	23		
		2. Claims 17 and 20-27	30		
		3. Claims 11 and 16	31		
	B.	[Ground 2] Claims 1-27 are Obvious under 35 U.S.C. § 103 over Ding '979 and Sall	38		
		1. Claims 1-16, and 18-19	38		
		2. Claims 17, 20-27	41		
		3. Claims 11 and 16	42		
	C. [Ground 3] Claims 11 and 16 are Obvious under 35 U.S. § 103 over Ding '979, Sall, and Acheampong		46		
IX. NO O		OBJECTIVE INDICIA OF NON-OBVIOUSNESS	48		
	A.	No Unexpected Results	48		
	B. No Evidence of Commercial SuccessC. No Industry Praise		60		
			62		
	D. No Long-Felt, Unmet Need				
	E.	No Failure of Others			

X.	ADDITIONAL ARGUMENTS AND/OR REASONING			
	A.	Judicial Estoppel	63	
	B.	Later-Discovered Secondary Considerations	64	
	C.	Additional Legal Support for Anticipation	65	
	D.	Presumptive Enablement of Ding '979	66	
	E.	Ding '979 Directs One to the Claimed Emulsion	66	
XI.	CON	CLUSION	67	
XII.	CERT	FIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE	68	
XIII.	PAY	MENT OF FEES UNDER 37 C.F.R. §§ 42.15(A) AND 42.103	69	
XIV.	APPE	ENDIX - LIST OF EXHIBITS	70	

I. INTRODUCTION

Argentum Pharmaceuticals LLC ("Petitioner") requests review of U.S. Patent No. 8,629,111 to Acheampong *et al.* ("the '111 patent," EX1001) that issued on January 14, 2014. PTO records indicate the '111 patent is assigned to Allergan, Inc. ("Patent Owner"). This Petition demonstrates a reasonable likelihood that claims 1-27 of the '111 patent are unpatentable in view of the identified prior art.

The '111 patent claims a topical ophthalmic emulsion as in related U.S. Patent No. 8,685,930 but further recites that cyclosporin A ("CsA") is the only peptide present in the emulsion. Each element of the emulsion, including the claimed CsA and castor oil percentages, preferred ratios for combining them, and CsA as the only peptide present in the emulsion, was disclosed in a single prior art reference (Ding '979) for use in topical ophthalmic emulsions to treat the same dry eye disease, such as keratoconjunctivitis sicca ("KCS"). In fact, during prosecution of a parent application, applicants admitted that the claimed emulsion containing 0.05% CsA and 1.25% castor oil "is squarely within the teaching of the Ding ['979] reference" and "would have been obvious" to a person of skill in the art at the time of the invention. EX1005, 0435; EX1002, ¶18.

Four years later, in prosecuting the '111 patent as a continuation application, applicants changed course and attempted to withdraw these admissions. EX1004,

DOCKET A L A R M



Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.