

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

APPLE INC., MICROSOFT CORPORATION,  
MICROSOFT MOBILE OY, and  
MICROSOFT MOBILE INC. (F/K/A NOKIA INC.),  
Petitioner,

v.

EVOLVED WIRELESS LLC,  
Patent Owner.

---

Case IPR2016-01228  
Case IPR2016-01229<sup>1</sup>  
Patent 7,881,236 B2

---

Before WILLIAM V. SAINDON, PATRICK M. BOUCHER, and  
TERRENCE McMILLIN, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

BOUCHER, *Administrative Patent Judge*.

ORDER  
*Requests for Oral Argument*  
37 C.F.R. § 42.70

---

<sup>1</sup> The parties are not authorized to use this style of caption.

IPR2016-01228  
IPR2016-01229  
Patent 7,881,236 B2

The date set for oral hearing in each of these proceedings is September 15, 2017, if hearing is requested by either party and granted by the Board. Paper 9.<sup>2</sup> Both parties request oral hearing. Papers 17, 18. The requests are *granted*.

Because each of these proceedings involves the same patent, a consolidated oral argument will be held. Each side will have 45 minutes, total, to present its argument, and may allocate its time among the two proceedings as it wishes. Any representation made by counsel at the consolidated oral argument is applicable to and useable in all proceedings that have underlying basis for the representation.

Petitioner bears the ultimate burden of proof that Patent Owner's claims at issue in these reviews are unpatentable. Accordingly, Petitioner will open the hearing by presenting its case regarding the challenged claims for which the Board instituted trial. After Petitioner's presentation, Patent Owner will respond to Petitioner's argument. Petitioner may reserve time to respond to Patent Owner's argument. Patent Owner may not reserve time.

The hearing will commence at 2 PM Eastern Time on September 15, 2017, on the ninth floor of Madison Building East, 600 Dulany Street, Alexandria, Virginia. The Board will provide a court reporter for the hearing and the reporter's transcript will constitute the official record of the hearing. At least one member of the panel may be attending the oral

---

<sup>2</sup> The relevant paper numbers are the same in both proceedings.

IPR2016-01228  
IPR2016-01229  
Patent 7,881,236 B2

argument remotely by use of two-way audio-visual communication equipment. The hearing will be open to the public for in-person attendance that will be accommodated on a first-come, first-served basis. If the parties have any concern about disclosing confidential information, they are requested to contact the Board at least 10 days in advance of the hearing to discuss the matter.

The parties are reminded that, under 37 C.F.R. § 42.53(f)(7), a proponent of deposition testimony must file such testimony as an exhibit. The Board will not consider any deposition testimony that has not been so filed. Furthermore, under 37 C.F.R. § 42.70(b), demonstrative exhibits must be served at least seven business days before the hearing date. The parties shall meet and confer to discuss and resolve any objections to demonstrative exhibits.

Any party with unresolved objections must file a list of those objections with the Board at least two business days before the hearing. For each objection, the list must identify with particularity which portions of the demonstrative exhibits are subject to the objection and may include a short, one-sentence statement explaining the objection. No argument or further explanation is permitted. The Board will consider any objections and schedule a conference call if deemed necessary. Otherwise, the Board will reserve ruling on the objections. Any objection to demonstrative exhibits not timely presented will be considered waived.

IPR2016-01228  
IPR2016-01229  
Patent 7,881,236 B2

Notwithstanding 37 C.F.R. § 42.70(b), each party also shall file its demonstrative exhibits with the Board as a separate paper at least two business days prior to the hearing. A hard copy of the demonstratives should be provided to the court reporter at the hearing, but hard copies of the demonstratives are not needed for the judges.

The parties are directed to *St. Jude Medical, Cardiology Division, Inc. v. The Board of Regents of the University of Michigan*, IPR2013-00041 (PTAB January 27, 2015) (Paper 65), for guidance regarding the appropriate content of demonstrative exhibits. Demonstrative exhibits are not evidence and may not introduce new evidence or arguments. Instead, demonstrative exhibits should cite to evidence in the record. The parties are reminded that the presenter must identify clearly and specifically each demonstrative exhibit (e.g., by slide or screen number) referenced during the hearing to ensure the clarity and accuracy of the reporter's transcript.

Generally, the Board expects lead counsel for each party to be present in person at the oral hearing. Patent Owner states in its Requests that it anticipates that its lead counsel will not be present at oral hearing in these cases, and that backup counsel will present argument. Given that Patent Owner's backup counsel is a registered practitioner and has signed Patent Owner's merits briefing, attendance by lead counsel at the oral hearing is excused. If Petitioner expects that its lead counsel will not be attending the oral hearing, the parties should request a joint telephone conference with the Board no later than 10 days prior to the oral hearing to discuss the matter.

IPR2016-01228  
IPR2016-01229  
Patent 7,881,236 B2

Any special requests for audio-visual equipment should be directed to [Trials@uspto.gov](mailto:Trials@uspto.gov). Requests for special equipment will not be honored unless presented in a separate communication not less than five days before the hearing directed to the above email address.

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.