

**PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW OF U.S. PATENT NO. 8,504,746**

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

In the *Inter Partes* Review of U.S. Patent No. 8,504,746

Trial No.: Not Yet Assigned

Issued: August 6, 2013

Filed: September 27, 2010

Inventor: Michael Tasler

Assignee: Papst Licensing GmbH & Co., KG

Title: ANALOG DATA GENERATING AND PROCESSING DEVICE  
FOR USE WITH A PERSONAL COMPUTER

**MAIL STOP PATENT BOARD**

Patent Trial and Appeal Board

United States Patent & Trademark Office

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

**PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW**  
**UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.100**

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

|   | Page |
|---|------|
| I. Introduction.....  | 1    |
| II. Grounds for Standing Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(a) .....  | 2    |
| III. Background Information for the '746 Patent.....  | 2    |
| A. Overview of the '746 Patent Family and Prosecution History .....   | 2    |
| IV. Identification of Challenge Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b) .....   | 4    |
| A. 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b)(1): Claims for Which <i>Inter Partes</i><br>Review Is Requested .....                        | 4    |
| B. 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b)(2): The Prior Art and Specific Grounds<br>on Which the Challenge to the Claims Is Based..... | 4    |
| C. 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b)(3): Claim Construction .....   | 6    |
| D. 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b)(4): How the Construed Claims are<br>Unpatentable.....  | 8    |
| E. 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b)(5): Supporting Evidence .....  | 8    |
| V. Mandatory Notices Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(a)(1) .....   | 8    |
| A. C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(1): Real Parties-In-Interest.....   | 8    |
| B. C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(2): Related Matters .....   | 9    |
| C. C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(3) and (4): Lead and Back-up Counsel and<br>Service Information.....                              | 12   |
| VI. Detailed Grounds for Unpatentability .....  | 15   |
| A. Overview of Murata .....   | 16   |
| VII. Grounds 1 and 2: Anticipation and obviousness of the Challenged<br>Claims .....                                  | 17   |
| A. Independent claim 1 .....  | 17   |
| 1. The preamble of claim 1 .....  | 17   |
| 2. A program memory of claim 1.....   | 19   |
| 3. An analog signal acquisition channel of claim 1 .....  | 21   |
| 4. A processor operative interfaced of claim 1 .....  | 21   |

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**  
(continued)

|  | <b>Page</b> |
|--|-------------|
| 5. Murata discloses a processor that is configured and programmed to implement a data generation process of claim 1 .....                    | 23          |
| 6. A processor that automatically causes at least one parameter indicative of the class of devices to be sent to a computer of claim 1 ..... | 25          |
| 7. A processor that is further configured and programmed to execute a file transfer process of claim 1 .....                                 | 31          |
| 8. No requirement for any user-loaded file transfer enabling software of claim 1 .....   | 34          |
| B. Dependent claim 19.....   | 35          |
| 1. Ground 1: Anticipation .....  | 35          |
| 2. Ground 2: Obviousness.....  | 39          |
| C. Dependent claim 20 (Ground 2: Obviousness).....   | 40          |
| D. Dependent claim 21 (Grounds 1 and 2: Anticipation and obviousness) .....  | 41          |
| E. Dependent claim 24 (Grounds 1 and 2: Anticipation and obviousness) .....  | 41          |
| F. Dependent claim 26 (Grounds 1 and 2: Anticipation and obviousness) .....  | 42          |
| G. Dependent claims 27 and 28 (Grounds 1 and 2: Anticipation and obviousness) .....  | 43          |
| VIII. Conclusion .....   | 44          |

PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW OF U.S. PATENT NO. 8,504,746

EXHIBIT LIST

| EXHIBIT NO. | TITLE   |
|-------------|---|
| 1101        | U.S. Patent No. 8,504,746 (“the ’746 patent”)   |
| 1102        | U.S. Patent No. 5,508,821 to Murata (“Murata”)  |
| 1103        | Declaration of Dr. Paul F. Reynolds, Ph.D.  |
| 1104        | Papst Litigation Claim Constructions  |
| 1105        | American National Standards Institute, “ANSI X3.131-1994 - Small Computer System Interface-2,” (1994)   |
| 1106        | American National Standards Institute, Procedures for the Development and Coordination of American National Standards, Approved by the ANSI Board of Directors (Sept. 9, 1993). |
| 1107        | Frank G. Fiamingo, “Unix System Administration,” The Ohio State University (1996).  |
| 1108        | Ray Duncan, ed., “The MS-DOS Encyclopedia”, Microsoft Press (1988)  |
| 1109        | Declaration of Frank G. Fiamingo, Ph.D. (“Fiamingo Decl.”)  |
| 1110        | Frisch, “Essential System Administration”, 2nd Edition, O’Reilly & Associates (1995).   |
| 1111        | McKusick, et al., “Design and Implementation of the 4.4BSD Operating  |

...

**PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW OF U.S. PATENT NO. 8,504,746**

|      |  |
|------|--|
|      | System”, Addison-Wesley Longman, Inc. (1996).  |
| 1112 | U.S. Patent No. 5,731,834 to Huot et al. (“Huot”)  |
| 1113 | JP H5-344283 to Takahashi (“Takahashi”) (including original certified English translation thereof) |
| 1114 | U.S. Patent No. 5,592,256 to Muramatsu (“Muramatsu”)   |
| 1115 | Excerpt from the Microsoft Computer Dictionary (2nd ed. 1994)                                      |

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.