

Filed on behalf of Securus Technologies, Inc.

By: Nicholas C. Kliewer (nkliewer@bcpc-law.com)
Jeffrey R. Bragalone (jbragalone@bcpc-law.com)
Justin B. Kimble (JKimble-IPR@bcpc-law.com)
Bragalone Conroy P.C.
2200 Ross Ave.
Suite 4500 – West
Dallas, TX 75201
Tel: 214.785.6670
Fax: 214.786.6680

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

GLOBAL TEL*LINK CORPORATION

Petitioner

v.

SECURUS TECHNOLOGIES, INC.

Patent Owner

Case IPR2016-01220

U.S. Patent No. 9,007,420

**PATENT OWNER'S OBJECTIONS
TO PETITIONER'S DEMONSTRATIVES**

Mail Stop PATENT BOARD
Patent Trial and Appeal Board
U.S. Patent & Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Pursuant to the Board's Order of July 26, 2017, Patent Owner Securus Technologies, Inc. ("Patent Owner" or "Securus") files these objections to Petitioner's oral argument demonstratives previously served on Patent Owner.

Objection No. 1 (to Petitioner's Demonstrative Slide 2)

Patent Owner objects to Slide 2 on the basis that it is prejudicial and misleading in mischaracterizing the Petition and includes factually inaccurate representation of the term "electronic visitation session." Specifically, Slide 2 includes argument regarding "electronic visitation" not discussed at Petition, 1, 14, or referred to in Figs. 2, 7. Further, Petition and Reply do not rely on Figure 7 and appears to represent new argument.

Objection No. 2 (to Petitioner's Demonstrative Slide 3)

Patent Owner objects to Slide 3 on the basis that it is prejudicial and misleading in mischaracterizing the Petition and includes new argument regarding the Claim 1 and Figure 5. Specifically, Slide 3 includes a comparison between Figure 5 and Claim 1 that is not part of the record.

Objection No. 3 (to Petitioner's Demonstrative Slide 13)

Patent Owner objects to Slide 13 on the grounds that it includes new citations and argument not represented in the Petition or Petitioner's Reply regarding the plain meaning of "actual geometry of the face" and "actual face."

Case IPR2016-01220
Patent 9,007,420

Objection No. 4 (to Petitioner's Demonstrative Slide 23)

Patent Owner objects to Slide 23 on the basis that it is prejudicial and misleading in mischaracterizing the Petition and includes new argument regarding the "claimed request."

Dated: August 4, 2017

Respectfully submitted,



Nicholas C. Klierer
Attorney for Patent Owner
Registration No. 72,480
Bragalone Conroy PC
2200 Ross Ave.
Suite 4500 – West
Dallas, TX 75201

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned hereby certifies that this document has been served via electronic mail on August 4, to Petitioner via counsel, Michael D. Specht, at mspecht-PTAB@skgf.com and Joseph E. Mutschelknaus, at jmutsche-PTAB@skgf.com, and PTAB@SKGF.com, pursuant to Petitioner's consent in its Petition at p. 60.



Nicholas C. Kliewer
Attorney for Patent Owner
Registration No. 72,480
Bragalone Conroy PC
2200 Ross Ave.
Suite 4500 – West
Dallas, TX 75201