Paper No. 25 Filed: July 26, 2017

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

GLOBAL TEL*LINK CORPORATION, Petitioner,

v.

SECURUS TECHNOLOGIES, INC., Patent Owner.

IPR2016-01123 Patent 8,630,726 IPR2016-01220 Patent 9,007,420

Before KEVIN F. TURNER, BARBARA A. BENOIT, and GEORGIANNA W. BRADEN, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

BRADEN, Administrative Patent Judge.

ORDER
Trial Hearing
37 C.F.R. § 42.70



On December 16, 2016, we entered a Decision to Institute a trial proceeding in IPR2016-01220. Paper 8. A Scheduling Order in the case set the date for oral hearing, if requested by either party, as August 9, 2017. Paper 9. Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.70, both parties have requested an oral hearing in IPR2016-01220. Papers 20, 22. Petitioner's and Patent Owner's requests for oral hearing in IPR2016-01220 are *granted*.

Additionally, oral arguments for IPR2016-01123 were set previously for August 2, 2017. Paper 26. We informed the parties that unless either party raised objections, we would hear oral arguments for both cases on same day. Neither party objected.

Accordingly, oral argument for both proceedings will be held on August 9, 2017 on the ninth floor of Madison Building East, 600 Dulany Street, Alexandria, Virginia. The hearing for IPR2016-01123 will commence at 1:00 PM and end at 2:30 PM Eastern Time. The hearing for IPR2016-01220 will commence at 2:40 PM and end at 4:10 PM Eastern Time. Both hearings will be open to the public for in-person attendance. Inperson attendance will be accommodated on a first-come-first-served basis. If the parties have any concern about disclosing confidential information, they are to contact the Board at least five (5) business days in advance of the hearings to discuss the matter.

Each party will have forty-five (45) minutes of total time to present arguments for each case. Petitioner bears the ultimate burden of proof that the claims at issue are unpatentable. Therefore, Petitioner will proceed first to present its case with regard to the challenged claims and grounds on which we instituted trial in IPR2016-01123. Patent Owner then will argue its opposition to Petitioner's case. Petitioner may reserve rebuttal time.



Subsequently, after a short break, Petitioner will proceed to present its case with regard to the challenged claims and grounds on which we instituted trial in IPR2016-01220. Patent Owner then will argue its opposition to Petitioner's case. Petitioner may reserve rebuttal time.

The Board will provide a court reporter for the hearing and the reporter's transcript will constitute the official record of the hearing.

The parties are reminded that under 37 C.F.R. § 42.53(f)(7), a proponent of deposition testimony must file such testimony as an exhibit. The Board will not consider any deposition testimony that has not been so filed.

Furthermore, pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.70(b), demonstrative exhibits must be served at least five (5) business days before the hearing. The parties shall provide a courtesy copy of any demonstrative exhibits to the Board at least three (3) business days prior to the hearing by emailing them to Trials@uspto.gov. The parties shall not file any demonstrative exhibits in the records of these proceedings without prior authorization from the Board. The demonstrative exhibits in this case are not evidence and are intended only to assist the parties in presenting their oral argument to the Board. The parties must, however, file any objections to the demonstratives with the Board at least three (3) business days before the hearing. Any objection to the demonstrative exhibits that is not presented timely will be considered waived. The objections should identify with particularity which demonstratives are subject to objection, and include a short (one sentence or less) statement of the reason for each objection. No argument or further explanation is permitted. The Board will consider the objections and schedule a conference if deemed necessary. Otherwise, the Board will



reserve ruling on the objections until after the oral argument. The parties are directed to *St. Jude Medical, Cardiology Division, Inc. v. The Board of Regents of the University of Michigan,* IPR2013-00041 (PTAB January 27, 2014) (Paper 65), for guidance regarding the appropriate content of demonstrative exhibits.

The Board expects lead counsel for each party to be present at oral hearing, although any backup counsel may make the actual presentation, in whole or in part. If lead counsel for either party will not be in attendance at oral hearing, the Board should be notified via a joint telephone conference call no later than three (3) business days prior to the oral hearing to discuss the matter.

Any special requests for audio visual equipment should be directed to Trials@uspto.gov. Requests for special equipment will not be honored unless presented in a separate communication not less than five (5) business days before the hearing directed to the above email address.

At least one judge will be participating remotely via a videoconferencing device and will not be able to view the projection screen in the hearing room. The parties are reminded that the presenter must identify clearly and specifically each demonstrative exhibit (e.g., by slide or screen number) referenced during the hearing to avoid confusion, and to ensure the clarity and accuracy of the reporter's transcript.



IPR2016-01123 Patent 8,630,726 IPR2016-01220 Patent 9,007,420

For PETITIONER:

Michael Specht
Joseph Mutschelknaus
STERNE, KESSLER, GOLDSTEIN & FOX P.L.L.C.
Mspecht-ptab@skgf.com
jmutsche-PTAB@skgf.com

For PATENT OWNER:

Justin Kimble
Terry Saad
Nicholas Kliewer
BRAGALONE CONROY PC
Jkimble-ipr@bcpc-law.com
tsaad@bcpc-law.com
nkliewer@bcpc-law.com

