

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

CANON, INC., CANON U.S.A., INC., CANON FINANCIAL SERVICES, INC., FUJIFILM CORPORATION, FUJIFILM HOLDINGS AMERICA CORPORATION, FUJIFILM NORTH AMERICA CORPORATION, JVC KENWOOD CORPORATION, JVC KENWOOD USA CORPORATION, NIKON CORPORATION, NIKON INC., OLYMPUS CORPORATION, OLYMPUS AMERICA INC., PANASONIC CORPORATION, PANASONIC CORPORATION OF NORTH AMERICA, SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD., AND SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS AMERICA, INC.,
PETITIONER,

V.

PAPST LICENSING GMBH & CO. KG,
PATENT OWNER.

Case IPR2016-01211 (Patent 8,504,746)
Case IPR2016-01212 (Patent 8,966,144)
Case IPR2016-01216 (Patent 8,966,144)
Case IPR2016-01225 (Patent 8,966,144)

Record of Oral Hearing
September 13, 2017

Before JONI Y. CHANG, JENNIFER S. BISK, and MIRIAM L. QUINN,
Administrative Patent Judges.

Case IPR2016-01211 (Patent 8,504,746)
Case IPR2016-01212 (Patent 8,966,144)
Case IPR2016-01216 (Patent 8,966,144)
Case IPR2016-01225 (Patent 8,966,144)

APPEARANCES:

ON BEHALF OF THE PETITIONER:

GREGORY S. CORDREY, ESQUIRE
JEFFER MANGELS BUTLER & MITCHELL, LLP
3 Park Plaza
Suite 1100
Irvine, California 92614
949.623.7236

ON BEHALF OF THE PATENT OWNER:

PAUL B. HENKELMANN, ESQUIRE
NICHOLAS T. PETERS, ESQUIRE
FITCH, EVEN, TABIN & FLANNERY, LLP
120 South LaSalle Street
Suite 1600
Chicago, Illinois 60603
312.577.7000

The above-entitled matter came on for hearing on September 13, 2017, commencing at 12:14 p.m. at the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, 600 Dulany Street, Alexandria, Virginia in Courtroom A.

Case IPR2016-01211 (Patent 8,504,746)
Case IPR2016-01212 (Patent 8,966,144)
Case IPR2016-01216 (Patent 8,966,144)
Case IPR2016-01225 (Patent 8,966,144)

1 PROCEEDINGS

2 JUDGE CHANG: We're going to start on the second
3 portion of the consolidated oral hearing. This will be
4 IPR2016-01225 involving Patent 8,966,144. Each party will
5 have 30 minutes of argument time, and the Petitioner may
6 reserve a small portion of the time for rebuttal.

7 MR. CORDREY: Good afternoon, Your Honors.

8 JUDGE CHANG: Okay.

9 MR. CORDREY: My name is Gregg Cordrey. I'm
10 counsel for Petitioners. I'm lead counsel in this IPR.

11 Just a couple of housekeeping things before we get
12 going here.

13 JUDGE CHANG: Sure.

14 MR. CORDREY: I have hard copies. I've given one
15 to the court reporter.

16 May I approach?

17 And I'd also like to reserve at this point five
18 minutes for rebuttal.

19 JUDGE CHANG: Okay.

20 MR. CORDREY: So the 1225 IPR, as you pointed out,
21 involves the '144 patent. I've identified in Slide 2 the
22 challenged claims that were instituted in this IPR. And
23 this, also like the prior IPRs, was instituted based on
24 obviousness determination with a primary prior art reference

Case IPR2016-01211 (Patent 8,504,746)
Case IPR2016-01212 (Patent 8,966,144)
Case IPR2016-01216 (Patent 8,966,144)
Case IPR2016-01225 (Patent 8,966,144)

1 being McNeill, and then in combination with the SCSI
2 specification and admitted prior art.

3 Now, McNeill is a lot like the '144 patent in that
4 it discloses an interface device that basically connects
5 peripheral devices to a host, and it does it using customary
6 driver, in this case, the SCSI interface or the SCSI scanner.

7 Now, McNeill's emulator, which resides on the
8 target computer, allows the initiator to use SCSI commands in
9 order to access either non-SCSI peripherals that are attached
10 to the target computer or SCSI peripherals that are attached
11 to the target computer and command them as if they were local
12 to the initiator computer.

13 And this is Figure 2 now from -- I'm on Slide 4
14 now. This is Figure 2 from McNeill, and it's the only
15 embodiment that it describes here in terms of showing how it
16 would be laid out. And you can see it's got two computers,
17 the initiator, 10, and the target computer, 14.

18 McNeill discloses that these computers are personal
19 computers, they can be, for example, the IBM PS2 or
20 compatibles.

21 The initiator and the target computers also each
22 have a SCSI adapter. They're identified as numerals 18 and
23 20 respectively.

24 And then there's a SCSI bus that connect the
25 initiator and the target together. That's identified as

Case IPR2016-01211 (Patent 8,504,746)

Case IPR2016-01212 (Patent 8,966,144)

Case IPR2016-01216 (Patent 8,966,144)

Case IPR2016-01225 (Patent 8,966,144)

1 numeral 12 in Figure 2.

2 The target computer also shows in this embodiment
3 that there's a peripheral device, a mag disk, that's numeral
4 16, that's attached to the target. McNeill goes on to
5 disclose that it's not limited to using mag disks as
6 peripheral devices; in fact, there could be a number of other
7 peripheral devices such as printers, scanners, optical
8 devices, et cetera, that can be connected.

9 So having disclosed that you can use as peripheral
10 devices a mag disk and a scanner, a person of ordinary skill
11 in the art would understand that the scanner could be
12 connected to the target computer's parallel port.

13 The scanner can be controlled one of three ways.
14 For example, a scanner can be controlled manually. You can
15 push the buttons on the scanner machine itself and operate
16 the scanner manually. You can operate and control the
17 scanner using an application local to the target computer or
18 you can use the initiator, and the initiator could control
19 the scanner via SCSI commands.

20 Now, in the latter case, the target would ID itself
21 as two logic devices, a scanner and a hard disk. And, again,
22 using the SCSI commands, it could control both -- the
23 initiator could control both devices.

24 A person of ordinary skill in the art would
25 understand in that circumstance that you would save the

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.