#### IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In Re Continuation of U.S. Patent Application

11/078,778, Filed: 3/11/05 Group No.: 2181

Applicant: Michael Tasler Conf. No.: 3012

Serial No.: 11/467,073 Examiner: Harold J. Kim

Filed: 08/24/2006

For: ANALOG DATA GENERATING

AND PROCESSING DEVICE FOR USE WITH A PERSONAL COMPUTER (As Amended

Herein)

Attorney

Docket No.: 0757/97866

### INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. §1.97, a list of documents is disclosed on the attached Form PTO-1449 that may be material to the examination of this application. It is submitted that no fees are due in connection with this Information Disclosure Statement because it is being submitted before the First Office Action has been mailed from the USPTO. Copies of references that are not US patents or that were not cited in the parent application are being submitted herewith.

There are a number of references cited in the parent of this application. One purpose of this IDS is to include all such prior art references so that they are affirmatively listed on any patent that is granted on this application. Another purpose of this IDS is to bring the following additional references to the attention of the Examiner: US Patent Nos. 6,441,854; 6,163,344;



Applicant: Serial No.: Michael Tasler 11/467,073

Filed:
Our File No.:

08/24/2006 0757/97866

August 8, 2007 Page 2 of 3

6,111,604; 6,094,219; 6,023,292; 6,014,430; 5,917,545; 5,848,420; 5,815,205; 5,790,193; 5,754,227; 5,640,204; 5,506,617 and 5,475,441; and German Published Appln. No. DE 296 07

724 U1. An English translation of the German '724 patent is submitted herewith.

A Japanese language brochure describing the Nikon Coolpix 100 camera, and an English translation thereof, are submitted herewith for the Examiner's consideration. A one page specification describing the Nikon Coolpix 100 camera also is submitted herewith. The Examiner is asked to assume, only for purposes of examination of the instant application, that these documents actually are prior art to all currently pending claims. However, this request should not be construed as being an admission regarding the prior art status of these documents or of the Nikon Coolpix 100 camera described therein. Applicant expressly reserves the right to revoke this request, and to dispute in all forums whether the Nikon Coolpix camera and/or the two documents concerning it actually are prior art.

The Examiner's attention is drawn to the fact that US Patent Nos. 5,917,545 and 6,163,344 illustrate cameras that appear to be substantially similar, if not identical, to the Nikon Coolpix 100 camera discussed in the one-page specification and the promotional brochure.

No inferences should be drawn that the list filed herewith represents a comprehensive investigation or that any material disclosed is equivalent to the subject invention. The Examiner is requested to review the document(s) and determine the extent of the materiality of the document disclosures with respect to the present invention.

The discussion of any art and the citation of any document(s) herein or in the papers filed contemporaneously herewith is not to be construed as an admission that the art or document disclosure is necessarily within the invention field of endeavor, that the art or document



Applicant: Serial No.: Michael Tasler 11/467,073 08/24/2006

Filed:
Our File No.:

0757/97866

August 8, 2007 Page 3 of 3

disclosure is necessarily prior in time to a particular date which may be relevant to the instant patent application, and/or that the art or document disclosure is otherwise necessarily prior art as defined by the patent law with respect to the instant invention and application.

Also, there is reserved the right to later set forth how the instant invention is distinguished over the disclosure of any document or other art, including the disclosures of the art and document(s) recited herein, that may be cited by the Examiner in rejecting a claim in the instant patent application. The recitation herein of the art and document(s) is not to be construed as an assertion that more pertinent art could not possibly be in existence.

It is respectfully submitted that all currently pending claims are in condition for allowance and, therefore, that a formal notice to that effect is earnestly solicited.

Respectfully submitted,

Jeffrey W. Salmon, Esc

Dated: August 8, 2007

Enclosures: Form PTO-1449

WELSH & KATZ, LTD.

120 South Riverside Plaza, 22<sup>nd</sup> Floor

Chicago, Illinois 60606

Telephone: Facsimile:

(312) 655-1500 (312) 655-1501



Form	PTO-1449
(Rev.	8-88)

## U.S. Department of Commerce Patent and Trademark Office

INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT

(Use several sheets if necessary)

Attorney	Docket No.			
0757/97866				

Serial No. 11/467,073

Applicant

Michael Tasler

Filing Date 08/24/06

Group No. 2111

### U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

Examiner Initial*		Document Number	Date	Name	Class	Subclass	Filing Date If Appropriate
/CKL/	1.	3,714,635	01/30/1973	Hamilton, et al.			
/CKL/	2.	3,805,245	04/16/1974	Brooks, et al.			
/CKL/	3.	3,976,979	08/24/1976	Parkinson, et al.			
/CKL/	4.	4,509,113	04/02/1985	Heath			
/CKL/	5.	4,642,759	02/10/1987	Foster			
/CKL/	6.	4,680,732	07/14/1987	DiCenzo			
/CKL/	7.	4,888,680	12/19/1989	Sander, et al.			
/CKL/	8.	4,901,275	02/13/1990	Hardie, et al.			
/CKL/	9.	4,972,470	11/20/1990	Farago			
/CKL/	10.	5,070,474	12/03/1991	Tuma, et al.			
/CKL/	11.	5,088,033	02/11/1992	Binkley, et al.			
/CKL/	12.	5,131,089	07/14/1992	Cole			
/CKL/	13.	5,197,128	03/23/1993	Campbell, et al.			
/CKL/	14.	5,214,761	05/25/1993	Barrett, et al.			
/CKL/	15.	5,291,584	03/01/1994	Challa, et al.			
/CKL/	16.	5,296,611	03/22/1994	Solladie, et al.			
/CKL/	17.	5,297,124	03/22/1994	Plotkin, et al.			
/CKL/	18.	5,379,382	01/03/1995	Work, et al.			
/CKL/	19.	5,402,170	03/28/1995	Parulski, et al.			
/CKL/	20.	5,430,855	07/04/1995	Walsh, et al.			
/CKL/	21.	5,444,644	08/22/1995	Divjak			
/CKL/	22.	5,475,441	12/12/95	Parulski, et al.			

\*Examiner: /Chun-Kuan Lee/ Date Considered 03/06/2008

\*Examiner: Initial if citation considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609; Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to



applicant.

Form	PTO-1449
(Rev	8-88)

## U.S. Department of Commerce Patent and Trademark Office

INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT

(Use several sheets if necessary)

Attorney	Docket No.	
(	0757/97866	

Serial No. 11/467,073

Applicant

Michael Tasler

Filing Date 08/24/06

Group No. 2111

### U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

Examiner Initial*		Document Number	Date	Name	Class	Subclass	Filing Date If Appropriate
/CKL/	23.	5,487,154	01/23/1996	Gunji			
/CKL/	24.	5,493,335	2/20/96	Parulski, et al.			
/CKL/	25.	5,499,378	03/12/1996	McNeill, Jr., et al.			
/CKL/	26.	5,506,617	4/9/96	Parulski, et al.			
/CKL/	27.	5,506,692	04/09/1996	Murata			
/CKL/	28.	5,508,821	04/16/1996	Murata			
/CKL/	29.	5,510,774	04/23/1996	Phillips			
/CKL/	30.	5,524,047	06/04/1996	Brown, et al.			
/CKL/	31.	5,528,765	06/18/1996	Milligan			
/CKL/	32.	5,532,825	07/02/1996	Lim, et al.			
/CKL/	33.	5,548,782	08/20/1996	Michael, et al.			
/CKL/	34.	5,548,783	08/20/1996	Jones, et al.			
/CKL/	35.	5,581,741	12/03/1996	Clark, et al.			
/CKL/	36.	5,614,948	3/25/97	Hannah			
/CKL/	37.	5,639,606	6/17/97	Willey			
/CKL/	38.	5,640,204	6/17/97	Tsutsui			
/CKL/	39.	5,712,682	1/27/98	Hannah			
/CKL/	40.	5,724,574	03/03/1998	Stratigos, et al.			
/CKL/	41.	5,748,924	05/05/1998	Llorens, et al.			
/CKL/	42.	5,754,227	5/19/98	Fukuoka			
/CKL/	43.	5,765,027	06/09/1998	Wang, et al .			
/CKL/	44.	5,778,205	07/07/1998	Orimoto			

\*Examiner: | Date Considered | 03/06/2008 |

\*Examiner: | Initial if citation considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609; Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.



# DOCKET

# Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

#### **LAW FIRMS**

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

#### **FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS**

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## **E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS**

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

