



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
11/467,073	08/24/2006	Michael Tasler	0757/97866	3012
24628	7590	05/01/2008	EXAMINER	
WELSH & KATZ, LTD 120 S RIVERSIDE PLAZA 22ND FLOOR CHICAGO, IL 60606			LEE, CHUN KUAN	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2181	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/01/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 11/467,073	Applicant(s) TASLER, MICHAEL	
	Examiner Chun-Kuan Lee	Art Unit 2181	

All Participants:

(1) Alford Kindred (SPE).

(2) Chun-Kuan Lee (Examiner).

Date of Interview: March 04, 2008

Status of Application: _____

(3) Jeffrey Salmon (Reg. # 37,435).

(4) _____.

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No
If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
N/A

Claims discussed:
N/A

Prior art documents discussed:
N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The attorney assisted the examiners in gaining a better understanding of the novelty for the instant claimed invention by providing a real world example; such as a digital camera (i.e. ADGPD) having a unidirectional sensor for taking pictures, wherein upon the connection of the digital camera to a computer, an automatic configuring by automatic selecting a corresponding driver to establish communication between the digital camera and the computer would be implemented without any loading of the driver; in summary, the novelty of the instant invention is the automatic detection of the connected peripheral device and selection of the corresponding driver to establish communication with the peripheral device without any user loading of the driver on the computer.