

PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW OF U.S. PATENT NO. 8,504,746

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In the *Inter Partes* Review of U.S. Patent No. 8,504,746

Trial No.: Not Yet Assigned

Issued: August 6, 2013

Filed: September 27, 2010

Inventor: Michael Tasler

Assignee: Papst Licensing GmbH & Co., KG

Title: ANALOG DATA GENERATING AND PROCESSING DEVICE
FOR USE WITH A PERSONAL COMPUTER

MAIL STOP PATENT BOARD

Patent Trial and Appeal Board
United States Patent & Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW
UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.100

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | Page |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------|
| I. Introduction..... | 1 |
| II. Grounds for Standing Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(a) | 2 |
| III. Background Information for the '746 Patent..... | 2 |
| A. Overview of the '746 Patent Family and Prosecution History | 2 |
| IV. Identification of Challenge Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b) | 4 |
| A. 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b)(1): Claims for Which <i>Inter Partes</i> Review Is Requested | 4 |
| B. 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b)(2): The Prior Art and Specific Grounds on Which the Challenge to the Claims Is Based..... | 4 |
| C. 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b)(3): Claim Construction | 7 |
| D. 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b)(4): How the Construed Claims are Unpatentable..... | 9 |
| E. 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b)(5): Supporting Evidence | 9 |
| V. Mandatory Notices Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(a)(1) | 10 |
| A. C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(1): Real Parties-In-Interest..... | 10 |
| B. C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(2): Related Matters | 11 |
| C. C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(3) and (4): Lead and Back-up Counsel and Service Information..... | 13 |
| VI. Detailed Grounds for Unpatentability | 20 |
| A. Overview of Murata | 26 |
| VII. Grounds 1 and 2: Anticipation and obviousness of the Challenged Claims | 27 |
| A. Independent claims 1, 31, and 34..... | 27 |
| 1. The preamble of claim 1 | 27 |
| 2. The preambles of claims 31 and 34 | 30 |
| 3. A program memory of claim 1..... | 31 |
| 4. An analog signal acquisition channel of claim 1 | 32 |
| 5. A processor operative interfaced (claims 1, 31 and 34) | 33 |

TABLE OF CONTENTS
(continued)

| | Page |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------|
| 6. A processor that is configured and programmed to implement a data generation process (claims 1, 31 and 34) | 35 |
| 7. A processor that automatically causes at least one parameter indicative of the class of devices to be sent to a computer (claims 1, 31 and 34)..... | 38 |
| 8. A processor that is further configured and programmed to execute a file transfer process (claims 1, 31 and 34)..... | 46 |
| 9. No requirement for any user-loaded file transfer enabling software (claims 1, 31 and 34)..... | 51 |
| B. Dependent claim 2 (Grounds 1 and 2: Anticipation and obviousness) | 52 |
| C. Dependent claim 3 (Grounds 1 and 2: Anticipation and obviousness) | 52 |
| A. Dependent claims 4 and 12 (Ground 3: Obviousness)..... | 52 |
| B. Dependent claims 5 and 11 (Ground 4: Obviousness)..... | 53 |
| C. Dependent claim 6 (Grounds 1 and 2: Anticipation and obviousness) | 55 |
| D. Dependent claims 7 and 8 (Grounds 1 and 2: Anticipation and obviousness) | 56 |
| E. Dependent claim 9 (Grounds 1 and 2: Anticipation and obviousness) | 57 |
| A. Dependent claim 10 (Ground 5: Obviousness) | 58 |
| B. Dependent claim 13 (Ground 2: Obviousness) | 59 |
| C. Dependent claim 14 (Grounds 1 and 2: Anticipation and obviousness) | 60 |
| D. Dependent claim 15 (Grounds 1 and 2: Anticipation and obviousness) | 60 |
| E. Dependent claims 16 and 22 (Ground 2: Obviousness)..... | 61 |
| F. Dependent claim 17..... | 62 |

..

TABLE OF CONTENTS
(continued)

| | Page |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------|
| 1. Ground 1: Anticipation | 62 |
| 2. Ground 2: Obviousness..... | 67 |
| G. Dependent claim 18..... | 69 |
| 1. Ground 1: Anticipation | 69 |
| 2. Ground 2: Obviousness..... | 70 |
| H. Dependent claim 23 (Ground 6: Obviousness)..... | 71 |
| I. Dependent claim 25 (Grounds 1 and 2: Anticipation and Obviousness) | 72 |
| J. Dependent claim 29 (Grounds 2: Obviousness) | 72 |
| K. Dependent claim 30 (Grounds 1 and 2: Anticipation and obviousness) | 73 |
| A. Dependent claim 35..... | 75 |
| 1. Ground 1: Anticipation | 75 |
| 2. Ground 5: Obviousness..... | 75 |
| VIII. Conclusion | 76 |

...

PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW OF U.S. PATENT NO. 8,504,746

APPENDIX OF EXHIBITS

| EXHIBIT NO. | TITLE |
|-------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 1001-1100 | Reserved |
| 1101 | U.S. Patent No. 8,504,746 (“the ’746 patent”) |
| 1102 | U.S. Patent No. 5,508,821 to Murata (“Murata”) |
| 1103 | Declaration of Dr. Paul F. Reynolds, Ph.D. (“Reynolds Decl.”) |
| 1104 | Papst Litigation Claim Constructions |
| 1105 | American National Standards Institute, “ANSI X3.131-1994 - Small Computer System Interface-2” (1994) |
| 1106 | American National Standards Institute, Procedures for the Development and Coordination of American National Standards, Approved by the ANSI Board of Directors (Sept. 9, 1993). |
| 1107 | Ray Duncan, ed., “The MS-DOS Encyclopedia,” Microsoft Press (1988) |
| 1108 | Frank G. Fiamingo, “Unix System Administration,” The Ohio State University (1996) |
| 1109 | Declaration of Frank G. Fiamingo, Ph.D. (“Fiamingo Decl.”) |
| 1110 | Excerpts from Frisch, “Essential System Administration,” 2nd Edition, |

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.