

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

Olympus Corporation and Olympus America Inc., et al.,  
Petitioners,

v.

Papst Licensing GmbH & Co., KG,  
Patent Owner.

---

CASE: Unassigned

Patent No. 8,504,746

---

**DECLARATION OF DR. PAUL F. REYNOLDS, Ph.D.  
IN SUPPORT OF  
PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW**

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
I. BACKGROUND AND QUALIFICATIONS.....	1
II. INFORMATION PROVIDED TO ME.....	6
III. THE '746 PATENT.....	12
IV. SPECIFIC DISCUSSION OF RELEVANT PATENTS AND ARTICLES .....	18
A. Murata '821 Patent (Ex. 1102).....	18
V. CONCEPTS AND TERMS USED IN TASLER'S '746 CLAIMS.....	20
A. Automatic Recognition Process .....	20
B. File System Information.....	20
C. End User .....	21
D. A SCSI and SCSI Disk Drive Discussion.....	22
E. File System Information Acquisition .....	28
F. UNIX Discussion .....	36
VI. DISCUSSION OF CLAIM ELEMENTS.....	38
A. Independent claims 1, 31, and 34.....	38
1. The preamble of claim 1 .....	38
2. The preambles of claims 31 and 34 .....	41
3. A program memory of claim 1.....	42
4. An analog signal acquisition channel of claim 1 .....	44
5. A processor operative interfaced (claims 1, 31 and 34) .....	44
6. or reads a portion of the image file that isn't in the image buffer.A processor that is configured and programmed to implement a data generation process (claims 1, 31 and 34) .....	46
7. A processor that automatically causes at least one parameter indicative of the class of devices to be sent to a computer (claims 1, 31 and 34).....	50

TABLE OF CONTENTS  
(continued)

	Page
(a) Anticipation.....	51
(b) Obviousness .....	56
8. A processor that is further configured and programmed to execute a file transfer process (claims 1, 31 and 34).....	59
(a) Anticipation.....	60
(b) Obviousness .....	66
9. No requirement for any user-loaded file transfer enabling software (claims 1, 31 and 34).....	66
<b>B.</b> Dependent claim 2.....	68
<b>C.</b> Dependent claim 3.....	68
<b>D.</b> Dependent claims 4 and 12 .....	69
<b>E.</b> Dependent claims 5 and 11 .....	70
<b>F.</b> Dependent claim 6.....	72
<b>G.</b> Dependent claims 7 and 8 .....	73
<b>H.</b> Dependent claim 9.....	74
<b>I.</b> Dependent claim 10.....	75
<b>J.</b> Dependent claim 14.....	76
<b>K.</b> Dependent claim 15.....	77
<b>L.</b> Dependent claims 16 and 22 .....	78
<b>M.</b> Dependent claim 17.....	78
1. Anticipation.....	78
2. Obviousness .....	83
<b>N.</b> Dependent claim 18.....	84
1. Anticipation.....	84
2. Obviousness .....	85
<b>O.</b> Dependent claim 19.....	86
1. Anticipation.....	86

TABLE OF CONTENTS  
(continued)

	Page
2. Obviousness .....	88
<b>P.</b> Dependent claim 20 .....	90
<b>Q.</b> Dependent claim 21 .....	90
<b>R.</b> Dependent claim 23 .....	91
<b>S.</b> Dependent claim 24 .....	92
<b>T.</b> Dependent claim 25 .....	93
<b>U.</b> Dependent claim 26 .....	94
<b>V.</b> Dependent claims 27 and 28 .....	94
<b>W.</b> Dependent claim 29 .....	95
<b>X.</b> Dependent claim 30 .....	95
<b>Y.</b> Dependent claim 35 .....	97
<b>VII.</b> MISCELLANEOUS MATTERS .....	99

## APPENDIX OF EXHIBITS

EXHIBIT NO.	TITLE
1001- 1100	Reserved
1101	U.S. Patent No. 8,504,746 (“the ’746 patent”)
1102	U.S. Patent No. 5,508,821 to Murata (“Murata”)
1103	Declaration of Dr. Paul F. Reynolds, Ph.D. (“Reynolds Decl.”)
1104	Papst Litigation Claim Constructions
1105	American National Standards Institute, “ANSI X3.131-1994 - Small Computer System Interface-2” (1994)
1106	American National Standards Institute, Procedures for the Development and Coordination of American National Standards, Approved by the ANSI Board of Directors (Sept. 9, 1993).
1107	Ray Duncan, ed., “The MS-DOS Encyclopedia,” Microsoft Press (1988)
1108	Frank G. Fiamingo, “Unix System Administration,” The Ohio State University (1996)
1109	Declaration of Frank G. Fiamingo, Ph.D. (“Fiamingo Decl.”)
1110	Excerpts from Frisch, “Essential System Administration,” 2nd

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.