

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

APPLE INC.,
Petitioner,

v.

FASTVDO LLC,
Patent Owner.

Case IPR2016-01203
Patent 5,850,482

Before JEFFREY S. SMITH, PATRICK M. BOUCHER, and PETER P.
CHEN, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

SMITH, *Administrative Patent Judge*.

DECISION
Instituting *Inter Partes* Review
37 C.F.R. § 42.108

I. INTRODUCTION

Petitioner filed a Petition for *inter partes* review of claims 1–3, 5–14, 16, 17, 22–26, 28, and 29 of U.S. Patent No. 5,850,482 (Ex. 1001, “the ’482 patent”). Paper 2 (“Pet.”). Patent Owner filed a Preliminary Response. Paper 12 (“Prelim. Resp.”). Institution of an *inter partes* review is authorized by statute when “the information presented in the petition . . . and any response . . . shows that there is a reasonable likelihood that the petitioner would prevail with respect to at least 1 of the claims challenged in the petition.” 35 U.S.C. § 314(a); *see* 37 C.F.R. § 42.108.

Upon consideration of the Petition and the Preliminary Response, we are persuaded Petitioner has demonstrated a reasonable likelihood that it would prevail in establishing the unpatentability of claims 1–3, 5–14, 16, 17, 22–26, 28, and 29 of the ’482 patent. Accordingly, we institute an *inter partes* review.

A. Related Matters

The ’482 patent is the subject of the following related litigations:

FastVDO LLC v. AT&T Mobility LLC et al., Case No. 3:16-cv-00385 (S.D. Cal.), filed Feb. 11, 2016;

FastVDO LLC v. LG Electronics, Inc. et al., Case No. 3:16-cv-00386 (S.D. Cal.), filed Feb. 11, 2016;

FastVDO LLC v. NEC Corp. et al., Case No. 3:16-cv-00389 (S.D. Cal.), filed Feb. 11, 2016 (terminated);

FastVDO LLC v. Nokia Corp. et al., Case No. 3:16-cv-00390 (S.D. Cal.), filed Feb. 11, 2016;

IPR2016-01203
Patent 5,850,482

FastVDO LLC v. ZTE Corp. et al., Case No. 3:16-cv-00394 (S.D. Cal.), filed Feb. 11, 2016;

FastVDO LLC v. Dell Inc. et al., Case No. 3:16-cv-00395 (S.D. Cal.), filed Feb. 11, 2016; and

FastVDO LLC v. Huawei Technologies Co., et al., Case No. 3:16-cv-00396 (S.D. Cal.), filed Feb. 11, 2016. Pet. 1; Paper 6 (Patent Owner's Mandatory Notice).

The '482 patent is also the subject of IPR2016-01179. Paper 6 (Patent Owner's Mandatory Notice).

B. The '482 Patent

The '482 patent relates generally to error resilient methods and apparatus for entropy coding, and the application of error resilient coding to image compression. Ex. 1001, 1:5–11. Entropy coding reduces the number of bits required to represent a data set by using variable length coding in a manner that exploits the statistical probabilities of various symbols in the data set. *Id.* at 4:36–39. For example, entropy coding assigns shorter code words to those symbols that occur frequently, while longer code words are assigned to those symbols that occur less frequently. *Id.* at 4:40–43. Error resilient entropy coding can utilize unequal error protection techniques, isolate effects of a bit error to a single code word, and constrain the resulting error to an interval. *Id.* at 6:33–47.

The error resilient method and apparatus include a code word generator that encodes data pursuant to split field coding, in which each code word includes a prefix field and an associated suffix field. *Id.* at Abstract. The prefix field includes information representing a characteristic of the

suffix field, such as the length. *Id.* The suffix field includes information representing at least some of the original data. *Id.* If the prefix field is decoded without any errors, the method and apparatus can correctly determine the length of the suffix field and the range of values represented by the suffix field such that the suffix field is resilient to errors. *Id.* To increase the probability that the prefix field is correctly decoded, the prefix field is protected to a greater degree than the suffix field, such that the data can be more efficiently compressed. *Id.* Figure 1 of the '482 patent is reproduced below.




Figure 1 above shows a block diagram of error resilient data compression apparatus 10, including error resilient data encoder 16. *Id.* at 8:48–51. Original data is initially transformed by data transformer 12. *Id.* at 9:30–32. The original data can be transformed based upon one of a number of predetermined functions, such as a cosine function, a complex

exponential function, or a wavelet transform. *Id.* at 9:41–52. The transformed data is then quantized by data quantizer 14 such that the quantized data has fewer unique data values or coefficients than the transformed data. *Id.* at 11:36–38. In one embodiment, transformed coefficients whose magnitudes fall below a certain level, called a clipping threshold, are designated as insignificant and set to zero. *Id.* at 11:55–61.

Entropy encoder 16 shown in Figure 1 above includes code word generator 26 to generate code words that represent the quantized significant coefficients. *Id.* at 13:36–39. Each code word includes a first portion, or prefix field, and an associated second portion, or suffix field. *Id.* at 13:41–43. Code word generator 26 includes prefix generator 27 for generating the prefix field of each code word and suffix generator 28 for generating the associated suffix field of each code word. *Id.* at 13:44–48. Since each code word is formed of two fields, this method of coding is termed split field coding. *Id.* at 13:48–50. According to split field coding, the prefix field includes information representative of the associated suffix field, such as the number of characters that form the suffix field, or the range of coefficient values represented by the suffix field. *Id.* at 13:51–63. If the prefix field is decoded correctly, the length of the suffix field and the range of values represented by the suffix field can be determined. *Id.* at 15:61–66. Bit errors within the suffix field will not result in loss of code word synchronization, but instead will be isolated to that single code word. *Id.* at 16:1–4. Also, the resulting error will be within the range of coefficient values included in the prefix field. *Id.* at 16:4–9.

The prefix fields are encoded at an appropriately high level of error protection in order to provide a high probability that the prefix fields will be

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.