

1 RUSS, AUGUST & KABAT  
2 Marc A. Fenster (CA SBN 181067)  
3 Reza Mirzaie (CA SBN 246953)  
4 Jeffrey Z.Y. Liao (CA SBN 288994)  
5 Christian Conkle (CA SBN 306374)  
6 12424 Wilshire Boulevard, 12th Floor  
7 Los Angeles, California 90025  
8 Telephone: (310) 826-7474  
9 Facsimile: (310) 826-6991

10 Attorneys for Plaintiff  
11 FastVDO LLC

12 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
13 **SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

14 FASTVDO LLC,

15 Plaintiff,

16 v.

17 AT&T MOBILITY LLC,  
18 AT&T SERVICES, INC., and  
19 APPLE, INC.,

20 Defendants

Case No. 3:16-cv-00385-H-WVG  
**LEAD CASE**

Member Cases:

16-cv-386-H (WVG)

16-cv-389-H (WVG)

16-cv-390-H (WVG)

16-cv-394-H (WVG)

16-cv-395-H (WVG)

16-cv-396-H (WVG)

21 **PLAINTIFF'S CLAIM CONSTRUCTION OPENING BRIEF**  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

Table of Contents

	<u>Page</u>
1 I. INTRODUCTION.....	1
2 II. BACKGROUND OF THE '482 PATENT .....	1
3 III. CLAIM CONSTRUCTION PRINCIPLES .....	2
4 IV. DISPUTED LIMITATIONS .....	3
5 A. “code word” .....	3
6 B. “first portion of each code word” .....	5
7 C. “second portion of each code word” .....	6
8 D. “generating a plurality of code words ... second portion”/ “a	
9 plurality of code words ... second portions” .....	6
10 E. Whether “generating the first portion” must occur separately	
11 from “generating the second portion” (cl. 1) .....	7
12 F. Whether “including information within the first portion” must	
13 occur separately from “including information within the second	
14 portion” (cl. 12) .....	8
15 G. Whether “means for including information within the first	
16 portion” and “means for including information within the	
17 second portion” must be configured to perform their function	
18 separately (cl. 22) .....	9
19 H. Whether “wherein the first portion of each code word includes	
20 information ...” must occur separately from “wherein the	
21 associated second portion of each code words includes	
22 information ...” (cl. 28) .....	10
23 I. Whether “generating a plurality of code words” must occur	
24 before “providing error protection” (cl. 1, 12) .....	10
25 J. Whether the “error protection means” must perform its function	
26 on the output of the “code word generating means” (cl. 22) .....	11
27 K. Whether “quantizing the transformed data” must occur	
28 separately from “encoding the quantized data” (cl. 12) .....	12
L. Whether the “data encoder” must be configured to perform on	
the output of the “data quantizer” (cl. 22) .....	12
M. Whether “a computer readable memory for storing . . . encoded	
data according to claim 21” is indefinite (cl. 29) .....	12
N. code word generating means (cl. 22) .....	14
O. means for including information within the first portion (cl. 22);	
first generating means (cl. 24) .....	17

RUSS, AUGUST &amp; KABAT

Table of Contents

		<u>Page</u>
1	P. means for including information within the second portion (cl. 22); second generating means (cl. 24) .....	19
2	Q. error protection means (cl. 22) .....	21
3	R. first/second data link transmitting means (cl. 26) .....	24
4		
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

RUSS, AUGUST & KABAT

Table of AuthoritiesPage**CASES**

1		
2	<i>Altiris, Inc. v. Symantex Corp.</i> , 318 F.3d 1363, 1369-70 (Fed. Cir. 2003).....	11
3	<i>Atmel Corp. v. Information Storage Devices, Inc.</i> , 198 F.3d 1374 (Fed. Cir. 1999)	
4	.....	23
5	<i>Budde v. Harley-Davidson, Inc.</i> , 250 F.3d 1369, 1376-7 (Fed. Cir. 2001) .....	15
6	<i>Dow Chem. Co. v. Nova Chems. Co.</i> , 809 F.3d 1223, 1224-25 (Fed. Cir. 2015)...	13
7	<i>Epistar Corp. v. ITC</i> , 566 F.3d 1321, 1334 (Fed. Cir. 2009) .....	3, 4, 7
8	<i>Finjan, Inc. v. Secure Computing Corp.</i> , 626 F.3d 1197, 1207 (Fed. Cir. 2010).....	2
9	<i>In re Dossel</i> , 115 F.3d 942, 946-7 (Fed. Cir. 1997) .....	23
10	<i>Intel Corp. v. VIA Tech., Inc.</i> , 319 F.3d 1357, 1366-7 (Fed. Cir. 2003) .....	23
11	<i>Interactive Gift Exp., Inc. v. Compuserve Inc.</i> , 256 F.3d 1323, 1342 (Fed. Cir.	
12	2001).....	8, 11
13	<i>JVW Enters. v. Interact Accessories, Inc.</i> , 424 F.3d 1324, 1335 (Fed. Cir. 2005)...	3
14	<i>Levine v. Samsung Telecom. Am., LLC</i> , 2012 WL 383647, *31-*32 (E.D. Tex. Feb.	
15	3, 2012) .....	16
16	<i>Linear Tech. v. ITC</i> , 566 F.3d 1049, 1055 (Fed. Cir. 2009).....	9
17	<i>Lockheed Martin Corp. v. Space Systems/Loral, Inc.</i> , 324 F.3d 1308, 1315 (Fed.	
18	Cir. 2003) .....	24
19	<i>Lockheed Martin Corp. v. Space Systems/Loral, Inc.</i> , 324 F.3d 1308, 1319 (Fed.	
20	Cir. 2003) .....	25
21	<i>Mentor H/S, Inc. v. Med. Device Alliance, Inc.</i> , 244 F.3d 1365, 1380 (Fed. Cir.	
22	2001).....	3
23	<i>Micro Chem. v. Great Plains Chemical Co.</i> , 194 F.3d 1250, 1257 (Fed. Cir. 1999).	
24	.....	15
25	<i>Nautilus, Inc. v. Biosig Instruments, Inc.</i> , 134 S. Ct. 2120, 2124 (2014) .....	15
26	<i>Novo Indus., L.P. v. Micro Molds Corp.</i> , 350 F.3d 1348, 1357 (Fed. Cir. 2003). .	14
27	<i>O2 Micro Int'l v. Beyond Innovation Tech.</i> , 521 F.3d 1351, 1362 (Fed. Cir. 2008) 2,	
28	5	
	<i>Omega Engineering, Inc v. Raytek Corp.</i> , 334 F. 3d 1314, 1325 (Fed. Cir. 2003) ..	3
	<i>Phillips v. AWH Corp.</i> , 415 F.3d 1303, 1312 (Fed. Cir. 2005) .....	3
	<i>Powell v. Home Depot USA Inc.</i> , 663 F.3d 1221, 1231 (Fed. Cir. 2011).....	9
	<i>S3 Inc. v. nVidia Corp.</i> , 259 F.3d 1364, 1371 (Fed. Cir. 2001).....	23

RUSS, AUGUST &amp; KABAT

Table of Authorities

	<u>Page</u>
1 <i>Shuffle Master, Inc. v. MP Games, LLC</i> , 2005 WL 6220114, *21–22 (D. Nev. Dec. 20, 2005) .....	14
2 <i>Stanacard, LLC v. Rebtel Networks, AB</i> , 2010 WL 46006, *15–*17 (S.D.N.Y. Jan. 6, 2010) .....	17
4 <i>Telcordia Tech., Inc. v. Cisco Sys.</i> , 612 F.3d 1365, 1377 (Fed. Cir. 2010) .....	22
5 <i>Teleflex, Inc. v. Ficosa N. Am. Corp.</i> , 299 F.3d 1313, 1324 (Fed. Cir. 2002). ...3, 10	
6 <i>Thorner v. Sony Computer Enter. Am. LLC</i> , 669 F.3d 1362, 1365 (Fed Cir. 2012). .....	4, 6
7 <i>United States Surgical Corp. v. Ethicon, Inc.</i> , 103 F.3d 1554, 1568 (Fed. Cir. 1997) .....	3, 5
9 <i>WMS Gaming, Inc. v. Int’l Game tech.</i> , 184 F.3d 1339, 1349 (Fed. Cir. 1999).....	16
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

RUSS, AUGUST & KABAT

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.