

Filed: December 5, 2016

Filed on behalf of:

Patent Owner Voip-Pal.com Inc.

By: Kerry Taylor

John M. Carson

KNOBBE, MARTENS, OLSON & BEAR, LLP

2040 Main Street, 14th Floor

Irvine, CA 92614

Tel.: (858) 707-4000

Fax: (858) 707-4001

Email: BoxDigifonica@knobbe.com

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

APPLE INC.

Petitioner,

v.

VOIP-PAL.COM, INC.,

Patent Owner

Case No. IPR2016-01201

U.S. Patent 8,542,815

**PATENT OWNER'S REQUEST FOR REHEARING
PURSUANT TO 37 C.F.R. § 42.71(d)**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page No.
I. STATEMENT OF THE PRECISE RELIEF REQUESTED	1
II. LEGAL STANDARD FOR REHEARING	1
III. REASONS FOR THE REQUESTED RELIEF	2
A. THE PETITION DID NOT CONSIDER ORDER OF STEPS.....	3
B. THE PETITION DOES NOT PROVIDE A VALID MOTIVATION TO COMBINE REFERENCES	7
IV. CONCLUSION.....	13

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Page No(s).

<i>Avigilon USA Corp., Inc. v. JDS Technologies, Inc.</i> , IPR2016-00511 Paper 16 (P.T.A.B. July 15, 2016)	4
<i>Dynamic Drinkware v. National Graphics</i> , 800 F.3d 1375 (Fed. Cir. 2015)	8
<i>Heart Failure Technologies, LLC v. Cardiokinetix, Inc.</i> , IPR2013-00183, Paper 12 (P.T.A.B. July 31, 2013)	12
<i>Intelligent Biosystems v Illumina Cambridge</i> , 821 F.3d 1359 (Fed. Cir. 2016)	8
<i>Kinetic Technologies, Inc. v. Skyworks Solutions, Inc.</i> , IPR2014-00529, Paper 8 (P.T.A.B. Sept. 23, 2014).....	11, 13
<i>KSR Int'l Co. v. Teleflex, Inc.</i> 550 U.S. 398 (2007).....	13
<i>K-TEC, Inc. v. Vita-Mix Corp.</i> , 696 F.3d 1364 (Fed. Cir. 2012)	12
<i>Mantech Envtl. Corp. v. Hudson Envtl. Servs., Inc.</i> , 152 F.3d 1368 (Fed. Cir. 1998)	4
<i>O’Keefe v. U.S. Postal Service</i> , 318 F.3d 1310 (Fed. Cir. 2002)	2, 7
<i>TRW Automotive US LLC v. Magna Elecs., Inc.</i> , IPR2014-00266, 2014 WL 3899428 (P.T.A.B. Aug. 11, 2014).....	2

OTHER AUTHORITIES

35 U.S.C. § 316	8
37 C.F.R. § 42.65	11

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES
(cont'd)

Page No(s).

37 C.F.R. § 42.71	1, 2
37 C.F.R. § 42.104	11

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.71(d), Patent Owner Voip-Pal.com, Inc. (“Voip-Pal”) respectfully requests reconsideration of the Board’s November 21, 2016 Decision Granting Institution of *Inter Partes* Review (“Decision,” Paper 6). The Board’s decision overlooked two key arguments of the Patent Owner, either of which is sufficient to show that Petitioner failed to carry its burden of proof.

I. STATEMENT OF THE PRECISE RELIEF REQUESTED

The Board’s Decision overlooked that the challenged claims require a specific ordering of steps yet the Petition fails to use a claim construction that accounts for this ordering of steps. The Decision also overlooked that the Petitioner ascribed—without any substantial evidence—an alleged deficiency to Chu ‘684 as its key motivation to combine Chu ‘684 with Chu ‘366 or Chen. Both deficiencies were explained in the Patent Owner’s Preliminary Response but were not addressed by the Board’s reasons in its Decision. In view of these oversights, Voip-Pal respectfully requests that the Board reconsider the Decision and deny institution of *Inter Partes* Review of claims 1, 7, 27, 28, 34, 54, 72–74, 92, 93, and 111 of U.S. Patent No. 8,542,815.

II. LEGAL STANDARD FOR REHEARING

A patent owner may request rehearing of a decision granting institution of *inter partes* review. 37 C.F.R. § 42.71(d). A rehearing request “must specifically identify all matters the party believes the Board misapprehended or overlooked,

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.