Apple Inc. vs. Voip-Pal.com, Inc.

Reporter's Transcript of Telephonic Hearing

June 20, 2017







```
1
           UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
 2.
           BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD
 3
 4
     APPLE, INC.,
 5
               Petitioner,
 6
        Vs.
 7
     VOIP-PAL.COM, Inc.,
 8
               Patent Owner,
 9
10
11
                       Case No. IPR2016-01198
                       U.S. Patent 9,179,005
12
                       Case No. IPR2016-01201
13
                       U.S. Patent 8,542,815
14
15
16
            REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF TELEPHONIC HEARING
17
                       TUESDAY, JUNE 20, 2017
18
                       11:00 A.M. - 11:31 A.M.
19
20
21
22
23
     Reported By:
     Josie C. Gonzalez
24
    CSR No. 13435
25
    Job No. 10033995
```



Transcript of Telephonic Hearing

Apple Inc. vs. Voip-Pal.com, Inc.

```
1
     APPEARING VIA TELEPHONE:
 2.
     Patent Trial and Appeal Board:
 3
                       JUDGE COX
                       JUDGE SCALA
 4
                       JUDGE SHAGNON
 5
 6
     For the Petitioner:
 7
                     ERISE IP
 8
                     BY: ADAM P. SEITZ, ESQ.
 9
                     6201 College Boulevard, Suite 300
                     Overland Park, Kansas 66211
10
                     (913) 777-5600
11
12
     For the Patent Owner:
13
                     KNOBBE, MARTENS, OLSON & BEAR, LLP
14
                     BY: BRENT BABCOCK, ESQ.
                     BY: JOHN CARSON, ESQ.
15
                     2040 Main Street, 14th Floor
                     Irvine, California 92614
16
                     (949) 760-0404
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```



THE COURT: Good morning. This is Judge Cox. 1 2. Who do we have on the call for the petitioner? MR. SEITZ: Adam Seitz for petitioner, Apple. 3 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you, Mr. Seitz. And 4 5 for patent owner? 6 MR. BABCOCK: Good afternoon, your Honors. This 7 is Brent Babcock. And also on the phone, I believe is 8 John Carson. And we have a court reporter. Her name is 9 Josie Gonzalez from Aptus Court Reporting. 10 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you, Mr. Babcock. Once 11 this call is complete, please file a transcript of the 12 call when it becomes available. MR. BABCOCK: Yes, of course. 13 14 THE COURT: Okay. All right. Mr. Seitz, I believe you requested this call in 15 connection with IPR2016-01198 and 01201. Why don't you 16 17 go ahead. 18 MR. SEITZ: Yes. Thank you, your Honor. 19 We asked this call to address Paper 40, which is patent owner's motion to exclude. We would like to seek 2.0 21 permission to file a motion to expunge Pages 2 to 15 of 22 that motion to exclude because it amounts to nothing 23 more than additional attorney argument, 13 pages more of sur-reply in fact. 2.4



25

To give a little context, your Honor, there is

2.0

1 15 pages total here Voip-Pal is challenging or under the 2 guise of a motion to exclude, seeking to exclude 3 particular portions of testimony from six witnesses.

The first witness is an individual by the name of Henry Hoe, who is Apple's expert. We are not seeking to expunge the motion to exclude as it relates to Dr. Hoe, but we are challenging what Voip-Pal has done here for the remaining five witnesses.

The remaining five witnesses are all Voip-Pal's own declarants. William Mangione-Smith, their expert;

John Rutter, a third party that was paid to provide a declaration; David Terry, an employee of Voip-Pal;

Clay Paroe, an inventor and founder who was given nearly a million shares to assist in this case and an individual by the name of Yohan Anil Victor Purcell, who was also given a million shares to participate in this case.

The request here and the motion to exclude from Voip-Pal is a little odd. You can see it's summarized nicely on Page 2. They are not actually seeking to strike these witnesses or exclude these witnesses from the record. They are not even seeking to strike or exclude the deposition transcripts from the record. Instead what they are doing is seeking to strike just the individual citations that Apple has made to



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

