

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

CANON INC.; CANON USA, INC.;  
CANON FINANCIAL SERVICES, INC.; FUJIFILM CORPORATION;  
FUJIFILM HOLDINGS AMERICA CORPORATION;  
FUJIFILM NORTH AMERICA CORPORATION; JVC KENWOOD  
CORPORATION; JVCKENWOOD USA CORPORATION;  
NIKON CORPORATION; NIKON INC.; OLYMPUS CORPORATION;  
OLYMPUS AMERICA INC.; PANASONIC CORPORATION;  
PANASONIC CORPORATION OF NORTH AMERICA;  
SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD. AND  
SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS AMERICA, INC.  
Petitioners,

v.

PAPST LICENSING GMBH & CO. KG  
Patent Owner.

---

Case IPR2016-01200  
Patent 8,504,746

---

**PAPST LICENSING GMBH & CO. KG'S  
PATENT OWNER RESPONSE**

Mail Stop PATENT BOARD  
Patent Trial and Appeal Board  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

	<u>Page</u>
I. Introduction .....	1
II. Overview of the '746 Patent.....	4
III. Overview Of The Applied Art.....	6
A. Aytac’s CaTbox Requires User-Loaded Specialized Software To Function Properly.....	6
B. American National Standard For Information Systems – Small Computer System Interface-2 (“SCSI Specification”).....	11
C. Admitted Prior Art .....	12
IV. Claim Construction.....	12
A. Level Of Ordinary Skill In The Art .....	13
B. Response To The Board’s Claim Construction .....	14
1. “Without requiring any end user to load software” .....	14
V. Petitioners Did Not Meet Their Burden To Show The Challenged Claims Are Unpatentable .....	15
A. Legal Standards .....	15
B. Petitioners Fail To Demonstrate The Challenged Claims Are Obvious Over Aytac In View Of The SCSI Specification And The Alleged Admitted Prior Art .....	18
1. Petitioners Fail To Articulate A Proper Obviousness Ground .....	18
2. Aytac Cannot Support An Obviousness Conclusion For The '746 Patent .....	19
i. Aytac Intended CaTbox To Be A Multitasking Device To Solve The Problem Of Integrating Operation Of Multiple Devices With A PC .....	19

ii.	CaTbox Needs Specialized Software Installed On The PC To Be A Multitasking Device To Solve The Problem Of Integrating Operation Of Multiple Devices With A PC .....	20
iii.	The '746 Patent Requires An Automatic File Transfer Process That Occurs Without Requiring User-Loaded File Transfer Enabling Software To Be Loaded Or Installed In The Host Device.....	24
iv.	Aytac Must Be Modified To Not Use Its Specialized Drivers To Meet The “Automatic File Transfer Process” Claim Limitations .....	25
v.	Not Using The CATSYNC.VXD Driver Renders CaTbox Incapable Of Reliably Transferring Data To The PC While Also Managing Its Intended Multitasking Purpose; Therefore This Modification Cannot Support An Obviousness Conclusion .....	25
C.	Petitioners Fail To Demonstrate That The Challenged Dependent Claims Are Obvious Over Aytac In View Of The SCSI Specification .....	30
VI.	Conclusion .....	31

## TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	<u>Page</u>
<b>Cases</b>	
<i>Activevideo Networks, Inc. v. Verizon Commc'ns, Inc.</i> , 694 F.3d 1312 (Fed. Cir. 2012).....	17
<i>Arendi S.A.R.L. v. Apple Inc.</i> , 832 F.3d 1355 (Fed. Cir. 2016).....	17
<i>Cuozzo Speed Techs., LLC v. Lee</i> , No. 15-446, 136 S. Ct. 2131 (June 20, 2016).....	12
<i>Graham v. John Deere Co. of Kansas City</i> , 383 U.S. 1 (1966) .....	15
<i>In re Abbott Diabetes Care, Inc.</i> , 696 F.3d 1142, 1149–50 (Fed. Cir. 2012).....	13
<i>In re Am. Acad. of Sci. Tech. Ctr.</i> , 367 F.3d 1359 (Fed. Cir. 2004).....	13
<i>In re Bass</i> , 314 F.3d 575 (Fed. Cir. 2002).....	13
<i>In re Cronyn</i> , 890 F.2d 1158 (Fed. Cir. 1989).....	10
<i>In re Fritch</i> , 972 F.2d 1260 (Fed. Cir. 1992).....	18, 30
<i>In re Gordon</i> , 733 F.2d 900 (Fed. Cir. 1984).....	17
<i>In re NuVasive, Inc.</i> , 842 F.3d 1376 (Fed. Cir. 2016).....	16
<i>In re Translogic Tech., Inc.</i> , 504 F.3d 1249 (Fed. Cir. 2007).....	13
<i>In re Zurko</i> , 258 F.3d 1379 (Fed. Cir. 2001).....	18
<i>Intel Corp. v. MicroUnity Systems</i> , Appeal No. 2010-008981 (BPAI Dec. 9. 2010).....	10
<i>Intri-Plex Techs., Inc. v. Saint-Gobain Performance Plastics Rencol Ltd.</i> , IPR2014-00309, Paper 83 (PTAB Mar. 23, 2014).....	16

*K/S HIMPP v. Hear-Wear Techs., LLC*,  
 751 F.3d 1362 (Fed. Cir. 2014)..... 17, 18, 30

*KSR Int’l Co. v. Teleflex Inc.*,  
 550 U.S. 398 (2007) ..... 3, 15, 16

*Personal Web Techs., LLC v. Apple, Inc.*,  
 2016-1174 Slip op. (Fed. Cir. Feb. 14, 2017) ..... 16

*Phillips v. AWH Corp.*,  
 415 F.3d 1303 (Fed. Cir. 2005) (en banc)..... 13

*Plas-Pak Indus. v. Sulzer Mixpac AG*,  
 600 Fed. Appx. 755 (Fed. Cir. 2015) ..... 17, 18, 29

*Solaia Tech. LLC v. Arvinmeritor Inc.*,  
 2003 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 16482 (N.D. Ill. Sept. 17, 2003) ..... 9

*Southwest Software, Inc. v. Harlequin, Inc.*,  
 226 F.3d 1280 (Fed. Cir. 2000)..... 9

*W.L. Gore & Assocs., Inc. v. Garlock, Inc.*,  
 721 F.2d 1540 (Fed. Cir. 1983)..... 29

**Statutes**

35 U.S.C. § 102..... 8, 10, 11

35 U.S.C. § 103..... 15

35 U.S.C. § 311..... 11

35 U.S.C. § 314..... 1

35 U.S.C. § 316..... 2, 15

**Regulations**

37 C.F.R. § 1.96..... 9

37 C.F.R. § 42.100..... 12

37 C.F.R. § 42.120..... 1

37 C.F.R. § 42.24..... 32

37 C.F.R. § 42.8..... 32

**Other Authorities**

MPEP § 608.05..... 9

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.