

**Apple Inc. vs. Voip-Pal.com, Inc.**

**Reporter's Transcript of Telephonic Hearing  
June 20, 2017**

Voip-Pal Ex. 2053



1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE  
BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

APPLE, INC., )  
)  
)  
Petitioner, )  
Vs. )  
)  
VOIP-PAL.COM, Inc., )  
)  
Patent Owner, )  
\_\_\_\_\_)

Case No. IPR2016-01198  
U.S. Patent 9,179,005

Case No. IPR2016-01201  
U.S. Patent 8,542,815

REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF TELEPHONIC HEARING

TUESDAY, JUNE 20, 2017

11:00 A.M. - 11:31 A.M.

Reported By:  
Josie C. Gonzalez  
CSR No. 13435  
  
Job No. 10033995

1 APPEARING VIA TELEPHONE:

2 Patent Trial and Appeal Board:

3 JUDGE COX  
4 JUDGE SCALA  
5 JUDGE SHAGNON

6

7

For the Petitioner:

8

ERISE IP

9

BY: ADAM P. SEITZ, ESQ.  
6201 College Boulevard, Suite 300  
Overland Park, Kansas 66211  
(913) 777-5600

10

11

12

For the Patent Owner:

13

KNOBBE, MARTENS, OLSON & BEAR, LLP

14

BY: BRENT BABCOCK, ESQ.  
BY: JOHN CARSON, ESQ.  
2040 Main Street, 14th Floor  
Irvine, California 92614  
(949) 760-0404

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 THE COURT: Good morning. This is Judge Cox.  
2 Who do we have on the call for the petitioner?

3 MR. SEITZ: Adam Seitz for petitioner, Apple.

4 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you, Mr. Seitz. And  
5 for patent owner?

6 MR. BABCOCK: Good afternoon, your Honors. This  
7 is Brent Babcock. And also on the phone, I believe is  
8 John Carson. And we have a court reporter. Her name is  
9 Josie Gonzalez from Aptus Court Reporting.

10 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you, Mr. Babcock. Once  
11 this call is complete, please file a transcript of the  
12 call when it becomes available.

13 MR. BABCOCK: Yes, of course.

14 THE COURT: Okay. All right.

15 Mr. Seitz, I believe you requested this call in  
16 connection with IPR2016-01198 and 01201. Why don't you  
17 go ahead.

18 MR. SEITZ: Yes. Thank you, your Honor.

19 We asked this call to address Paper 40, which is  
20 patent owner's motion to exclude. We would like to seek  
21 permission to file a motion to expunge Pages 2 to 15 of  
22 that motion to exclude because it amounts to nothing  
23 more than additional attorney argument, 13 pages more of  
24 sur-reply in fact.

25 To give a little context, your Honor, there is

1 15 pages total here Voip-Pal is challenging or under the  
2 guise of a motion to exclude, seeking to exclude  
3 particular portions of testimony from six witnesses.

4 The first witness is an individual by the name  
5 of Henry Hoe, who is Apple's expert. We are not seeking  
6 to expunge the motion to exclude as it relates to  
7 Dr. Hoe, but we are challenging what Voip-Pal has done  
8 here for the remaining five witnesses.

9 The remaining five witnesses are all Voip-Pal's  
10 own declarants. William Mangione-Smith, their expert;  
11 John Rutter, a third party that was paid to provide a  
12 declaration; David Terry, an employee of Voip-Pal;  
13 Clay Paroe, an inventor and founder who was given nearly  
14 a million shares to assist in this case and an  
15 individual by the name of Yohan Anil Victor Purcell, who  
16 was also given a million shares to participate in this  
17 case.

18 The request here and the motion to exclude from  
19 Voip-Pal is a little odd. You can see it's summarized  
20 nicely on Page 2. They are not actually seeking to  
21 strike these witnesses or exclude these witnesses from  
22 the record. They are not even seeking to strike or  
23 exclude the deposition transcripts from the record.  
24 Instead what they are doing is seeking to strike just  
25 the individual citations that Apple has made to

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.