

**Apple Inc. vs. Voip-Pal.com, Inc.**

**Reporter's Transcript of Telephonic Hearing  
June 07, 2017**

*@ptus*  
COURT REPORTING

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE  
BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

APPLE INC.  
Petitioner

v.

VOIP-PAL.COM, Inc.,  
Patent Owner

Case No. IPR2016-01198  
U.S. Patent 9,179,005

Case No. IPR2016-01201  
U.S. Patent 8,542,815

REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF TELEPHONIC HEARING

WEDNESDAY, JUNE 7, 2017

8:00 A.M. - 8:21 A.M.

Reported by:  
Annette Moore  
CSR No. 2648  
  
Job No. 10033665

1 APPEARING VIA TELEPHONE:

2 Patent Trial and Appeal Board:

3 JUDGE COX

4 JUDGE SCALA

5 JUDGE SHAGNON

6

For the Petitioner:

7

ERISE IP

8

BY: ADAM P. SEITZ, ESQ.

9

PAUL R. HART, ESQ.

10

6201 College Boulevard, Suite 300

11

Overland Park, Kansas 66211

12

(913) 777-5600

13

14

For the Patent Owner:

15

KNOBBE, MARTENS, OLSON & BEAR, LLP

16

BY: KERRY S. TAYLOR, ESQ.

17

2040 Main Street, 14th Floor

18

Irvine, California 92614

19

(949) 760-0404

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 THE COURT: Hello, good morning. This is  
2 Judge Cox. I'm joined on the call with Justice Scala and  
3 Shagnon. Who do we have on the call for the petitioner?

4 MR. SEITZ: Adam Seitz. Also with me is Paul  
5 Hart.

6 THE COURT: All right. Thank you. Who do we  
7 have on the call for the patent owner?

8 MR. TAYLOR: Kerry Taylor.

9 THE COURT: It sounds like we have a court  
10 reporter, is that correct?

11 THE COURT REPORTER: Yes.

12 THE COURT: Who arranged for the court  
13 reporter?

14 MR. TAYLOR: The patent owner arranged for  
15 that.

16 THE COURT: Once we're done with this call,  
17 please file the transcript, once it's available, as an  
18 exhibit.

19 MR. TAYLOR: Sure.

20 THE COURT: All right. This is a conference  
21 call in connection with two proceedings, IPR2016-01198 and  
22 IPR2016-01201.

23 (The court reporter lost connection on the call.)

24 THE COURT: Thank you. Mr. Seitz, I want to  
25 hear from you. Before you start, I wanted to ask: Have

1 you taken the position that the Board, this panel would be  
2 prohibited from allowing a sur-reply?

3 MR. SEITZ: No, Your Honor, we have not taken  
4 that position. Our position is just that the Board  
5 certainly has the power to grant the sur-reply. When you  
6 look at the cases that are out there, the decisions that  
7 are out there, nobody needs sur-replies. We believe that  
8 there's something very clear that's apparent there, and  
9 that is that a sur-reply is not a matter of right even  
10 when a patent owner raises an antedating prior art issue.

11 If you look at the cases cited by the  
12 parties, we believe that supports that, your Honor. For  
13 example, Belden, which Mr. Taylor was just discussing,  
14 clearly does not support the idea to an automatic  
15 sur-reply. They also cited the Cox case. That was  
16 unopposed because there was a new declaration, new  
17 evidence that was submitted in the reply.

18 There are other cases that were cited in  
19 Mr. Taylor's email that walk through whether a sur-reply  
20 was granted or not. When you look at those cases,  
21 there's, again, a very clear indication of something that  
22 was added in the reply. If you look at, for example,  
23 Cencio, these were cited in Mr. Kerry Taylor's last email,  
24 there was a challenge to inventorship that was raised for  
25 the first time in their reply brief. In the HTC case,

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.