



**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

	<b><u>Page</u></b>
<b>I. INTRODUCTION .....</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>A. The Asserted Patents .....</b>	<b>3</b>
i. The '191 Patent Family: The '191, '892, '085 and '066 Patents .....	3
<b>II. CLAIM CONSTRUCTION PRINCIPLES .....</b>	<b>11</b>
<b>III. CLAIM CONSTRUCTIONS .....</b>	<b>15</b>
<b>A. Stipulated Claim Constructions.....</b>	<b>15</b>
i. “building elements” .....	15
ii. “tactile manipulation” .....	15
iii. “housing” .....	15
iv. “casing” .....	15
<b>B. Plaintiff’s Proposed Disputed Claim Terms Warranting Construction .....</b>	<b>16</b>
i. “manual controller” .....	16
ii. “exoskeleton” .....	21
iii. “interior region” .....	23
iv. “control actuators” .....	24
v. “recreation equipment item” .....	26
<b>C. Defendant’s Proposed Disputed Claim Terms Warranting Construction .....</b>	<b>27</b>
i. “configurable manual controller” .....	28
ii. “controller” .....	28
iii. “an exoskeleton having an interior region and a patterned surface portion” .....	29
iv. “the internal electrical components operatively connected to control actuators to produce signals for manipulating images or symbols on the display” .....	30
v. “an interior region confining electrical components for producing signals for manipulating images or symbols on the display” .....	32
vi. “display” .....	33

vii.	“set of building elements” .....	35
viii.	“a subset of building elements” .....	35
ix.	“the set of building elements including a subset of building elements having top surfaces that do not have mating features so as to enable a user to assemble the building elements in the subset to produce a finished surface of the main casing” .....	37
x.	“finished decorative pattern” .....	38
xi.	“including multiple components of which adjacent ones mate with each other to form a replica of at least a portion of a recreation equipment item” .....	39
xii.	“providing in the set of building elements a subset of building elements that are matable to one another and configured for a user to build on the patterned portion of the main casing a customized replica of at least a portion of a play item” .....	40
xiii.	“providing” .....	42
IV.	CONCLUSION .....	44

**TABLE OF AUTHORITIES**

	Page(s)
<b>Cases</b>	
<i>GE Lighting Solutions, LLC v/ AgiLight, Inc.</i> , 750 F. 3d 1304 (Fed. Cir. 2014).....	25
<i>Innova/Pure Water, Inc. v. Safari Water Filtration Sys.</i> , 766 F.3d 1364 (Fed. Cir. 2004).....	12, 31
<i>In re Abbott Diabetes Care, Inc.</i> , 696 F.3d 1142 (Fed. Cir. 2012).....	17
<i>InterDigital Communs., LLC v. ITC</i> , 690 F.3d 1318 (Fed. Cir. 2012).....	28, 29
<i>Johnson Worldwide Assoc. v. Zebco Corp.</i> , 175 F.3d 985 (Fed. Cir. 1999).....	12, 30
<i>Liebel-Flarsheim Co. v. Medrad, Inc.</i> , 358 F.3d 898 (Fed. Cir. 2004).....	13, 20, 25
<i>Markman v. Westview Instruments, Inc.</i> , 52 F.3d 967 (Fed. Cir. 1995) (en banc), <i>aff d</i> , 517 U.S. 370 (1996) .....	11, 12
<i>MBO Labs., Inc. v. Becton, Dickinson &amp; Co.</i> , 474 F.3d 1323 (Fed. Cir. 2007) .....	23, 27, 38, 39
<i>Nautilus, Inc. v. Biosig Instruments, Inc.</i> , 134 S. Ct. 2120 (2014) .....	41
<i>On-Line Techs., Inc. v. Bodenseewerk Perkin-Elmer GmbH</i> , 386 F.3d 1133 (Fed. Cir. 2004).....	14
<i>Phillips v. AWH Corp.</i> , 415 F.3d 1303 (Fed. Cir. 2005) (en banc).....	11–13, 18, 24
<i>Playtex Prods., Inc. v. Procter &amp; Gamble Co.</i> , 400 F.3d 901 (Fed. Cir. 2005).....	13
<i>Rexnord Corp. v. Laitram Corp.</i> , 274 F.3d 1336 (Fed. Cir. 2001).....	13
<i>RF Del. v. Pac Keystone Tec. Inc.</i> , 326 F.3d 1255 (Fed. Cir. 2003).....	31, 35

<b><i>SkinMedica, Inc. v. Histogen Inc.,</i></b> 727 F.3d 1187 (Fed. Cir. 2013).....	17
<b><i>Southwall Tech., Inc. v. Cardinal IG Co.,</i></b> 54 F.3d 1570 (Fed. Cir. 1995).....	14
<b><i>Starhome GmbH v. AT&amp;T Mobility LLC,</i></b> 743 F.3d 849 (Fed. Cir. 2014).....	23
<b><i>Superguide Corp. v. DirecTV Enters. Inc.,</i></b> 358 F.3d 870 (Fed. Cir. 2004).....	12, 40
<b><i>Vitronics Corp. v. Conceptronic Inc.,</i></b> 90 F.3d 1576 (Fed. Cir. 1996).....	11–13, 18
<b><i>Watts v. XL Sys., Inc.,</i></b> 232 F.3d 877 (Fed. Cir. 2000).....	12

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.