



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
14/314,678	06/25/2014	Masayo HIGASHIYAMA	2014-0783	6898
513	7590	08/11/2014	EXAMINER	
WENDEROTH, LIND & PONACK, L.L.P. 1030 15th Street, N.W., Suite 400 East Washington, DC 20005-1503			FRAZIER, BARBARA S	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1611	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/11/2014	ELECTRONIC

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

ddalecki@wenderoth.com  
eoa@wenderoth.com

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 14/314,678	<b>Applicant(s)</b> HIGASHIYAMA, MASAYO	
	<b>Examiner</b> BARBARA FRAZIER	<b>Art Unit</b> 1611	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) BARBARA FRAZIER. (3)\_\_\_\_\_.
- (2) Andrew Freistein. (4)\_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 01 August 2014.

Type:  Telephonic  Video Conference  
 Personal [copy given to:  applicant  applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted:  Yes  No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Issues Discussed 101 112 102 103 Others  
(For each of the checked box(es) above, please describe below the issue and detailed description of the discussion)

Claim(s) discussed: 12-41.

Identification of prior art discussed: Lehmussaari.

**Substance of Interview**

(For each issue discussed, provide a detailed description and indicate if agreement was reached. Some topics may include: identification or clarification of a reference or a portion thereof, claim interpretation, proposed amendments, arguments of any applied references etc...)

Examiner contacted Applicant's representative Mr. Freistein ("Applicant") to discuss allowable subject matter in the application. Examiner noted that the claims are drawn to the same invention as in parent application 10/500,354, thereby requiring a terminal disclaimer in the instant application. Examiner also noted that the instant application is a continuation vs. a divisional of the parent application, and therefore a supplemental Application Data Sheet would be required noting said change, as well as amending the specification. Examiner further noted an objection to claim 24, wherein the last line of the claim should read, "the aqueous liquid preparation has a pH in the range of 5-8". Applicant agreed to said changes and noted the amendments, terminal disclaimer, and supplemental ADS would be filed in due course.

**Applicant recordation instructions:** It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of interview.

**Examiner recordation instructions:** Examiners must summarize the substance of any interview of record. A complete and proper recordation of the substance of an interview should include the items listed in MPEP 713.04 for complete and proper recordation including the identification of the general thrust of each argument or issue discussed, a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed regarding patentability and the general results or outcome of the interview, to include an indication as to whether or not agreement was reached on the issues raised.

Attachment

/BARBARA FRAZIER/  
Examiner, Art Unit 1611